IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT, in his capacity as the TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,	)
Plaintiff,	)
vs.	)No. 4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ
TYSON FOODS, INC., et al,	)
Defendants.	)

- -

VOLUME I VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF THOMAS C. GINN, produced as a witness on behalf of the State, in the above styled and numbered cause, taken on the 15th day of April 2009, in the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, before me, Marlene Percefull, Certified Shorthand Reporter, duly certified under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Oklahoma.

Exhibit BB

A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Ms. Kelly Hunter Burch
Attorney at Law
313 N.E. 21st Street
Oklahoma City, OK 73105

FOR CARGILL: Ms. Melissa Collins
Attorney at Law
1700 Lincoln Street
Suite 3200
Denver, CO 80203

FOR PETERSON FARMS: Mr. Craig Mirkes
Attorney at Law
320 S. Boston
Suite 700
Tulsa, OK 74103

FOR SIMMONS FOODS: Ms. Vicki Bronson
Attorney at Law
211 East Dickson Street
Fayetteville, AR 72701
(via phone)

FOR GEORGE'S: Mr. James Graves
Attorney at Law
221 North College
Fayetteville, AR 72702
(via phone)

ALSO PRESENT: Opveon, Jason Weitholter

(Whereupon, the deposition began at 9:10 a.m.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record for the deposition of Dr. Tom Ginn. Today is April 15, 2009. The time is 9:10 a.m. Would counsel please 9:10AM identify themselves for the record?

MS. BURCH: Kelly Burch for the State of Oklahoma.

MS. COLLINS: Melissa Collins for the Cargill defendants. 9:10AM

MR. MIRKES: Craig Mirkes for Peterson Farms.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And on the phone?

MR. GRAVES: James Graves for George's and George's Farms.

MS. BRONSON: Vicki Bronson for Simmons. 9:10AM

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. The witness may be sworn in.

THOMAS C. GINN,

having first been duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as 9:10AM follows:

MS. BURCH: Okay. I guess I'd like to make a record. Late yesterday evening before the deposition, what I understand a large amount of documents that were part of the considered material of Dr. Ginn were -- 9:10AM

I N D E X

WITNESS	PAGE
Thomas C. Ginn	
Direct Examination by Ms. Burch	4
Signature Page	173
Reporter's Certificate	174

counsel for the State was notified that it was going to 9:11AM be produced and it was actually produced about 7:30 this morning at the offices of Riggs Abney. I have not reviewed that material yet, but I understand that it is roughly 2,600 pages and -- and 1.8 gigabyte. I haven't 9:11AM seen it so I don't know that that's the case. I reserve the right to move to strike the report, depending on what the material is, or recall the witness for an additional deposition depending on what I find when I review the material. 9:11AM

MS. COLLINS: And the bulk of the material are actually articles and periodicals that were collected early on in the case, which might account for the seemingly large number of pages. But, in essence, there are two binders worth of e-mails and that is the 9:11AM core of the material. And based on the plaintiffs' history of producing documents and even to analysis long after their experts have been made available for deposition, it is Cargill's position that there has been no prejudice, but duly noted. 9:12AM

MS. BURCH: Okay.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. BURCH:

Q Hi.

A Hello. 9:12AM

Q I'm Kelly Burch. I represent the State of 9:12AM
Oklahoma in this case. Could you state your name for
the record?
A Yes. My name is Thomas C. Ginn.
Q And where are you employed? 9:12AM
A I'm employed with Exponent and my office address
is 1040 East Park Ridge Drive, Sedona, Arizona.
Q I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as
Exhibit 1 to your deposition, which I'll represent is a
copy of your expert report in this case. Do you 9:12AM
recognize that as your expert report in this case?
A Yes, I do.
Q Would you turn to Page 9-1 of the report? Is that
your resumT?
A Yes, it is. 9:13AM
Q And I hate to do this to you, but are additional
qualifications listed in your report at Page 3-1?
A Yes, there are.
Q Okay. On Page 3-1, it indicates that you received
a Ph.D. in biology with a specialty in estuarine 9:14AM
ecology from New York University in 1977, is that
correct?
A Yes, it does.
Q What is estuarian ecology?
A Estuarian ecology is the relationship of organisms 9:14AM

that live in a -- in a river near the transition of 9:14AM
that river with the ocean, which is called an estuary,
where we have a mixing of salt and fresh water. My
specialty in estuarian ecology was actually in what at
the time was a relatively new discipline called 9:15AM
ecotoxicology. And so specifically what I was looking
at was the effects of water quality variables and toxic
substances on invertebrate and fish in the lower Hudson
River.
Q In the lower Hudson River where it meets the 9:15AM
ocean, is that accurate?
A Yes, although it covered a fairly -- my research
covered a fairly broad range, at least probably
100 miles of the river ranging from purely fresh water
but tidally influenced parts of the river down to areas 9:15AM
where they were near New York harbor where there was
salt water.
Q And what type of water quality impacts were you
looking at?
A The primary impact I was looking at was the 9:15AM
effects of nuclear power plant operations on -- on
invertebrate organisms and specifically effects of
chemical discharges from the nuclear power plants,
thermal discharge from the nuclear power plants and
changes in overall biological communities as a result 9:16AM

of the cooling water withdrawal from the Hudson River 9:16AM
by those nuclear power plants.
Q Was that -- was that the source of any water
quality impacts was the withdrawal of cooling water as
opposed to the discharge pollutants or was it both? 9:16AM
A It's both.
Q Okay. What pollutants specifically were you
looking at?
A Well, I was looking at -- as far as the discharge
from the power plants, I was looking at the effects of 9:16AM
temperature, heated water that was discharged by the
power plants and the effects of chlorine and various
chlorinated compounds that were discharged by -- during
the normal chlorination procedures at the power plants.
Q And what types of water quality impacts were you 9:17AM
looking at as a result of temperature?
A Two kinds. One was the short term effects of
temperature on organisms that were withdrawn in cooling
water into the plant and were subjected to temperature
increases. And then secondly, the lower level 9:17AM
temperature increases that occurred when organisms were
mixed into the discharge plume from the power plant,
organisms in the river that it would experience a
temperature increase at that time.
Q What kind of temperatures are we talking about? 9:18AM

A Can you explain what you mean? 9:18AM
Q How hot?
A How hot?
Q Mm-hmm.
A Well, temperatures that could range, as I recall, 9:18AM
on the order of ten to 15 degrees Fahrenheit increase
over ambient temperatures.
Q Did you -- were you looking at just invertebrates
or were you also looking at other parts of the aquatic
community? 9:18AM
A My research involved other areas, including fish
and fish larvae. However, my doctoral dissertation
itself dealt entirely with various kinds of
invertebrates.
Q Did you -- what types of impacts did you observe 9:19AM
with regard to temperature on invertebrates?
A Well, as part of my research, I -- I evaluated
temperature effects in several ways. One was doing
laboratory experiments where I held organisms in the
laboratory and subjected them to temperature increases 9:19AM
and then declining temperatures to simulate the effects
of short term exposures. And then I also exposed
organisms in the field to the elevated temperatures
around the power plant. And I also sampled the
organisms that were entrained into the cooling water at 9:19AM

the power plant and evaluated their survival, both 9:20AM
during that plant passage and subsequent survival. And
I was looking at effects that were both lethal effects
as far as whether or not the organisms survived, as
well as their ability to grow and reproduce normally 9:20AM
following those exposures.
Q In all -- in terms of both the lethal effects and the growth and reproductive effects, were you looking at both short and long term exposures?
A Yes, I was. 9:20AM
Q What types of impacts on invertebrates or fish did you observe as a result of short term exposure?
A I defined what the -- the thermal tolerance limits
were for various species. I was working mainly with
amphipods, mysids, M-Y-S-I-D-S, and some insect larvae. 9:21AM
And I defined for exposures ranking from a few minutes,
as I recall, up to maybe an hour what their upper
tolerance limits would be.
Q When you say "upper tolerance limits," are you talking about the levels at which you would observe 9:21AM either lethal effects or impacts on growth and reproduction?
A That's correct. The levels of both which I would
see adverse effects.
Q Did you observe any adverse effects? 9:22AM

A Oh, I certainly did. Above certain temperatures 9:22AM
there was -- there were both lethal effects and sub --
both at the time of exposure and then subsequent
adverse effects following exposure.
Q Did you do any type of similar analysis with 9:22AM regard to fish?
A I did. I did research on -- in dealing with both
eggs and larvae of some of the local fish as well as
juveniles. That was separate research which was not
part of my dissertation, per se. 9:23AM
Q What types of fish species were you looking at in that research?
A Oh, that was the striped bass, white perch,
tomcod, some work with blueback herring and alewives.
Q Can you spell that last one? 9:23AM
A A-L-E-W-I-V-E-S. Or actually alewife is the
singular, A-L-E-W-I-F-E. I think that's about it.
Q And was that -- was that research done also in the Hudson River or was that done in a different location?
A Some of it was done in the Hudson River, some of 9:24AM
it was done at a research facility in upstate New York
where I worked part time.
Q Was that research facility identified on your resumT?
A I don't believe it is. 9:24AM

Q Okay. What's the name of the facility? 9:24AM
A Well, it was a -- it was a facility owned by what
at the time was called and may still be, the New York
State Energy Research Development Authority, I believe.
New York State ERDA. And as part of my work at New 9:24AM
York University Medical Center, I participated in the
development of a -- what was referred to as a condenser
tube simulator. And that particular piece of equipment
required a large amount of electrical power and so we
ended up locating it up at this facility, this New York 9:25AM
State ERDA facility to have access to the power
requirements for that unit as well as the space
requirements.
Q Okay. So when did you work for NYU Medical Center? 9:25AM
A I was employed by NYU Medical Center for -- from
1971 to 1977.
Q And what was your job title or responsibilities?
A My job title was assistant research scientist and
I -- as part of that, my responsibilities were to 9:25AM
conduct research as I've described to you previously
and to also supervise groups of individuals that were
conducting sampling both in the Hudson River and
sampling at the Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant.
Q When you said -- the research that you did there, 9:26AM

is that the research that we discussed earlier 9:26AM regarding impacts of temperature on various fish species or am I oversimplifying it?
A Well, all of my work that I've described so far
was all done as part of my employment by NYU Medical 9:26AM
Center.
Q Part of it, I understood, was for your dissertation?
A It was.
Q Okay. You were able to do your dissertation on 9:27AM research you were also doing for NYU Medical Center?
A That is correct.
Q Okay. In terms of the research we were just discussing regarding temperature impacts to fish species, what type -- what temperature levels do you 9:27AM recall that you identified where you have either lethal effects or impacts to growth and reproduction with regard to striped bass?
A I just -- I would be purely guessing at this point
to try to recall that. Any of the thermal tolerances 9:28AM
that -- they're in my publications, but I don't have
them all in mind as I sit here today.
Q Did -- so this research that you're discussing with me has been published?
A Yes, it has. Most of it has in one form or 9:28AM

1 another. 9:28AM
2 **Q Is the research that we're discussing listed in**
3 **your expert report somewhere?**
4 A In my resumT, I believe most of the publications
5 would be there, although I -- as part of my resume, I 9:28AM
6 put all of the articles that I published in either
7 books or reference journal articles or some other --
8 for example, some government reports but it -- but my
9 resumT does not list all of the publications that might
10 have been associated with presentations at scientific 9:29AM
11 meetings, abstracts, or non-refereed types of reports
12 or articles.
13 **Q Speaking specifically about any published research**
14 **on striped bass, is it published in the manner that you**
15 **would have listed it in your resumT? We can take a 9:29AM**
16 **look at it.**
17 A It may be and I could look, but there were -- I
18 was participating with several others on the -- the
19 striped bass studies particularly. And as I said, that
20 was not part of my doctoral dissertation, so I would 9:30AM
21 have to -- I could look at the list and see if I can
22 recognize one that might have had some of the striped
23 bass data, if you wish.
24 **Q I do, if you don't mind.**
25 A Okay. The -- there are a couple of papers that I 9:30AM

1 had in mind that I believe had some of the striped bass 9:31AM
2 information in them. They were actually reports and
3 they were part of a symposia proceedings, but I do not
4 see them on my resumT. They would have been back in
5 the -- probably late '70s or very early '80s and I 9:32AM
6 don't see those publications here. There may have been
7 some striped bass information in the article at the
8 bottom of Page 9-5 authored by Poje, P-O-J-E, Ginn and
9 O'Conner, but that is the only one that I see that
10 might have had some striped bass information in it. 9:32AM
11 **Q Do you still have a copy of the symposium**
12 **proceedings that you're referencing?**
13 A I'm not sure. I may have a copy. I would have to
14 check.
15 MS. BURCH: Can I request a copy if he has a 9:33AM
16 copy?
17 MS. COLLINS: We will certainly find the
18 answer to that.
19 **Q Would the -- if we went through the same**
20 **discussion with regard to the other fish that you 9:33AM**
21 **identified, would the results for those fish also be in**
22 **the symposium proceedings as opposed to a paper or --**
23 A Yes, they would. I don't -- the only fish results
24 in this compilation of papers that are there, I think
25 would be in the -- in the one article that I mentioned 9:33AM

on the condenser tubes simulator. I don't see -- oh, 9:33AM
there's -- well, there's another publication. This is
also on Page 9-5, by Poje, Ginn -- Poje, O'Conner and
Ginn in 1982 that was Physical Simulation of Power
Plant Condenser Tube Passage. That may have also had 9:34AM
some fish information, but the larger multi-authored
articles that I mentioned in the symposium proceedings
are not listed on my resumT.
MS. BURCH: So that same request with regard
to the other species. Is that clear? 9:34AM
MS. COLLINS: Yes.
MS. BURCH: I would like to make the same
request as the other -- that I made on other species.
Q Did that research relate to just temperature
impacts or did it also relate to any potential 9:35AM
pollutants in the water?
A When you say "that research," which research are
you talking about?
Q The research we were just discussing with regard
to temperature impacts on, for example, striped bass 9:35AM
that you did while you were at NYU?
A Okay. That research involved the effects of
temperature, the effects of physical stresses on the
fish as they pass through a -- through the cooling
water systems of either the power plant itself or the 9:36AM

simulator. It involved an assessment of the effects of 9:36AM
changes in hydrostatic pressure that occur during
passage through both the simulator and the power plant,
as well as the effects of chlorine and -- and
chlorinated products that occur in the system and in 9:36AM
the discharge plume of the power plant.
Q How do changes in temperature affect fish?
A Well, from a broadest sense, temperature affects
fishes' metabolism rate. They affect the growth rate
of fish and the degree to which they burn energy, grow, 9:37AM
and develop.
Q What are -- what does the term "optimal
temperature" mean to you in this context?
A Optimal temperature is the temperature at which
the various physiological processes, and, basically, 9:37AM
that the fish would be growing and -- and their
metabolism would be okay, as opposed to a suboptimal
temperature that may be lower than they both would
prefer to be in and that they would function well in or
a supra optimal temperature which would be high enough 9:37AM
to where there -- they would not be doing as well, they
may not be growing as well, for example, as they would
at an optimal temperature.
Q You said a super (sic) optimal temperature?
A Supra. 9:38AM

Q Supra? 9:38AM
A Yeah, above the optimal temperature.
Q So could we talk about suboptimal temperatures and what that means?
A Yes. 9:38AM
Q Okay. So is optimal temperature typically a range?
A Yes, it typically is.
Q Does that range vary in -- depending on, um, the location of the aquatic resource in the country? For 9:38AM example, a striped bass optimal temperature range in the Hudson River, would that be the same as a striped bass optimal temperature in, say, Lake Texoma?
A I don't know if it would -- if it would vary or not. That's why a lot of temperature studies are run, 9:39AM to evaluate that, and determine if there would be any differences.
Q Are there differences in optimal temperature ranges for different -- I'm not sure I'm going to use the right term here, but different strains of a 9:39AM particular species?
A There could be. I can't -- as I sit here, I can't think of any good examples, but it's at least conceivable that there could be differences between -- between strains or subspecies. 9:40AM

Q Have you ever done any research on anything like 9:40AM that?
A No, I haven't.
Q Do water quality managers attempt to manage water resources to maintain optimal temperatures in the water 9:41AM for fish?
A There's so many different water quality programs, managers, I don't know if I could speak for what the managers' objectives are. I know that -- that water quality managers will look at the optimal temperature 9:41AM range for a species of fish and evaluate whether or not ambient conditions may be outside of that range.
Q Why do they do that?
A I would think that they would use it as one piece of information to evaluate what may be limiting factors 9:41AM on fish populations in a particular area.
Q What do you mean by "limiting factors"?
A Well, a factor that could be -- that could be -- could be either limiting overall production or growth or abundance of that fish population. Managers would 9:42AM typically look at that information to -- to try to assess the -- the quality of habitat, let's say, for a particular species. Now, species have the ability to certainly survive and, in some cases, thrive beyond an optimal range but managers may look at the 9:43AM

relationships with an optimal range to evaluate as far 9:43AM as a multitude of factors, not just temperature, but how a particular environment may suit that particular species.
Q When you use the terms -- the term "fish 9:43AM populations," what do you mean by that? Do you mean numbers only or the quality of the population as well? The health of the population as well?
A Well, I'm using it as -- in a more classic sense as far as a -- a group of organisms that would exist in 9:43AM some defined area and being a group of organisms that interbreed together and form a reproductive unit. It's usually expressed as -- as the population size in numbers. It can also be expressed as the biomass, the amount of that fish or whatever it is, but it's usually 9:44AM expressed as numbers.
Q When you're evaluating the quality of a fishery, are there other factors that are important to the analysis beyond population size or biomass?
A Yes, there are. 9:44AM
Q What are those?
A One would be the indications of the health of that -- of those fishes, where -- factors such as the growth rate, whether they are growing as might be expected, whether the population appears balanced as 9:45AM

far as the various age or size classes. In other 9:45AM words, does it have the expected numbers of -- of young of the year and juveniles and adult fish? Another would be the -- an indication of the -- the relative weight of that fish versus what would normally be 9:45AM expected. In other words, are they plump but relatively fat or are they long and skinny and are suffering some -- some food limitation. Another might be the indications of health, such as the presence of any lesions or cellular abnormalities. That can be 9:46AM external or on internal organs.
Q Anything else?
A I think that's all I can think of right now.
Q And in talking about this, are you talking about how you would evaluate the health of a particular 9:46AM species in a water body or how you would evaluate the health of a fishery?
A I was speaking more from a biological standpoint as far as looking at a population of a particular species and evaluating that population. 9:46AM
Q For clarity, when we're talking about the health of a population, what do you mean by the health of a population?
A Yeah, that is a somewhat nebulous term, but from a scientific standpoint, I mean the various factors that 9:47AM

I -- that I talked about. Are the fish in that 9:47AM
population, are they abundant, are they growing well,
are they reproducing, all of those factors. Sometimes
that's referred to as the overall health of a
population, but it's different than what we would term 9:47AM
the health of an individual fish that might be more
associated with the presence of disease or the presence
of abnormalities.
Q Just for further clarification, if we were talking
the health of a fishery, what would that mean? 9:47AM
A Yes. As far as the fishery, that's -- that would
involve some of those other factors that I mentioned as
far as the numbers and kinds of fish that are present,
but also it would indicate the -- or information could
be used such as -- such as catch rates or anguler 9:48AM
preferences or the results of -- of fishing tournaments
or general records on anguler success rates. Or in
many cases, fishery management agencies use test
fishing methods to evaluate the abundances of game fish
size fishes for various species to be able to determine 9:48AM
whether or not there are -- are sufficient numbers of
fish for anglers to catch and whether any changes in
regulations may be warranted or things of that sort.
Q Is that a fairly comprehensive list of factors
that influence the health of a fisheries (sic) -- or of 9:49AM

a fishery or are there additional factors? 9:49AM
A Well, factors that could influence the so-called
health of a fishery could be very far ranging,
including habitat factors, including fishing pressure,
fishing pressure itself, water quality factors, changes 9:49AM
in hydrodynamics, either human induced or natural.
Q It was sort of a different question on my part,
wasn't it? You don't have to answer that.
Going back to other indicators of the
health of a fishery, would that be a fairly 9:50AM
comprehensive list or are there additional
indicators of the health of a fishery?
A Well, as I -- at least what I could recall when
you asked the question, I think that's what came to
mind. 9:50AM
Q Is diversity an important characteristic of a
healthy fishery, species diversity?
A Well, it is a -- species diversity is a -- I think
I mentioned the numbers and kinds of fish species and
that's -- that is what diversity depends on, but 9:51AM
various fisheries can have different diversities. I
mean, it is important but it's -- but it depends on
what that diversity means. If you mean diversity in
the classical sense of a diversity index, then that has
some relevance, but it's not one of the important 9:51AM

things. But diversity in a more general sense of what 9:51AM
kinds of prey species are there, what kinds of
predators may be competing, what -- it involves large
issues associated with interspecific competition and
prey availability. 9:52AM
Q Do you ever -- in evaluating the health of a
fishery, do you ever look at shifts in populations with
regard to particular water quality influences?
A I would -- I think that could be a factor that's
evaluated, yes. 9:52AM
Q Have you ever evaluated that type of factor?
A Well, I'm thinking back at some work I did. I
think there's at least a couple publications listed for
evaluating the biological responses of new cooling
lakes. In other words, cooling lakes that have power 9:53AM
plants set up on them and -- that might be subject to
warming trends. And I participated in a study a number
of years ago concerning developing models to predict
the response of not only fish communities but other
communities in these lakes as the result of -- of power 9:53AM
plants being put onto them.
Q So these are not newly constructed lakes, they're
existing lakes that become cooling water lakes or --
A Yeah, as I recall -- well, I would have to go back
and look at that, but it was -- I think even the title 9:53AM

talked about new -- new cooling impoundments, but I 9:53AM
don't recall whether it was restricted to lakes that
were constructed new for the purposes of a cooling
water impoundment or whether it would also include
applicability to existing lakes that had a power plant 9:54AM
built on them. I just don't recall right now.
Q So I referred to shifts in population and asked
you if that was important. Can you define what a shift
in a population is?
A Well, a shift in a population could be a change in 9:54AM
the abundance or the -- or the -- if you're talking
about a population of a single species, a shift in
either the abundance or the size or the age class,
distribution of fish within that particular population.
Q Let's talk about it in terms of a fishery as a 9:55AM
whole, shifts in populations of a fishery as a whole.
A Mm-hmm.
Q Can you define what that is?
A Could you restate that, please? I want to make
sure I understand you. 9:55AM
Q Can -- does that make sense to you, the use of the
term "shifts in populations" with regard to a fishery
as a whole?
A Well, I guess -- I assume you're talking about the
relationship between a shift in a population and what 9:55AM

that means in terms of a fishery or what it could mean 9:55AM
in that -- and that would -- that would involve the
interaction of looking at the change in the population
of fish itself and then what that meant relative to --
to the fish available for anglers. In other words, are 9:56AM
there fewer or more, are they bigger or smaller or
whatever for the important fishery characteristics.
Q Okay. Does -- does water -- do changes in water
quality in a lake, for example, ever result in an
increase in a certain type of fish and a decrease in 9:56AM
another type of fish?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
Q And I'm speaking in terms of population.
MS. COLLINS: Same objection.
A Well, I guess it's conceivable to me if the 9:57AM
changes in water quality were significant enough, it's
possible that that change could be advantageous to one
species and disadvantageous to another, so that it's
possible that a change in water quality could change
the balances, so to speak, of those populations. 9:57AM
Q Can changes in temperature result in changes in
balances of populations?
A If -- the answer would be yes if the changes in
temperature were sufficient, of sufficient magnitude.
It's conceivable to me that it could change the 9:58AM

balances of populations. 9:58AM
Q Have you ever observed any changes in the balances
of populations as a result of changes in temperature?
A Oh, I'm casting back quite a ways here, but I --
as part of that work that I mentioned that was done 9:58AM
in -- I believe it was done for EPRI, Electric Power
Research Institute, where we were looking at cooling
impoundments. One of the tasks, as I recall, was
reviewing reports from -- I think most of the operating
cooling impoundments, at least the ones in the U.S. 9:58AM
where studies have been done. And there may have been
studies that I reviewed at that time. I can't recall
any specifically, but there may have been studies that
showed those kinds of effects, either on a water body
basis or on a more of a localized area of certain 9:59AM
reservoirs.
Q Have you ever done any research yourself on that?
A No, I haven't.
Q Being changes in dissolved oxygen result in
changes in the balance of -- balances of populations in 9:59AM
a fishery?
A The answer is yes if those changes in dissolved
oxygen were sufficient to, for example, cause stresses
to or mortalities of a particular species. That
could -- and/or fish have widely differing tolerances 10:00AM

to reduced dissolved oxygen levels so that it is 10:00AM
conceivable to me that if dissolved oxygens were
sufficiently low that it could affect the so-called
balance of those populations.
Q In the context of fishery management, why is -- do 10:00AM
you think that maintaining a balance of -- balances in
the populations of the various fish, do you think
that's important? Do you want me to rephrase that?
I'll be glad to.
A Please. 10:00AM
Q Okay. In terms of fishery management, do you
think it's important to maintain balances of various
populations in a fishery?
A That all depends on the -- on the goal of the
fishery managers. In some situations, a particular 10:01AM
water body may be managed for, let's say, one or a few
species and it's managed to be optimal for that species
or a group of species. In other cases, it's possible
that a -- that, for example, a reservoir could be
managed for a wider variety of species and so you're 10:01AM
trying to balance conditions for -- for a larger number
of species. So it all depends on how that particular
water body is valued by fishery managers and what their
targets are as far as maintaining different game fish
populations. 10:01AM

Q And why are -- why are you focused on game fish 10:01AM
populations in answering this question?
A Well, I didn't mean to completely focus on it
because prey species -- well, first we are talking
about managers and I thought you were talking more 10:02AM
about fishery-type managers that are looking at water
bodies, but it's the -- and for those folks, they tend
to look at the sport species, per se. Other people
might be looking at non-sport species. The fishery
managers would also be interested in is there an 10:02AM
appropriate prey base for the game fishes that they're
managing the water body for. Those would be typically
non-game species, but would be important as far as
providing food and appropriate growth for the game
species. There may be other water quality managers 10:02AM
that are more interested in perhaps indicator species
or perhaps species that are -- that are listed species,
either in federal or state level that might be
important for other reasons, other than game fish or
other than prey for game fish. 10:03AM
Q Are you familiar with water quality standards?
A Generally, yes.
Q Have you worked with them often in your
profession?
A To a limited degree. For most of the work that 10:03AM

I've done, water quality standards are not -- how 10:03AM
should I say it, they're not the real driving force but
in some places, some cases I've worked on, the
evaluation of water quality standards in comparison
with -- with ambient values has been conducted, so it's 10:04AM
been part of some work that I've done.
Q With regard to that work where it's -- there's
been a comparison of water quality standards to ambient
values, did you do that work yourself? Was that part
of your responsibility or was that someone you were 10:04AM
working with on the project?
A Well, much of -- actually most of what I do is
done as part of team efforts. And I think there have
been projects that I've worked on where -- where it's
parts of the project has been to compare, for example, 10:05AM
metals to compare concentrations of metals in surface
water bodies with water quality standards. I think
most of the work I've done would be in that area and I
have worked on some cases and projects where that was
the case. 10:05AM
Q Just so I'm clear on it, when -- other than
looking at concentrations of metals in surface water,
have you worked with any other water quality standards
besides standards for metals, I guess, would be my
question? 10:05AM

A Well, I'm sure I have. I think I may have worked 10:05AM
on some organic substances where there may have been
water quality standards. I may have done some work
where there -- relative to water quality standards for
temperature dissolved oxygen and I've also worked with 10:06AM
low sediment quality standards, which are related to
water quality standards.
Q Okay. Talk about metals in particular. When you
did that work, I saw that you worked at the Coeur
D'Alene site in Idaho. Is that one of the sites where 10:06AM
you worked with concentrations of metals in surface
waters?
A Yes, it is.
Q At that site, did you take water quality samples
for metals? 10:07AM
A I did not at that site.
Q Did you analyze water quality samples for metals
that were collected by others?
A I believe I did. Although, as I recall, most of
my work on that case involved issues with sediments and 10:07AM
the toxicity of sediments and the effects of metals on
invertebrates in both the Coeur D'Alene River and Lake
Coeur D'Alene. I know there was some consideration of
the ambient water concentrations but I can't remember
the context of that. 10:08AM

Q Can you identify a case where you have done 10:08AM
sampling for metals and surface water and compared the
results to water quality standards -- surface water
quality standards?
A Yes. I think an example of that would be the 10:08AM
Montana v. Arco case.
Q And you actually collected water quality samples
in that --
A Yes.
Q -- case? And you compared them, the values to 10:08AM
water quality standards?
A Yes.
Q Did you make a -- render any opinions regarding
whether the surface water met the standards for metals?
A Yes, I did. 10:09AM
Q Did you issue a written report in that matter?
A I'm almost positive I did, although I can't recall
the report itself, but I do remember the issues and I'm
sure I would have had an expert report for that case.
Q Did you testify in that case? 10:09AM
A Yes, I did.
Q I assume it's in Montana?
A It is, yes.
Q When you were looking at that, do you recall which
water quality standards you were applying? 10:09AM

A Well, I remember in that particular case, there 10:09AM
were state standards and the issues were metals and
surface water was one of the issues in the case. And
one of the issues associated with that was should
the -- should the state standards be interpreted as 10:10AM
compared to the concentration of dissolved metals or
should they be interpreted regarding the concentrations
of total metals in water samples. And that was one of
the issues I was working on.
Q Were you lead on that analysis or did somebody 10:10AM
assist you with it?
A I was the lead. I was the testifying expert on
that case for the work that -- that we did, yeah.
Q Okay.
A There were other experts also. 10:10AM
Q Sure. Okay. We're going to take a break to
change the tape.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now off the record,
the time is 10:10 a.m.
(Following a short recess, proceedings 10:11AM
continued on the record.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record.
The time is 10:21 a.m.
Q Is the Montana v. Arco case, is that a Superfund
case, a CERCLA site? 10:22AM

A Yes, it is. 10:22AM
Q Were you retained in that case to do natural resource damage work?
A That's correct.
Q Were there other people working with you on the natural resource damage components of the case? 10:22AM
A Yes, there were.
Q What was your role?
A My role on that was project manager and then ultimately testifying expert. 10:22AM
Q How did you -- were you responsible for conducting the assessment in that case, the natural resource damage assessment?
A No, the natural resource damage assessment was conducted by the State of Montana. 10:23AM
Q And who were you working for?
A I was working for Arco.
Q Was Arco identified as a PRP at the site?
A Yes.
Q And what did you do for Arco at the site? Did you -- well, I'll clarify the question. Was part of your role to review the natural resource damage assessment conducted by the State of Montana? 10:23AM
A Yes, it was.
Q Have you ever conducted a natural resource damage 10:23AM

assessment yourself? 10:23AM
A No, I have not.
Q And when I'm speaking of natural resource damage assessment, do you understand I'm talking about that term as it's defined in CERCLA? 10:24AM
A Yes.
Q Did the -- was Montana the natural resource trustee?
A Yes, it was.
Q Did Montana follow the NRD regs in conducting the assessment? 10:24AM
A In that particular case and that was a - that was a state case. There was no federal trustee, as I recall. And I don't believe they were -- they were following the rule. I just don't recall the extent to which they may have followed the rule. 10:24AM
Q Do you recall if that was an issue -- I'm sorry.
A I was going to say that I think that case actually started, as I remember, before the rule was published.
Q What type of site is it? Is it a mining site? 10:25AM
A Yes, it's a very complex large site involving mining operations or concentrating operations and smelting operations.
Q Have you done a lot of work at mining sites?
A What do you mean by "a lot"? 10:25AM

Q Have you worked on more than five mining sites? 10:25AM
A Would you be considering mining and smelting in that or mining meaning a general term?
Q I was going to ask separately about smelting, but you can combine it if you'd like for the answer. 10:26AM
A It may be something on that order, but I don't think it would be too many more than five or six or so at the most and that's considering a combination of mining and smelting.
Q Did the concentrations of metals and surface water at the Montana v. Arco site exceed water quality standards? 10:26AM
A As I recall, yes, they did. There were -- at least in some instances where they did. And the question, as I indicated before, was there -- one of the key questions was associated with this total versus dissolved metals analyses and the degree to which either one of those resulted in an exceedance of the state standards. 10:27AM
Q Was the exceedance of the state water quality standards for metals an injury under NRD? 10:27AM
A Well, according to the Department of Interior rule, it -- that would be an injury under the injury determination phase of the NRDA.
Q And just for clarity, is it accurate -- without 10:28AM

regard to whether it's metals or any other water quality standard, is it accurate to say that exceedance of water quality standards is an injury as defined by the NRDA regulations? 10:28AM
A I believe that the DOI rule specifies that an exceedance of a state standard would be a defined injury in the injury determination phase. 10:28AM
Q Okay. And an injury to natural resources?
A I think the way it's phrased it would be an injury to the particular resource that's considered. In other words, if there was an exceedance of a state water quality standard, then that would be an indication that there had been a defined injury to surface water, per se, but only surface water. 10:28AM
Q Okay. So let's take metals as an example. Metals standards are numeric criteria? 10:29AM
A Yes, they are.
Q Are they written to protect any particular use of surface water?
A The -- I'm not aware of all state standards, certainly, but the ones that I'm aware of I think are generally biologically based and they're usually determined by potential toxicity to aquatic organisms. 10:29AM
Q Would it be a numeric criteria to protect a fish and wildlife beneficial use? 10:30AM

A It may be defined that way but the -- the 10:30AM
standards themselves are usually based on toxicity to
either invertebrates, algae, in some cases fish, and
are kind of an integrated toxicity value that would be
based on a variety of organisms. 10:30AM
Q Do you believe that the -- that the -- that an
exceedance of a criteria is an injury to the resource
that is identified as the beneficial use that the
standard is intended to protect?
A Well, I have found that the predictive value of 10:30AM
water, of a water quality criterion or standard has
a -- is fairly uncertain as applied to a -- any
individual water body with it's particular water
quality and biological conditions, so although an
exceedance of a standard is defined in the injury 10:31AM
determination, the important thing to me as a scientist
is what does that mean to the organisms living in that
particular water body. And the exceedance of a
standard may indicate that there is some study
warranted to find out whether or not that exceedance is 10:31AM
actually causing adverse effects on whatever animals
are living in that water body or not. So I don't -- I
don't take exceedance of a standard from a biological
standpoint as absolute evidence that there are adverse
effects. 10:32AM

Q Despite the fact that the regulations define it as 10:32AM
an injury?
A That's correct, because remember, I mentioned that
the regulations define it in the injury determination
phase, but the next phase then of an NRDA is the energy 10:32AM
quantification phase, which the rule specifies that any
injury should be quantified in time and space relative
to baseline conditions, based on effects at the
population, the habitat, or the ecosystem level and
defined as a loss of services for that particular 10:32AM
resource.
Q With regard to an exceedance of a water quality
standard as an injury, can quantification mean how
often and where the water quality standard is exceeded?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 10:33AM
A To me, that -- but that doesn't, you could do that
but then that doesn't get at the issue of what is
happening at the population level and has it caused a
reduction in services, either to various biological
groups or to human users of that area. 10:33AM
Q My question is really do the regs permit that type
of analysis?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
Q I'll re-word it.
My question is really: Do the NRDA 10:33AM

regulations permit that type of analysis? 10:33AM
A They may. And I believe they may, but I don't
recall precisely in the case of -- the case you
mentioned of whether or not they do include that.
Q Can you explain to me what quantification means in 10:34AM
the context of the NRDA regulations, injury
quantification?
A Well, injury quantification relative to injuries
to biological resources involves the determination, as
I indicated, at the -- at a higher level of 10:34AM
organization on -- which is population or higher level,
on the -- the magnitude of change and the temporal and
spacial degree of change in that particular metric or
that particular variable, whatever it is. It results
in a -- normally in a determination of a -- of a loss 10:34AM
of what are called services compared to baseline in
time and in space for that particular resource.
Q Is the term "injury quantification" defined in the
NRDA regulations?
A I'm not sure that it -- it's in the list of 10:35AM
definitions. It's -- I think it's well explained as --
as a step in the overall process.
Q As part of your work on natural resource damage
assessments, you've been involved in evaluating
trustee's work on selection of restoration options? 10:35AM

A Yes, I have. 10:35AM
Q Have you ever worked on a case involving
contingent valuation?
A I have, but I need to say that I only have vague
recollections that -- that parts of cases I worked on 10:36AM
involved contingent valuation, but my work has always
been pretty well separate from work by economists that
are involved in damage determination phase and so I'm
not aware of the -- even the issues or the approaches
that were used, but -- but I believe there may have 10:36AM
been several cases that I've worked on that have
involved contingent valuation.
Q Do you recall those cases?
A Montana v. Arco may have had it. It's been so
long ago I don't remember. There are a couple of cases 10:37AM
I'm working on right now that may involve contingent
valuation but I don't even -- I don't feel like I
would -- should mention them because I'm under very
strict confidentiality restrictions on those cases and
I'm not -- I'm also not sure. There may have been 10:38AM
others of the cases I've worked on but I just can't
recall specifics at this time.
Q The -- I believe you had two cases where you have
confidentiality concerns. Do you know for certain
whether or not those involve contingent valuation? 10:38AM

A I'm not certain. 10:38AM
Q They're ongoing cases now?
A Yes.
Q Have you ever been involved in the review of a habitat equivalency analysis? 10:39AM
A Yes, I have.
Q And where is that? What site?
A I'm trying to think of the first one. It's -- I'm involved in a number of cases where they are not cases that at this time are in what I would call the 10:39AM litigation phase, they are -- they are or have been involved in settlement negotiations. And as part of those settlement negotiations habitat equivalency has been used as a tool for discussion purposes.
Q Have you commented on those or provided opinions 10:40AM with regard to the -- the quality of the habitat equivalency analysis?
A I have in one particular ongoing case, which is in an active litigation phase and I just can't -- I can't comment on my comments. In most cases where I'm 10:40AM involved in HEA, it's being -- as I indicated, it's been a tool and it's been the subject of discussions but not the production of written reviews and comments.
Q When you're doing work on natural resource damage assessment cases, is your focus limited to impacts on 10:40AM

biological resources? 10:41AM
A It's not limited to that, but I would say that the main focus of my practice has been on biological resources.
Q Okay. What other focus have you had? 10:41AM
A Well, as I indicated on Montana v. Arco, there were issues associated with -- with surface water concentrations of metals.
Q Can I ask a question about that so I don't get lost while you're there? Was that related to the 10:41AM impact on fish or invertebrates?
A Well, that was more as a -- on water quality per se. I also worked on the potential effects on -- on invertebrates and then, well, in the terrestrial environment other -- other organisms on that case, but 10:42AM there were issues associated with surface water as a resource. There may have been some of those issues at Coeur D'Alene, I just don't recall right now, that were under my general purview. I know most of my work was on -- was on invertebrates. 10:42AM
Q With regard to the metals concentrations in the river itself, was the concern with the concentrations related to impact to fish or other aquatic resources or was it related to, say, drinking water? The part that -- the part that you were looking at? 10:43AM

A Yeah, I was and have not, as I recall, been 10:43AM associated with more of what you might call the -- well, the human services kinds of things, like -- like potential health effects or human use of drinking water or things like water contact. So for my purposes, it 10:43AM would have only concerned potential effects on aquatic life.
Q Okay. I'll try to go back to the original question, which was: Has the focus of your work on natural resource damage assessments been primarily or 10:43AM totally focused on impacts to biological resources and you indicated not completely, and so I'm trying to identify what other areas.
A I see. That's why I guess I was trying to separate out the -- what were some water quality 10:44AM effects, even those -- those water quality effects were related to biological resources, there were issues like this total versus dissolved metals concentrations that were separate. I can't think of any other cases where I have, as I indicated, where I've been associated with 10:44AM other, for example, with human uses, except as it might relate to -- to fisheries were directly related to fish, but as far as health-related issues or other human services associated with waters or organisms, that has not been part of my -- my area of work. 10:45AM

Q Okay. I'm going to stretch your memory. I was 10:45AM asking about your experience with water quality standards and surface water earlier and I wrote down four things that you had worked on. One of them was organic substances. What do you mean by "organic 10:45AM substances"?
A I'm referring to substances that contain organic carbon. And that could be things like pesticides, PCBs, dioxins are some of the more frequent ones. Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons are another. Some 10:45AM organic forms of metals like tributyltin I've worked with, but a wide variety of substances.
Q Would you be looking at -- when you say "organic substances," are you speaking only of organic substances that might be hazardous substances or might 10:46AM you be speaking of something like total organic carbon?
A Well, I would -- I think where you extracted that term may have been referring more to hazardous substances that are organic compounds.
Q And when you were looking at water quality 10:46AM standards with regard to -- can I call them organic compounds?
A Sure.
Q Were you concerned about -- let me start over. When you were looking at organic compounds 10:46AM

with regard to water quality standards, was your 10:47AM
focus on the impacts of those on biological
resources?
A I don't recall working with the relationships
between organic compounds and water quality standards. 10:47AM
My work has been working with those substances and
their potential effects on organisms, both aquatic and
terrestrial organisms.
Q Can we define biological resources? Could you
define that for me in the context that we're discussing 10:47AM
it in terms of what you've worked on primarily with
regard to NRDs?
A Are you asking me to define the groups of
organisms that might fall under that category that I've
worked on? 10:48AM
Q That's a little bit more specific than I need.
I'm just -- I think it might help the discussion if we
define what you mean when you say biological resources
generally, in terms of what you've looked at when
working on NRDs. Because humans, for example, might be 10:48AM
a biological resource so I just want to define what
your area of focus has been.
A My area of focus has been on various, I call them,
biological groups ranging from -- from invertebrates,
fish, birds, mammals, plants. Those are the general 10:48AM

categories that I've -- that I've worked on. 10:49AM
Q Okay. So it isn't accurate to say that what
you've worked on has been limited to biological
resources that exist in water?
A No, not at all. 10:49AM
Q What kinds of mammals have you looked at?
A I've looked at mammals ranking from small mammals,
like mice or moles or shrews or -- a common one that's
looked at, to more intermediate-sized mammals like
foxes, coyotes, others, to large mammals, which might 10:49AM
be deer or elk or other animals.
Q You've looked at the impacts of hazardous
substances on those mammals, is that correct?
A That's correct.
Q And plants, have you looked at aquatic plants and 10:50AM
the impact of hazardous substances on those?
A I don't recall having -- dealing with aquatic
plants as an injured resource and I may be forgetting
something, but I just don't -- I can't recall it right
now. 10:50AM
Q And so those would be terrestrial plants that
you've looked at?
A Mainly terrestrial plants, yes.
Q Okay. Have you looked at -- you indicated that
you had looked at water quality standards with regard 10:51AM

to temperature in DO, is that correct? 10:51AM
A Yes.
Q In what context?
A The first thing that comes to mind is work that --
that I've done for -- in the past for EPA. You'll see 10:51AM
it referenced on my -- my resumT as far as looking at
effects of sewage discharges on various receiving
waters. And dissolved oxygen was an issue on those. I
think dissolved oxygen, as I recall, was an issue on
the work that I did on -- that I mentioned as far as 10:52AM
cooling lakes. I've done some work for EPA and for the
Corps of Engineers on -- on lakes. One, as part of the
clean lakes program and both of those reservoirs
were -- there were issues associated with both
dissolved oxygen and temperature. That's what comes to 10:52AM
mind right now.
Q Were you looking at the effects of dissolved
oxygen and temperature in relation to water quality
standards to look at impacts to aquatic biological
resources? 10:53AM
A That would have been the focus and I -- I have
vague recollections about some relationship to water
quality, not just looking at DO and temperature per se,
but looking at a relative -- certainly in the work for
EPA, that there was -- there were comparisons to state 10:53AM

standards. 10:53AM
Q And when you say the work for the EPA, do you mean
the clean lakes program work?
A Oh, I'm sorry. I was referring to the -- what's
called the 301H work, the work related to sewage 10:53AM
discharges.
Q Is that work that you did at the Lafayette
Reservoir in California or is that different?
A That's different. That was part of a clean lakes
grant. 10:54AM
Q So where was the 301H work done?
A That was a multi-year, multi-faceted project that
was -- that involved the review of applications for
revisions to -- to discharge permits for marine and
estuarian sewage discharges throughout the country. 10:54AM
And so it involved sites from New England and south to
sites on the -- up and down the Pacific coast and also
sites in Hawaii.
Q Was that described in your resumT, that work?
A I would have to check. I believe it is. 10:55AM
Q I'm just checking real quick. Could you help me
locate it?
A Sure. Oh, let's see -- oh, here it is. It would
be on Page 9-9, about the middle of the page. It's
starting "For EPA" and continuing. 10:56AM

Q Thank you. Now, this description says marine 10:56AM
sewage discharges. But you also looked at it for --
and I know I won't say this word correctly, estuarine.
How do you say the word?
A Estuarine. 10:57AM
Q Estuarine?
A It was marine and estuarine.
Q And does marine mean ocean?
A Yes.
Q When you were looking at the standards for -- 10:57AM
water quality standards for marine and estuarine
resources, are the same DO and temperature standards in
place for those resources as they are for fresh water?
A I don't know.
Q What were you doing in that case, on that project 10:57AM
specifically?
A Well, that -- Section 301H of the Clean Water Act
allowed municipalities to submit applications to the
EPA that would basically relieve them from the
requirements to implement secondary treatment of 10:58AM
sewage. And the data requirements and the applications
were -- were extensive for -- as far as that program
for what a municipality would need to show to be
relieved of that requirement under the Clean Water Act.
After promulgation of that rule, a number of cities 10:58AM

around the country decided to submit very voluminous 10:58AM
applications and we, the team that I was working on at
the time, I believe that's when it started. It was
when I was at Tetra Tech, was retained as the
scientific contractor to review all of those 10:59AM
applications and provide input in to EPA in their
decision-making process on whether to grant the waiver
or reject the waiver.
Q Were you looking -- did you review those
applications to determine whether or not they would 10:59AM
meet water quality standards in the receiving water in
the absence of secondary treatment?
A Yes, that was part of the review.
Q Did you also look to see -- did you do any
evaluations of what you thought those levels -- let me 10:59AM
rephrase that.
Did you do any evaluations of what the
impact of the discharge would be on the aquatic
resources in the receiving water body?
A Yes. 11:00AM
Q Did you do those analyses on a site specific basis
for each of the applications?
A That's correct.
Q Did you do field work to identify and evaluate the
impacts? 11:00AM

A In general, no. However, I do recall the 11:00AM
collection of some data that we did at one or two
sewage discharges in Alaska where there is very limited
information, but for certainly the vast majority of
cases there was extensive data compiled by the 11:00AM
municipalities and so our job was to review that
information without collecting anything new.
Q When you were doing the more site specific
evaluation of the impacts of the discharge, were you
looking at whether the addition of whatever pollutants 11:01AM
was at issue would increase dissolved -- or decrease
dissolved oxygen levels based on what was already there
or what it should have been?
A First, I don't -- if we did conduct studies, and I
think we did, I don't recall specifically what we 11:02AM
looked at, except I believe that we monitored benthic
invertebrate communities, but I don't recall whether we
were also looking at water quality measurements. We
may have. But the analyses would have been associated
with a -- an evaluation of are there impacts or were 11:02AM
there impacts at that time of the current discharge
conditions which would have been something less than
secondary treatment.
Q And just so I make sure that I understand, were
you looking at whether the discharge was currently 11:03AM

meeting, for example, DO standards? 11:03AM
A That would have been -- are you asking that in a
general sense for all of the -- or the specific studies
that we may have conducted at one or more sites in
Alaska? 11:03AM
Q For all.
A For all. That was part of the evaluation.
Although I should say that that was not -- as I
indicated in my resumT, my responsibilities on that
particular project were to serve as the chief 11:03AM
biologist, which was leading a team of biologists
evaluating the biological data. But I was working
closely with the individuals that were evaluating the
physical water qualities and other information
associated with the discharge. 11:03AM
Q Okay. Going back then to your work on cooling
lakes. Did you evaluate whether discharges from --
were they electric generating plants?
A Yes, they were.
Q Did you evaluate whether discharges from the 11:04AM
electric generating plants were resulting in violations
of dissolved oxygen standards?
A We may have, but I can't recall specifically.
What I do recall is the work being more associated with
evaluation of the biological conditions that would 11:04AM

result in these cooling lakes, but it seems to me and 11:04AM
it's been a lot of years since I worked on that, that
we were also evaluating both temperature and dissolved
oxygen regimes that would exist in those impoundments.
Q Did you publish papers on that work? 11:05AM
A I -- we did reports and I think there may be one
paper listed in my resumT associated with that, but
most of the information would have been in the reports
for EPRI.
THE REPORTER: The reports? 11:05AM
A For EPRI, E-P-R-I.
Q You may have defined that earlier, but what does EPRI stand for?
A The Electric Power Research Institute in Menlo
Park, California. 11:05AM
Q Would it be accurate to say then the focus of your work was on assessment of biological -- well, I'm going to just strike that question with regard to the cooling lake stuff.
The clean lakes program work, how many -- 11:06AM were they lakes or reservoirs that you worked on as part of that project?
A That was just Lafayette Reservoir in California.
Q And did you -- what was the issue at Lafayette Reservoir? 11:06AM

A The issue there was -- it was a fairly deep lake, 11:06AM
it was stratified during the summer. As I remember, I
think the hypolimnion became anoxic and there were
issues associated with the -- the current biological
conditions of the lake. And as I recall, the potential 11:07AM
applicability of hypolimnetic aeration as a restoration
technique for the lake and what that might -- it's
overall feasibility and what that might do to improve
the conditions in the lake.
Q You indicate that the lake was eutrophic, is that 11:07AM correct?
A Yes.
Q What was the source of the eutrophication in Lafayette Reservoir?
A I'm not -- I don't recall. Lafayette Reservoir 11:07AM
was a very interesting reservoir in that it had a
very -- it's catchment basin was small. It was
undeveloped primarily, just native oak woodland in that
area. There was water imported to fill the lake from
central California that was piped a long ways and it 11:08AM
may have been nutrients in that, the water that was
piped in to fill the lake.
Q Was it nutrients that was causing the eutrophication?
A Well, I think it was. It was phosphorus, as I 11:08AM

recall, but that lake was not the -- there were not 11:09AM
significant adverse water quality conditions in the --
the epilimnion, the upper layers, but the problem at
Lafayette Reservoir was the thermal stratification and
the anoxic hypolimnion. 11:09AM
Q And why is that a problem?
A It was looked at as a potential problem as far as
an expansion that if the hypolimnion could become
oxygenated that it could expand total biological
habitat in the lake. 11:09AM
Q Explain that to me, please. I didn't mean to say it like that.
A Yeah. Well, as I recall, Lafayette Reservoir,
there was just very little oxygen in the deep waters
during the summer and so there was -- there was very 11:10AM
limited benthic productivity there and there was very
limited fish habitat in that area, even though fish
populations seemed to be thriving in the reservoir,
they were very abundant. During the summer, the fish
all lived in the epilimnion or right on the border of 11:10AM
the hypolimnion. And EPA, as I indicated, was looking
at just the feasibility of using that lake as a
candidate for hypolimnetic aeration to be able to
oxygenate the hypolimnion.
Q And why did they want to do that, to increase 11:10AM

habitat, is that correct or -- 11:11AM
A As I recall, I can't remember any other reason.
Q What is an anoxic hypolimnion? What does that mean?
A That means there's essentially no dissolved oxygen 11:11AM
in the water in the hypolimnion. But I just thought of
something else in response to your question, that I
think they were also looking at the potential to
oxygenate the hypolimnion to decrease phosphorus
release from the sediments during that period. So it 11:11AM
was both a habitat increase and essentially a
phosphorus control mechanism to try to keep the
phosphorus more tightly bound in the sediments if they
could be oxygenated rather than becoming anoxic.
Q Were they concerned about phosphorus in the 11:12AM sediments being re-suspended into the water column, is that correct?
A Yes. As I recall, the primary loading of
phosphorus to the water column was the phosphorus that
was tied up in the sediments in the bottom. 11:12AM
Q How does phosphorus contribute to an anoxic hypolimnion in a reservoir?
A The anoxic hypolimnion can result when there is
a -- there are a couple things. One is there's a
thermal stratification that essentially isolates the 11:12AM

lower levels of the lake from the upper surface levels 11:13AM
of the lake, so you have an isolated mass of water down
deep. And there is sufficient production of organic
material in the surface layers so that there is
essentially some settling organic matter from the 11:13AM
layers above that ends up using up oxygen as it settles
to the bottom and also just organic sediments use up
oxygen. And because that mass of water is isolated
from the surface, it can't become re-oxygenated and so
the oxygen, as long as there's the stratification in 11:13AM
effect, the oxygen can be depleted in that area without
any photosynthesis going on because it's down deep away
from sunlight and there can be a gradual depletion of
oxygen.
Q How does phosphorus contribute to anoxic 11:14AM
conditions?
A Well, if phosphorus could contribute -- if
phosphorus were to be stimulating phytoplankton growth
in the upper layers, that can increase the amount of
organic matter that might being settling and moving 11:14AM
down into the hypolimnion.
Q Can the -- can the phytoplankton also contribute
to decreases in dissolved oxygen in the epilimnion?
A Well, they can at night if there's sufficient
phytoplankton that are there and during periods where 11:14AM

there's no sunlight they can use up oxygen in the 11:15AM
surface waters if there's enough.
Q When we're talking about phytoplankton in common
terms, is that algae?
A Yes, generally microscopic algae that are drifting 11:15AM
with the water.
Q And does phosphorus contribute to the growth of
any other -- any other type of aquatic organisms
besides phytoplankton?
A Well, phosphorus can -- can stimulate the growth 11:15AM
of -- of any green plant. It could stimulate the
growth of larger what are called emergent plants or
macrophytes even that are submerged in the water, large
leafy plants. It could also stimulate the growth of
attached algae onto hard surfaces. And when I said 11:16AM
phytoplankton, I was talking about the small plants
that are essentially suspended in the water.
Q Do those other aquatic vegetation and attached
algae, can they contribute to anoxic hypolimnion?
A Well, the -- those plants are different in that 11:16AM
they're -- they're growing. They're attached so
they're growing in the shallower parts of the water
body. I suppose that to the extent that if they
were -- if they were decaying amounts of those -- of
those plants that could find its way down into the 11:17AM

hypolimnion they could, by the decay of that plant 11:17AM
material they could contribute, but there would have to
be a transport mechanism for them to get to the
hypolimnion.
Q The process we've just been discussing with regard 11:17AM
to phosphorus contributing to the growth of
phytoplankton, attached algae, or other vegetation, can
that process increase the volume of the lake that is
anoxic?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 11:17AM
A Could you repeat it again just so I fully
understand it?
Q I absolutely could never say the question again.
Does -- does the decaying organic matter that we've
discussed, can that contribute to an increase in the 11:18AM
anoxic volume of a reservoir?
A There is a relationship between -- all other
factors being equal, to the amount of decaying plant
material and the degree -- and organic material in
general. And that would include sources from outside 11:18AM
the reservoir or whatever. Organic material that is --
that can potentially decay, there would be a
relationship between the amount of that material and
the degree of oxygen depletion in a hypolimnion.
Q Simplistically would it be accurate to say the 11:19AM

more organic material, the greater the impact on 11:19AM
dissolved oxygen levels in a reservoir?
A I guess if everything else being constant, that
there could be a relationship there, that the more
decay of organic material that occurred there's a 11:19AM
potential, at least, for -- for more depletion of
oxygen.
Q Why is -- are dissolved oxygen levels important to
fish?
A Well, the simplest response is that fish need 11:19AM
oxygen to survive. They need dissolved oxygen to
survive with very few exceptions, but most fish depend
on dissolved oxygen in the water at some level to
survive.
Q Are there fairly well established levels for the 11:20AM
concentrations of DO that are important for fish to
survive and thrive?
A Well, I know that there certainly are published
values. There are -- there have been many studies done
dating back to the, gee, probably the '50s or maybe 11:20AM
even earlier as far as dissolved oxygen tolerance
levels for various species.
Q Are there water quality standards that establish
those values?
A Yes. 11:20AM

MS. BURCH: We're going to take a break. 11:21AM
THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now off the record. The time is 11:21 a.m.
(Following a short recess, proceedings 11:21AM continued on the record.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 11:33 a.m.
Q I think when we left off we were talking about why dissolved oxygen is important for fish and -- and I 11:34AM wanted to ask what types of impact can there be to fish populations when there are low dissolved oxygen levels present in a reservoir?
A If the dissolved oxygen levels are sufficiently low, the -- I guess the most severe impact could be 11:34AM fish kills where fish actually die during stressful periods due to the low dissolved oxygen. If -- if dissolved oxygen were low but not sufficient to cause mortalities, then the -- the low DO could cause reductions in any abundance or the condition of fish if 11:35AM it was at a stressful level but not lethal level, for example.
Q What do you mean by condition of fish?
A The -- the relative weight compared to its length, I guess, would be the most common expression that the 11:35AM

fish may -- may not be growing or developing as they 11:35AM should so they could be in a stressed condition where they just weren't -- weren't healthy from an overall perspective.
Q Can it affect reproduction rates? 11:36AM
A It's conceivable that it could, depending on if there were -- depending on where the -- the low dissolved oxygen levels were and especially relative to the timing of reproductive periods or the timing of early -- of the early life cycles, the existence of 11:36AM eggs or larvae in certain areas, it could be important.
Q Are there any other impacts that you can think of?
A It's -- I guess it's a potential that if the dissolved oxygen levels were sufficiently low and stress the fish to a certain degree, then the fish 11:37AM might be more susceptible to disease or parasites. That's conceivable that it could cause adverse effects. I think that's all I can think of.
Q Okay. Why -- are DO concentrations important to invertebrate populations in a reservoir? 11:37AM
A Yes.
Q Why is that?
A Well, invertebrates -- invertebrates have widely varying tolerances to dissolved oxygen, to low dissolved oxygen, but invertebrates need some level of 11:37AM

oxygen to be able to survive. And if there is very low 11:38AM dissolved oxygen then that will limit the -- the kinds of invertebrates that could live under those conditions.
Q Are there invertebrates that live in places other 11:38AM than sediments in a reservoir?
A Oh, yes, there are.
Q Are the types of invertebrates that live in sediments called benthic macroinvertebrates?
A That's correct. 11:38AM
Q In your report, do you denominate benthic macroinvertebrates as MBI -- or BMI?
A BMI, yes.
Q BMI. How are benthic macroinvertebrates that are in the sediments of a reservoir that experience an 11:39AM anoxic hypolimnion impacted?
MS. BURCH: I'm sorry. Could you read that question again?
(Whereupon, the previous question was read back by the reporter.) 11:39AM
A In the bottom areas, they're in contact with an anoxic hypolimnion. If that condition were to exist, then I would expect there to be fewer kinds and lower abundances of benthic macroinvertebrates than would exist in a -- in the same kind of situation without an 11:40AM

anoxic hypolimnion. 11:40AM
Q In areas which are not affected by an anoxic hypolimnion but may be affected by a low DO concentration perhaps that is not meeting a water quality standard, could there be impacts to benthic 11:40AM macroinvertebrate population?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, that would depend a lot on the nature of -- of the particular water body. The organisms that live in the deeper areas of lakes are generally not as -- as 11:41AM abundant and diverse, let's say, as the benthic organisms that live in the more near shore, shallow environments in lakes. So there's a naturally different community in those deeper darker areas of the lake. And any effects would depend upon, as you 11:41AM mentioned, for conditions that might not be anoxic but might have lower dissolved oxygen levels would depend on the -- the tolerances, the oxygen tolerances of those organisms that might live there relative to the organisms that might be there under higher dissolved 11:42AM oxygen levels, so I can't really answer that in a very general sense.
Q Can lower dissolved oxygen levels result in changes to the make up of benthic macroinvertebrates in those near shore areas over time? 11:42AM

A If the -- if dissolved oxygen depressions were 11:42AM
severe enough to stress those organisms or result in
mortalities of those organisms, there could be a change
in the community structure of benthic
macroinvertebrates compared to what would exist there 11:42AM
under higher oxygen levels.
Q Is the same thing true with regard to fish
populations? Can there be community structure changes
as a result of changes in dissolved oxygen levels over
time? 11:43AM
A If -- if those changes were severe enough to
actually cause adverse effects in the fish, then that
could be manifested as a change in community
composition.
Q Do some of the impacts that we talked about 11:43AM
earlier, like changes in the abundance or condition of
fish, can those changes result in changes to the
community structure of a fish population in a
reservoir?
A I guess -- it's conceivable. Everything, as far 11:43AM
as effects and whether that particular effect on an
individual becomes manifested in the population or
community, depends on the severity of the effect on the
individual. Natural populations have -- have the
ability to compensate for certain levels of effects at 11:44AM

the individual level where you will see no effect with 11:44AM
the population and no change in the community, even
though there may be some effects at the individual
level. But at a certain level, and it's all part of a
continuum, where the effect was severe enough at the 11:44AM
individual level, then it's conceivable that that
effect could become propagated through at the
population or community level.
Q I should have done this earlier, but when you're
speaking of eutrophication, what does that mean? 11:45AM
A Eutrophication is the general process of the
stimulation of plant growth that results from the
addition of nutrients.
Q Does eutrophication, the eutrophication process
occur in reservoirs as well as rivers or streams? 11:45AM
A Yes.
Q What happens in a reservoir when eutrophication
occurs?
A When eutrophication occurs, there is an increased
growth of plants and usually -- excuse me, but were you 11:46AM
asking about reservoirs here --
Q Yes.
A -- as I recall? So the main issue in reservoirs
is usually a stimulation of growth of phytoplankton as
the result of -- of the addition of what's referred to 11:46AM

as a limiting nutrient, something that -- that 11:46AM
essentially might have been lower and limiting the
growth of phytoplankton, but then with additional
nutrient input the phytoplankton then would respond
and -- and develop more dense, more abundant 11:46AM
populations.
Q And what are the impacts on water quality that
result from that eutrophication process?
A Well, the -- I guess the most significant
potential effects, if the eutrophication process 11:47AM
becomes sufficiently severe, then the ultimate water
quality impacts could be low dissolved oxygen, it can
be the stimulation of nuisance levels of algae that are
either -- that in some cases just cover the surface and
color the water. The surface is essentially a green 11:47AM
scum. The decaying algae can cause odor problems even
and there can be -- can be die offs of fish.
Q Can it result in larger populations of bluegreen
algae?
A Yes, it can. 11:47AM
Q When eutrophied water is utilized by a drinking
water supply with conventional treatment, do you know
whether that can lead to the formation of disinfection
byproducts in the finished water?
A Well, there you're getting out of my area of 11:48AM

expertise. I'm not -- I've heard the terms you're 11:48AM
using but it's not an area I've studied or that I
really know anything about.
Q Are there various levels of eutrophication that
are commonly recognized? 11:48AM
A There are.
Q Can you identify them for me?
A Well, the general levels are oligotrophic,
O-L-I-G-O-T-R-O-P-H-I-C, which is if you're talking
about an increasing scale here. If I'm starting at the 11:49AM
bottom end, so to speak. And the next level would be
mesoeutrophic and the next level would be eutrophic and
the next level would be hypereutrophic.
Q And is -- just to clarify the scale, is
hypereutrophic the most eutrophic class? 11:49AM
A That's correct.
Q How do you distinguish whether water bodies fall
into those four categories?
A Well, there are indices that are used. I think
maybe one of the more common ones is called the trophic 11:49AM
state index, or TSI, and it's based on chlorophyll-a
concentrations in the water. I think that's probably
the most common, but there -- I believe there are also
evaluations based on -- based on turbidity that come
into play and -- and/or dissolved oxygen fluctuations 11:50AM

and other assessments. 11:50AM
Q Have you ever conducted a study of a reservoir to determine its trophic status?
A I can't recall where I've done an original study that was used to classify a reservoir according to its 11:50AM trophic status.
Q Just to clarify, what do you mean by "an original study"? I guess, have you ever done the work that someone relied on for some purpose that classified a lake as the -- classified the trophic status of a lake? 11:51AM
A Yeah. To clarify, that's -- I mean, that's what I meant by I have not done a study where data were collected and subsequently used by myself or another entity to classify a lake.
Q And when you say "to classify a lake," you mean to classify its trophic status? 11:51AM
A Yes. Sorry, I do mean to classify its trophic status.
Q What does eutrophication mean in the context of a stream? 11:51AM
A Well, a stream is a little different situation than lakes because in the eutrophication of a stream, you can have two types of -- of stimulation of plant growth. One would be the phytoplankton, the small drifting plants that I talked about for lakes. But 11:52AM

there's also the potential for stimulation of attached 11:52AM algae, attached plants that grow on rocks or other hard substrates that could increase an abundance.
Q So what kind of -- is that caused by nutrients as well? 11:52AM
A Yes. I'm using the term "eutrophication" here to mean the stimulation of plant growth by nutrients.
Q Is there also a limiting nutrient for eutrophication in streams?
A Typically in fresh waters the limiting nutrient is 11:53AM phosphorus, whether it's a stream or a lake.
Q What kinds of water quality impacts result from eutrophication of a stream?
A Well, if the eutrophication is sufficiently extreme, you can have a reduction in dissolved oxygen. 11:53AM There can be -- as the result of -- of the stimulation of plant growth on the bottom, there could be changes in the benthic macroinvertebrate communities, changes in -- changes in the fish communities as a result of that change in the -- in that basic fundamental habitat 11:53AM of the stream.
Q How does the fundamental habitat of the stream change?
A Well, if you were to contrast a situation where you had, let's say, clean gravel in a stream, that is a 11:54AM

certain kind of habitat and would attract, so to speak, 11:54AM or provide habitat for certain fishes that like to be around that gravel, that size particle, and a certain benthic community that lives associated with that gravel. If there were sufficient stimulation of the 11:54AM attached plants that grow on that gravel, then the habitat changes and there could be different benthic macroinvertebrates then that find that habitat attractive. Maybe more invertebrates rather than -- at one extreme, the invertebrates living there might be 11:55AM the ones that tend to catch their food out of the water column as it drifts by versus at the other extreme where you have that plant growth that I talked about, organisms that tend to -- to feed on the plants, that are attached to the rocks. So there could be different 11:55AM communities associated with that if the change in plant growth was sufficient.
Q Were we just talking about the benthic macroinvertebrate habitat?
A That's correct. 11:55AM
Q What about how does it affect fish habitat?
A In a similar way, although the benthic organisms live in such a direct intimate contact with the substrate that they're probably closer to -- to the situation, but fish also have preferences for the kinds 11:56AM

of substrate in a stream that they're associated with 11:56AM and there are also fish that like to feed on attached algae. So as you progress along that continuum of eutrophication there may be more foods for those species. So it can affect them in the same general way 11:56AM as the benthic macroinvertebrates.
Q Does it become unsuitable habitat for some species?
A It could if there were sufficient -- sufficient plant growth. Some species that prefer, let's say, a 11:56AM clean gravel might find it -- that habitat inappropriate. Other species that prefer to live around more filamentous algae growing on the rocks might be attracted.
Q Can the habitat be impacted in other ways in addition to that by eutrophication? 11:57AM
A In addition to that? In addition to filamentous algae?
Q Yes.
A Well, if -- if the plant growth were extreme, then 11:57AM you could have a reduction in dissolved oxygen in the stream in the same way that you could have in a lake and so the available habitat could be changed then, too.
Q In a eutrophic stream, does dissolved oxygen -- do 11:58AM

dissolved oxygen levels fluctuate during the day? 11:58AM
A I would expect them to. It would depend on the --
well, the depth of the stream and the -- and the -- how
fast the water was moving through the system. The
more -- if it was a very slow moving stream, I would 11:58AM
expect the potential to be more significant than if it
was a relatively fast moving stream.
Q Is there any predictable cycle to the fluctuation,
i.e., does dissolved oxygen in a eutrophic system tend
to be lower at night or higher at night? 11:58AM
A Well, the general trend would be the dissolved
oxygen would tend to be higher during the day and then
would tend to be lower at night.
Q Is that called diurnal fluctuation?
A Yes. 11:59AM
Q What causes that, that shift?
A It's photosynthesis and respiration. During the
day, phytoplankton are photosynthesizing and they're
producing oxygen. And at night they're expiring. They
and other organisms are using up oxygen, but they're 11:59AM
not photosynthesizing, not releasing more oxygen at
night so that causes this potential for a diurnal
fluctuation that you mentioned.
Q Eutrophication in streams result in fish kill as
well? 12:00PM

A If it's severe enough and it depletes the oxygen 12:00PM
to a sufficiently low value for a long enough time then
it can. Then it can cause mortalities of fish.
Q Can eutrophication result in bluegreen algae in
streams? 12:00PM
A Yes, it could.
Q Do you know anything about cyanotoxins?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Very little. I know that -- I believe that
cyanotoxins are toxins released by bluegreen algae, but 12:00PM
I've not studied the -- I have not studied them.
Q Do you know whether the toxins produced by
bluegreen algae can impact aquatic life?
A Yes. I believe that at sufficient concentrations
that -- that toxins released by bluegreen algae can 12:01PM
causes mortalities of aquatic life.
Q Does that include both fish and benthic
macroinvertebrates?
A I guess -- I believe it does, but I'm more sure of
fish than benthic invertebrates. 12:01PM
Q Do you know of any particular cyanotoxin that has
the potential to adversely impact fish?
A I cannot recall one.
Q Are there methods for -- standard methods for
identifying the trophic status of a stream? 12:02PM

A I'm not aware of the methods for a stream, the 12:02PM
methods that may apply to a stream.
Q Are there these four divisions that we discussed
earlier with regard to reservoirs which -- reservoirs
which are applicable to streams? 12:02PM
A They very well may be.
Q Do you know whether the TSI, the trophic state
index, is applicable to streams?
A I don't know if that's used for streams or not.
Q Are there any water quality standards that are 12:02PM
specifically designed to look at eutrophication in
streams? I'm going to rephrase that because I don't
know what "look at" means.
Are there any water quality standards that
are specifically designed to address eutrophication 12:03PM
in streams?
A Well, I believe there are, but I'm not familiar
with all of them. But -- but in most areas there
are -- there's I think what are called in Oklahoma
"beneficial use analyses" that look at various -- 12:03PM
various categories of beneficial uses of streams and
lakes, too, for that matter. And there are
determinations made as far as whether or not those --
those beneficial uses are being supported and their --
includes various categories associated with fish and 12:04PM

wildlife protection and human contact and other 12:04PM
categories.
Q Where would I locate the beneficial use analysis
that you're referring to?
A Well, the ones that I've seen are -- are produced 12:04PM
in a -- what I'm familiar with are an annual series of
reports produced by the Oklahoma Water Resources Board
that indicate for each one of those beneficial uses
whether or not they're being supported for various
water bodies throughout the state. 12:04PM
Q I'm -- I see. Do you know whether there are water
quality standards dealing with eutrophication in
streams?
A I'm not -- I'm not aware of that.
Q Do you know whether there are water quality 12:05PM
standards dealing with eutrophication in reservoirs,
specifically Lake Tenkiller in Oklahoma?
A I'm not aware of the specifics, no.
Q Can eutrophication, the eutrophication process
that occurs in a reservoir result in changes to the 12:05PM
community structure of the fishery?
A When you say "the community structure of the
fishery," are you referring to the community -- the
community structure of the fishes or when you say "the
fishery" it means what people are catching. 12:06PM

Q I mean the fishes. 12:06PM
A Okay. Well, my answer would be the same as before is that if -- if eutrophication is severe enough along a continuum, then the community that exists under a higher eutrophic state, let's say, can be different 12:06PM than the community that would exist at a lower eutrophic state.
Q Would you expect to see a different population make up of fishes in a eutrophic lake as opposed to a oligotrophic lake? 12:07PM
A If all other things were equal for this -- for these two hypothetical lakes, it is possible that the fish community could be different in a eutrophic lake than in an oligotrophic lake, let's say.
Q Is there a make up of fish populations that you 12:07PM will expect to see in a eutrophic lake?
A It all depends on -- on how eutrophic that lake is. If the lake were highly eutrophic, I would expect to see higher abundances probably of what are classified as more tolerant species by various 12:08PM classification schemes. When compared to a lake very low on the trophic scale where I might see higher proportions of what are termed intolerant fish species.
Q Would you expect to see -- let's use Carlson's trophic state index as our guide on levels of eutrophic 12:08PM

conditions. In the example that you just spoke of, 12:08PM when you were saying very high, were you referring to hypereutrophic conditions or eutrophic conditions?
A Well, I don't think that I could put an exact boundary on it. As I said, it's a continuum. The 12:09PM people have put boundaries on some of these things according to four -- four or five classifications, whatever, according to, for example, in Carlson's TSI at certain cut-off points, ten to 20 and 20 to 30 and 30 to 40 and 50 or above I think it is, but it's -- 12:09PM that in itself is just not going to, you know, or at least -- and I don't know of precise cutoffs for what the fish communities might look like under those various situations. All I can say is that there -- there may be a gradual response and when you get near 12:10PM the ends of that continuum, I could probably predict what the differences in fish community structure might be, but to try to cut it down any narrower than that, I don't have the knowledge or tools and I don't know if it's out there as far as being able to predict what 12:10PM that fish community might look like.
Q When you were talking about more tolerant species with regard to eutrophication, what do you mean by that?
A Well, I mean there are -- there are tolerance 12:10PM

categories that have been developed and published in 12:10PM the literature. As far as the tolerance of fish for changes in both water quality conditions and the tolerance of fish relative to habitat changes. And investigators, and including some folks from Oklahoma, 12:11PM have taken a look at the fish that live in their area and they've just assigned a range of tolerance values typically ranking from, at one extreme -- at the bottom of the extreme, intolerant fish, which just have a very narrow range of tolerance, which like a certain water 12:11PM quality and a certain habitat type. And they can't -- they just can't tolerate changes in those very much. Usually there's a moderately intolerant range and then a moderately tolerant category and then a tolerant category at the other end of the range. Usually four 12:11PM categories, as I recall. And it's based on professional judgment, usually of the investigators, on what is known about the habitat and water quality requirements of those species.
Q When these judgments about the tolerance of the 12:12PM species are made in their -- and they're evaluating changes in water quality, are they made based on their tolerance to particular types of water quality problems?
A It's -- the sense I get is that dissolved oxygen 12:12PM

plays a large part in -- as far as that water quality 12:12PM tolerance classification. There may be some other factors in there, perhaps turbidity, too, but it's -- it's at least driven in a large part, from what I've seen, by dissolved oxygen. 12:13PM
Q And habitat changes. I mean, how is that -- how is that evaluated for these tolerance rangings?
A That has more to do with the tolerance to a variety of factors that might be associated with sedimentation, riparian vegetation, stream flow 12:13PM conditions. I think those are the main ones. There are usually a couple more that may be considered, but the nature of the riparian areas and the nature of the sediments and the general flow characteristics are important. 12:13PM
Q So in Oklahoma, when -- you're saying that that has been done in Oklahoma, are you referencing a publication by Jester?
A Jester, et al.
Q Jester, et al. Were these -- were these 12:14PM tolerance -- were there four categories of tolerances that were identified in the Jester report?
A As I recall, I think there were. It was as I described them.
Q Can these tolerances be different based on the 12:14PM

particular water body at issue? 12:14PM
A Well, are you asking me, for example, in a fish classified as intolerant one water body might have another classification in another water body?
Q Yes. 12:15PM
A I don't know the answer to that, if it could change. I know that -- I think that what Jester, et al, did, as I recall, was that the authors put their heads together and used a consensus assessment of what they thought the appropriate tolerance level should be 12:15PM and they're not -- they're not always agreeing, but I think using a consensus approach like that is an appropriate way to do it since it is based on professional judgment.
Q Were the tolerance levels that were developed in 12:15PM the Jester, et al, research and publication based on regional specific studies?
A I don't recall.
Q What was -- what was the purpose of this Jester, et al, research? Was it intended to be used for 12:16PM regulatory purposes?
A I don't recall the purpose, if one was stated.
Q Do you know whether it's used currently for any regulatory purpose?
A Well, I believe that the -- as part of the index 12:16PM

used to evaluate fish communities as parts of the BUMP 12:16PM process, the beneficial use process, that the proportion of -- of intolerant species is one of the indices, as I recall, that's used in that. So it would -- to the extent that that's a regulatory 12:17PM program, then I believe it would be used in that.
Q Do you know whether it's a regulatory program?
A No, I don't.
Q Do you know whether that -- that particular index is a water quality standard? 12:17PM
A No, I don't.
Q Does the designation of particular fish as moderately tolerant mean that that particular species will not be impacted by changes in DO?
A No. I mean, it's possible that even if a fish is 12:18PM categorized, let's say, as moderately tolerant, I think that was your question, that you could have dissolved oxygen levels sufficiently low that it could still be affected.
Q Is the same thing true about species designated as 12:18PM tolerant?
A Yes.
Q Does the presence of an intolerant species mean that DO levels are adequate to support all species?
A I don't think you could say that. I just -- it 12:19PM

says what it means, that just that the presence of 12:19PM those species indicate, based on the species that are categorized, that species that are there that have a relatively low tolerance for water quality changes and that there could be species out there, for example, 12:19PM that are even more sensitive. So it doesn't mean necessarily that it's protective of everything, but it just provides a valuable indication if you have a high percentage of -- of intolerant species that the water quality conditions are not -- not adverse to those 12:20PM species which are classified as being relatively sensitive to changes.
Q How do you develop the percentages? I mean, how do you know if a percentage of intolerant species is high or low? 12:20PM
A That's a matter of -- I don't know that there are any absolute criteria there, so it's just a matter of looking at it and seeing if it's -- if it forms any kind of a significant proportion of the population. It could be on a -- on some kind of a scale. 12:20PM
Q If a -- if a species is listed as intolerant, does it mean that it's intolerant to DO and turbidity or just one of the two variables?
A I don't recall how, how -- if and how Jester, et al, may have described that. It's been some time since 12:21PM

I saw the publication. 12:21PM
Q When you were doing this work, did you attempt to identify which species that were identified as intolerant what they were in particular intolerant to based on Jester's work? 12:21PM
A No, I did not go further into each individual species as far as its tolerance.
Q Did you identify any species based on Jester's work that were intolerant -- that were listed as intolerant to phosphorus? 12:22PM
A No.
Q What about in any of the other categories, moderately tolerant?
A No, not relative to phosphorus itself.
Q I had the same sort of question about the habitat 12:22PM changes. Based on Jester's work, could you distinguish whether something was rated as tolerant or intolerant or something in between based on any particular type of habitat change?
A I don't recall that kind of information being in 12:22PM Jester, et al. But as I said, it's been a while since I looked at the document.
Q Those two things, changes in water quality and habitat changes, are they combined to come up with the tolerance rating? 12:23PM

A As I recall, Jester, et al, had separate -- 12:23PM
separate categorizations for each of those two
categories.
Q Believe it or not, this conversation started as a
result of questions that I had about you working on 12:23PM
Lake Lafayette in California. You worked for EPA in
that case?
A Yes.
Q And what were you asked to do specifically? What
was your role? 12:23PM
A Well, it's been some time. I think what we were
asked was to compile what was known about the lake,
biological and water quality conditions, and we also
conducted studies of the lake, both benthic communities
and fish, and water quality measurements. And we wrote 12:24PM
a report and I think in that report we were -- we were
evaluating the potential feasibility of hypolimnetic
aeration, although I do not recall the details of what
we concluded.
Q Did you produce that report to EPA? 12:24PM
A Yes.
Q Do you still have a copy of that report?
A I don't believe I do.
Q Do you recall what the name of it is, the report?
A No, I don't. 12:24PM

Q Which EPA region did you submit it to? 12:25PM
A Let's see, I don't think it was an EPA region. As
I remember, we were -- the contract that we were
awarded to do that came out of the ORD, Office of
Research and Development, in Corvallis, Oregon. 12:25PM
Q Did you work with Dr. Sullivan on that project,
Tim Sullivan?
A No.
Q Have you ever worked with Dr. Tim Sullivan on
anything? 12:25PM
A No, I haven't.
Q Did you identify any issues with biological
conditions in Lafayette Lake as a result of
eutrophication?
A Well, it's casting back a number of years but one 12:26PM
thing that sticks out in my mind was the -- there were
fairly high populations of a particular fish species
that feeds on phytoplankton that had been introduced in
the lake and those fish seem to be doing very well as a
result of fairly high phytoplankton abundance. But I 12:26PM
just can't recall the data, any other findings or
results or anything else.
Q You don't recall whether or not there were any
impacts to the fish or benthics in Lafayette as a
result of eutrophication? 12:27PM

A I just don't remember what we found. It would be 12:27PM
in the report.
Q Can you identify any matter you have worked on
involving evaluation of temperature and surface water
body in relation to water quality standards? 12:27PM
A Could I refer to my resumT?
Q Absolutely, that would be great.
A Could you rephrase the question again, please?
Q Can you identify any instances where you've worked
with temperature in surface water bodies in relation to 12:28PM
water quality standards? Can I -- we're going to run
out of tape here in a second and probably break for
lunch. Can I ask you a follow up question and we'll
come back to that one after we come back from lunch?
A Okay. 12:28PM
Q Do you recall with the Lafayette Lake project
whether you did any evaluation of the effectiveness of
hypolimnetic aeration addressing DO concerns?
A I believe we did and I believe it was part of our
report, but I can't remember what we concluded as far 12:29PM
as its applicability or whether it was ever
implemented. I don't know.
Q Did -- oh, you don't know if it was ever
implemented?
A I don't. 12:29PM

Q Also on your resume you make reference to some 12:29PM
work that you did on hypereutrophic conditions in the
Upper Klamath Lake in Oregon. I believe that was on
Page 3-1 and 2 of your report.
A Mm-hmm. 12:29PM
Q What kind of work did you do there?
A That work was for -- I believe that was for the
Corps of Engineers and we were evaluating what was
known about the lake, the available information on --
on the biological conditions in the lake and the 12:30PM
nutrient sources to the lake and -- and I think it had
a restoration component to it associated with a
determination of whether or not any identified nutrient
sources were controllable and, if so, what might that
mean to a changing eutrophic status in the lake. 12:30PM
Q Did you publish a report on that?
A I think a report was published. We were actually
doing that work as a subcontractor to another firm
and -- but I think there would have been a report at
the end of it. 12:31PM
Q Who were you the subtractor for? What firm?
A I don't recall the name of the firm. It was
not -- it was a very small, small local firm in Oregon.
Q And can you tell me what region, the Army Corps of
Engineers region you submitted -- the report would have 12:32PM

been submitted to? 12:32PM
A You know, I'm thinking back on this and there was work with both the Corps and with BIA, the Bureau of Indian Affairs. They were heavily involved in it because the lake is surrounded by the Klamath tribal 12:32PM lands and I don't know whether the report went to BIA, if there was a report, or it went to the Corps and I don't believe I have a copy, but that's all I can remember.
Q Okay. We'll probably follow up on that when we 12:32PM get back.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now off the record. The time is 12:32 p.m.
(Following a short recess, proceedings continued on the record.) 1:37PM
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 1:37 p.m.
Q When we left off we were talking about work you had done on, I believe, is it called Klamath Lake?
A Upper Klamath Lake. 1:38PM
Q Upper Klamath Lake. Is that in Oregon?
A Yes.
Q Did you retain any documents that would reflect the work that you did on Klamath Lake?
A I don't recall having any that I've retained. 1:38PM

There may have been some in the company files at that 1:38PM time, but if those were around they would have been archived someplace.
Q And that was -- you said you were at Tetra Tech at that time, is that right? You might not have said 1:38PM that.
A I mentioned Tetra Tech, I think, in the context of Lafayette Reservoir.
Q Ah.
A I would have to check the date on that one. 1:38PM
Q Is there someplace in your resume where those dates would be reflected? Is there a paper published or anything like that?
A I don't -- and I don't think that there was -- I think that would have been Tetra Tech to the best of 1:39PM my -- it was -- it would have been in the early 1980s.
Q Okay. What specifically was your individual role on that project?
A I seem to think that I was the senior biologist working on that project. I don't think I was the 1:40PM project manager, as I recall. And there were others working on it, water quality specialists, lake restoration specialists, that were part of the team.
Q And what specifically was your work on the project? 1:40PM

A I think it was compiling and reviewing the 1:40PM available biological information on the lake and then working with the -- with the project team to develop a report and arrive at any overall conclusions.
Q Were you asked to render any opinions on the 1:41PM impacts to biological communities from the eutrophic status of the Klamath -- Upper Klamath Lake?
A I may have been. I would -- I assume that that would have been part of it.
Q Do you recall whether you reached any opinions 1:41PM about whether there were impacts to fish or benthic macroinvertebrates in the Upper Klamath Lake?
A I just don't remember our conclusions.
Q Do you recall what -- what type of analysis was done to identify sources of nutrients in the watershed? 1:41PM
A The best of my recollection is that -- that we were looking at concentrations of nutrients in various tributary streams and looking at that loading to the lake.
Q Was it in relation to identified sources or land 1:42PM use, do you recall?
A Well, there's a considerable amount of agricultural land use around the lake and some rivers that I recall are -- are major sources of the nutrients that flow through those areas but that's all I can 1:42PM

remember. 1:42PM
Q Do you recall what type of agricultural operations are present?
A No, I don't.
Q Do you recall how the concentrations of nutrients 1:43PM in tributary streams and evaluations of loading are used to assist in the identification of sources?
A No, I don't.
Q Did you do any of that work yourself?
A No, that would have been the primary 1:43PM responsibility of others, although I was involved in the team and developing overall conclusions.
Q Did you -- were you involved at all in the identification of responses that might be taken in terms of remediation? 1:43PM
A Well, I seem to remember being part of discussions after we had assembled all the data, reviewed what was known about the lake, and then reaching decisions as a team as far as what the sources were and whether or not there were -- were restorative or controlled mechanisms 1:44PM that might be used. I just can't remember what those conclusions might have been.
Q Did you say restoration?
A I think we were looking at both in-lake restoration alternatives and as well as source control 1:44PM

options. 1:44PM
Q What was the nutrient that resulted in the eutrophication in the Upper Klamath Lake?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't recall the specifics. 1:45PM
Q Do you recall whether phosphorus loading was identified as an issue contributing to eutrophication in the watershed?
A I'm sorry, I just don't have the details in mind on it and if I was to venture something, it would just 1:45PM be purely a guess.
Q Other than the work on Lafayette Lake and the Upper Klamath Lake, have you worked on any other lakes in terms of dealing with the effects of eutrophication on biological resources? 1:46PM
A Well, one that I can think of but -- although eutrophication was not a major focus, the focus was more on toxic substances, but we also did some limited work on eutrophication in isolated areas and that was in Lake Baikal in Siberia. 1:46PM
Q Can you spell the name of the lake?
A Oh, sorry. B-A-I-K-A-L.
Q Did you actually get to go to Siberia?
A Yeah. I've been co-leader of two expeditions to Lake Baikal. 1:47PM

Q Did you do any assessment of the sources of 1:47PM nutrients to Lake Baikal?
A Only in a very general way as far as one particular tributary that appeared to be a source of nutrients and hazardous substances in one part of the 1:47PM lake, but that was all.
Q And what did you do to identify sources in that tributary?
A We didn't -- we only identified that tributary as a potential source, but we did not look any further on 1:47PM that tributary.
Q What was the nutrient of concern that you were looking at?
A We were looking at both the potential effects of nitrogen and phosphorus that could -- that could be 1:48PM associated with this river, which is a fairly industrialized river. And looking at, as I said, mainly towards the presence of hazardous substances, but also any evidence of -- of eutrophication in isolated areas due to that -- that particular 1:48PM tributary.
Q Was that related in some way to the concern with the -- with metals, the interest in eutrophication?
A No, it was more associated with evaluating the -- I guess, more the filtering effects of the large delta 1:48PM

associated with that river and whether there was 1:49PM evidence that both either the nutrient or hazardous substances were making it through that delta into Lake Baikal proper or were they being essentially filtered out. 1:49PM
Q Was there an issue with eutrophication of the lake itself?
A It is an issue, although as you may know, it's a very, very large lake, the largest lake in the world, the deepest lake in the world, but there are isolated 1:49PM parts of it where there has been concern as far as the localized effects of nutrient inputs.
Q And what specifically are the concerns related to eutrophication in the localized area?
A For Lake Baikal it's primarily associated with a 1:50PM decrease in water transparency. It's a very, very transparent lake as far as the clarity of the water.
Q Any concern about impacts to biological communities?
A I don't recall ever seeing anything like that. 1:50PM
Q How does eutrophication result in a decrease in water transparency?
A Well, through the -- through the stimulation of phytoplankton growth and increased particulate matter in the water column. And, therefore, lower light 1:50PM

transmissivity. 1:50PM
Q Do you know whether there are any water quality standards relating to water transparency?
A I think there may be, although I don't recall any specifically. 1:51PM
Q Did you evaluate those standards in this case at Lake Tenkiller?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A No, I did not.
Q In this particular case, did you do any sampling 1:51PM yourself in the case of Lake Baikal?
A Oh, yes, I did.
Q Did you do biological sampling?
A Yes, I did.
Q Was that biological sampling associated with 1:51PM evaluating the effects of eutrophication?
A We collected some data along those lines, but most of our effort was on hazardous substances, both in sediments and in biological tissue.
Q Can you identify any other projects that you 1:52PM worked on involving eutrophication?
A Could I refer to my resumT?
Q Yes.
A And your question, just to be clear, specifically was associated with lakes or rivers? 1:52PM

Q Lakes or rivers. 1:52PM
A Not in the marine environment?
Q Well, we'll start there.
A Okay.
Q Then with lakes and rivers? 1:52PM
A Okay. There is one project that's on Page 9-10 about the middle of the page, says, "Project co-manager and principal investigator for review and analysis of biological impact data" -- oh, I'm sorry, it's not that one, it's the one under, "Principal scientist to 1:53PM evaluate responses of benthic invertebrates and fishes to lake aeration and circulation projects."
Q Who did you do that work for?
A I think that work was for EPA.
Q And was it your responsibility to work on the 1:54PM design of the project?
A No, it wasn't. Mine was looking at the biological data to do an evaluation of how benthic invertebrates and fishes responded to lake aeration when a project was implemented. 1:54PM
Q Was that at multiple locations?
A As I recall, yes, it was to try to collect all the available information for lake aeration applications and -- and develop a consolidation and summary and synthesis of that information. 1:54PM

Q Were you collecting existing data or collecting 1:54PM your own data?
A That was a sampling of existing data.
Q Do you recall how many locations you reviewed?
A No, I don't. 1:55PM
Q What kind of responses did you see with regard to benthic invertebrates and fishes to lake aeration and circulation?
A I don't recall.
Q Did you issue any reports to EPA? 1:55PM
A Yes, I'm sure we did.
Q Do you recall which -- which office or division of EPA you prepared the report for?
A I think this was also for the Office of Research and Development in Corvallis. 1:55PM
Q What period of time was this in? Was this in the '80s or --
A I think this would have been early 1980s, around 1980 or '81, '82 possibly.
Q Did you -- in those projects, did you do anything 1:56PM to evaluate the eutrophic status of the lakes you were evaluating?
A We may have, but I don't recall any specifics.
Q Do you know whether lake aeration and circulation projects have ever been documented to be effective 1:56PM

mitigating impacts to either benthic macroinvertebrates 1:56PM or fish?
A It's been some time since I've looked at this information, but I seem to recall in general there were some examples of situations where hypolimnetic aeration 1:56PM had been successful or at least partially successful at providing an oxygenated hypolimnion.
Q On that same page, the next entry, it relates to Lafayette Reservoir and then there's one that follows that says "evaluated the response of benthic 1:57PM invertebrates and fish in lake aeration and circulation programs and developed recommendations for applicable lake restoration techniques." Is that a different project than the one we've just been discussing?
A Boy, they sound very similar and it may be a 1:57PM repetition of the same project that persisted through some change in my resumT.
Q Do you recall what recommendations you made regarding applicable lake restoration techniques?
A No, I don't. 1:58PM
Q Were there any other projects that you worked on related to eutrophication of streams or reservoirs?
A I don't think there are.
Q Let's talk about projects to -- to look at eutrophication in the marine environment. Have you 1:58PM

been involved in a number of projects related to that? 1:58PM
A I think the primary project would be the 301H application review. It's not a eutrophication project per se, but as part of the review, there was an evaluation of phytoplankton assemblages near sewage 1:58PM discharges, as well as water quality conditions. So the project was fairly broad ranging from potential effects of -- of toxic substances in a sewage discharge to potential stimulatory effects of nutrients in those discharges. 1:59PM
Q Does the eutrophication process follow the same pattern in a marine environment that it does in a reservoir?
A Well, there can be differences in -- usually phosphorus is not a limiting nutrient in the marine 1:59PM environment and there's more concern for the discharge of nitrogenous waste in marine discharges than there are for fresh water discharges, but eutrophication, as a process, follows a similar pattern as the result of nutrient stimulation. 2:00PM
Q Do you get impacts on DO levels in marine environments?
A There could be.
Q I think -- I think we haven't covered this but we were starting to. Looking at temperature issues in 2:00PM

surface waters and in comparison to water quality 2:00PM
standards, and I guess I would like to know whether
you've worked on any projects which have compared
temperatures in surface waters to water quality
standards for temperature? 2:01PM
A While I was employed at NYU Medical Center, I was
doing some work at -- for a number of utilities in the
northeast around existing power plants. And as part of
that, I was reviewing available information, both
biological and water quality, around those power plants 2:02PM
and providing consulting advice on their situation with
regard to their discharge. And I think -- I remember
specifically dealing with a lot of biological data, but
I think I also was evaluating some of the temperature
data for the receiving waters of those power plants and 2:02PM
some of them were on rivers -- I don't think any were
on lakes, but fresh water rivers -- and evaluating
compliance with -- with state standards for
temperature, although I don't remember any of the
details. 2:03PM
Q Do all states have water quality standards for
temperature?
A I don't know.
Q Do you know whether Oklahoma has water quality
standards for temperature? 2:03PM

A I'm not aware of it. 2:03PM
Q Sediments. Have you done any work evaluating
sediment in surface water in relation to water quality
standards?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 2:03PM
A I don't recall any work. And I assume in your
question you're talking about the relationship of
suspended sediment to water quality standards?
Q That's a subset. I'm asking a fairly broad
question. Specifically, any sediment, water quality 2:04PM
type issues, with relation to water quality standards.
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, with that very broad question, I have done a
lot of work with sediments that contain hazardous
substances and there are, in many cases, joint issues 2:04PM
as far as the sediments being either a source or a sync
for substances and the relationship between those
sediments, bottom sediments I'm talking about here, and
overlying water quality.
Q Could you define what you mean by source and sync? 2:05PM
A In regard to bottom sediments?
Q Yes.
A What I mean is the -- the issue of whether or not
sediments are basically a -- are being deposited from
the settling of suspended sediments or alternatively 2:05PM

whether those bottom sediments could be eroded 2:05PM
periodically and be a -- essentially a source for
suspended sediments into the water column.
Q When those eroded sediments are a source of
suspended sediments in the water column, would there 2:06PM
also be the potential that the metal or the hazardous
substances that you were looking at would be present?
A That -- there is a potential, yes.
Q And I think that's what I was trying to understand
was when you were talking about sources and syncs, I 2:06PM
guess I understood it in terms of a source of the
hazardous substance that you were involving or a sync
for the hazardous substance that you were looking at.
Is that a correct understanding of how you're using the
term? 2:06PM
A But I was not referring to what you might call
the, you know, the proximal source of, let's say, a
metal of its original release or facility source or
non-point source or whatever, but I was purely talking
about the dynamics within the water body itself, and 2:07PM
the potential for deposition or release of those
sediments and any associated hazardous substances.
Q Okay. And would a sync be -- when sediments are a
sync would that be an instance where that hazardous
substance comes to be located in the sediments and 2:07PM

stays there at least for some period of time? 2:07PM
A Yes.
Q And when sediments are spoken of as a source, is
that when -- a situation when the hazardous substance
is released from those sediments? 2:07PM
A Yes, either released from the sediments or
released by the sediments being re-suspended and
redistributed in the water body.
Q Is that a cycle that occurs throughout a water
body? Does that -- I'll ask it like that. 2:08PM
A Well, it's very water body specific. In some
cases there are areas where sediments are very stable,
it's a depositional environment. There are others,
either through natural processes or through human
induced factors, those sediments can be re-suspended 2:08PM
and redistributed.
Q Are there any -- in the context of a reservoir,
are there any water quality issues that can facilitate
the release of hazardous substances from sediments back
into the water column? 2:09PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Yes, there are.
Q Can dissolved oxygen levels affect the likelihood
of release of hazardous substances into the water
column? 2:09PM

A Yes. 2:09PM
Q How does that work?
A Well, you can have changes in the absorption of metals on to sediments in the bottom of a reservoir that is dependent on the -- what's called the redox 2:09PM potential or the amount of oxygenation that's occurring and metals can go through cycles of being absorbed on to sediments or tending to be released from those sediments based on the amount of oxygen that's present.
Q Does that process occur with regard to phosphorus 2:10PM in sediments?
A Yes, phosphorus can undergo the same kinds of changes.
Q So narrowing the question to suspended sediments in the water column, have you evaluated any water 2:10PM quality impacts associated with suspended sediments in the water column in relation to water quality standards?
A No, I don't recall that I have.
Q Do you know whether there are any water quality 2:10PM standards for sediments, suspended sediments?
A I -- I have been aware of some in the past but I don't know the details of what those are.
Q Can suspended sediments in the water column impact fish in a reservoir? 2:11PM

A Yes. 2:11PM
Q How does that occur?
A Well, it's through a couple different mechanisms and this is if suspended sediments are sufficiently high, they can affect fish by -- by what I would call 2:11PM direct means. They can essentially clog the gills and affect respiration of the fish so it's more of a direct toxic effect. They could also -- suspended sediments can affect, especially if they're at sufficiently high concentrations and they're settling out, could affect 2:12PM things like spawning habitat availability. If suspended sediments were sufficiently high as to limit light transmission in the water, in theory at least, they could -- they could reduce primary productivity and even benthic productivity by settling out on 2:12PM benthic organisms and reduce the food supply for certain fishes.
Q Can suspended sediments in the water column contribute phosphorus to the water column?
A In a very general sense my answer would be yes, 2:12PM although I don't -- I don't understand all the dynamics associated with phosphorus absorption onto sediment particles so I couldn't -- I couldn't say with any certainty or specificity to what degree that process would be important. 2:13PM

Q Did you evaluate any issues with sediment, 2:13PM suspended sediments in the water column in Lake Tenkiller or any of the streams in the Illinois River Watershed?
A No, I did not. 2:13PM
Q When I use the term "streams in the Illinois River Watershed," do you understand that I'm referring to the -- the Illinois River, Flint Creek, Barren Fork, Caney Creek and any tributaries thereto?
A Yes. 2:13PM
Q I just want to make sure I don't have to say river and stream and deal with stream order.
A I understand.
Q Okay. Did you evaluate temperature data in Lake Tenkiller? 2:14PM
A No, I didn't. I didn't evaluate the temperature data. I may have had some -- I may have had some references to it to a limited degree, but I don't recall in that section of my report but there is no detailed evaluation of the temperature regimes. 2:14PM
Q Did you evaluate dissolved oxygen in Lake Tenkiller?
A The answer would be similar. No, I didn't do an overall evaluation of what the dissolved oxygen levels are. 2:15PM

Q Did you do any evaluation of phosphorus levels in 2:15PM Lake Tenkiller?
A No, I did not.
Q Did you do an evaluation of DO levels in the streams of the Illinois River Watershed? 2:15PM
A No, I did not.
Q Did you evaluate phosphorous levels in the streams of the Illinois River Watershed?
A No, I did not.
Q Did you evaluate phytoplankton or attached algae 2:15PM in either the stream -- streams of the Illinois River Watershed or on Lake Tenkiller?
A No, I did not.
Q Did you evaluate nitrogen levels in either the streams of the Illinois River Watershed or Lake 2:16PM Tenkiller?
A No, I did not.
Q When I asked you with regard to the streams and Lake Tenkiller about whether you had done an evaluation of phosphorus levels, I meant to include both 2:16PM concentrations as well as loads. Did you look at either concentrations or loads of phosphorus in any part of the Illinois River Watershed?
A No, I did not.
Q Did you look at chlorophyll-a levels in Lake 2:16PM

Tenkiller or the streams of the Illinois River 2:16PM
Watershed?
A No, I did not.
Q Did you evaluate AHODs in Lake Tenkiller?
A And what are AHODs? 2:16PM
Q AHOD is a -- is short for aerial hypolimnetic
oxygen demand?
A No, I did not.
Q Did you do any evaluation of bacteria levels in
the Illinois River Watershed? 2:17PM
A No.
Q Did you -- have you ever worked with water quality
standards in Oklahoma prior to your involvement in this
case?
A Yes, I did have a project a number of years ago 2:17PM
that involved work for the Oklahoma Water Resources
Board and it was providing some guidance to the board
on the development of site specific water quality
criteria for metals.
Q Were those site specific criteria designed for 2:18PM
protection of the fish and wildlife beneficial use?
A I think they were, but I don't have a specific
recollection of that and of the -- of what they were
designed for.
Q Were you retained by the Oklahoma Water Resources 2:18PM

Board for this work? 2:18PM
A As I recall, they were the client.
Q Do you recall who you worked with at the Water
Resources Board?
A Yeah. The one name that comes to mind, it's been 2:19PM
some time, is Derek Smithee. And I think there was one
other individual, but I'm drawing a blank on that.
Q What year was this, do you recall?
A Rough guess would be late 1980s or it could have
been 19 -- early -- very early 1990s. 2:19PM
Q Was the guidance related to the development of
site specific criteria for metals a general advice or
was it related to a specific watershed?
A No, that work for the board was general guidance.
Q Had you done work like that before for any other 2:20PM
states or the EPA?
A I don't recall doing any work for that for any
other states. Within the State of Oklahoma, we had
been doing some work on the Chikaskia River relative to
site specific -- development of site specific water 2:21PM
quality. I believe it was for cadmium. And I think
that our subsequent retention by the Water Resources
Board might have stemmed from some of that work that we
were doing within the state at the time.
Q And the work on development of a site specific 2:21PM

criteria for the Chikaskia River, was that on behalf of 2:21PM
an industrial client?
A Yes, it was but I don't recall the name of the --
of the client at this time.
Q Do you recall if it was for a smelter site? 2:21PM
A I think it was.
Q Was it in -- do you recall if it was located in
Blackwell, Oklahoma?
A Yes, I do.
Q And was it? 2:22PM
A It was.
Q Okay.
A Yes.
Q Did you develop a recommendation for a site
specific criteria for cadmium in the Chikaskia River 2:22PM
and submit that to the Water Resources Board?
A I believe we did.
Q Did you do that work yourself?
A I did it as part of a team but I was -- was a key
person in that group. 2:22PM
Q Were you looking at the -- the level of cadmium in
relation to their impacts on fish or benthic
macroinvertebrates?
A That's correct.
Q Do you know whether that recommendation for a site 2:22PM

specific criteria was accepted by the Water Resources 2:22PM
Board?
A I don't know.
Q Do you know whether the guidance on developing
site specific metals criteria was accepted and followed 2:22PM
by the Water Resources Board?
A I don't know what either.
Q Other than that, have you worked with water
quality standards in Oklahoma on any other projects?
A I did some work around the same time at 2:23PM
Bartlesville and I think we were dealing with some
issues there, too, but I have very vague recollections
of that project.
Q Was that a Superfund site that you were working
on, do you recall? 2:23PM
A It was a hazardous waste site, I don't know if it
was a Superfund site.
Q Do you recall what the hazardous waste was?
A Well, I'm thinking it was metals, but -- but I
don't remember the specifics. 2:23PM
Q Do you know whether there is an antidegredation
policy that applies in the Illinois River Watershed?
A No, I don't.
Q Do you know whether there are any numeric water
quality standards that apply in the Illinois River 2:24PM

Watershed? 2:24PM
A The only one that I've heard mentioned, and I don't know the specifics of the application, is a -- is a phosphorus value of .037 milligrams per liter that is, I think, part of the scenic rivers criterion. 2:25PM
Q I'm not sure if my question went to narrative criteria.
A Oh.
Q But is that a narrative criteria as you understand it? 2:25PM
A Oh. Well, I guess I would call that a numerical criteria.
Q Are you aware of any other numerical criteria that apply in the Illinois River Watershed?
A Well, to the extent they're state water quality 2:25PM standards, I would assume that those apply, but I'm not aware of the specific values.
Q Are you aware of whether there are any numeric standards that apply in the Illinois River -- let me rephrase. 2:25PM
Are you aware of whether there are any narrative water quality standards that apply in the Illinois River Watershed?
A No, I'm not.
Q What are water quality standards? 2:26PM

A "Water quality standards" are values that are -- I 2:26PM guess they can be -- they can be narrative, in other words, words or they can be a number that are adopted by states to be able to determine the quality of surface water of the state, whether or not they are 2:26PM exceeded.
Q Are the standards adopted by or approved by the Environmental Protection Agency?
A Well, I think in many cases the state -- numerical state standards are the result of adoption of the EPA's 2:27PM water quality criteria and the incorporation into state standards.
Q But do you know whether the water quality standards applicable in Oklahoma have been approved by the Environmental Protection Agency? 2:27PM
A Oh, no, I'm not aware of that.
Q How do water quality standards relate to the Clean Water Act?
A I can't answer that.
Q Do you know what use support assessment protocols 2:27PM are in Oklahoma?
A I'm not sure I know exactly what you mean, if -- I just don't understand what you mean by the term.
Q Have you ever heard that term before, use support assessment protocols? 2:28PM

A Well, I'm thinking about the -- the protocols that 2:28PM go into the -- the beneficial use assessments that are in Chapters 45 and 46, I think, of the code and I'm aware of those, but I'm not sure exactly what they're called. 2:28PM
Q Okay. Let's talk about the protocol in Chapter 45 and 46 that you're making reference to. What is the purpose of those protocol?
A The purpose is to evaluate various surface water bodies in the state and evaluate whether or not they 2:29PM are supporting or not supporting various categories of beneficial uses.
Q Who uses those protocols?
A I'm not sure who actually uses them. The Water Resources Board publishes them, but I am not sure how 2:29PM they're used.
Q Do you know whether or not those protocols are intended to be used in doing the beneficial use monitoring reports?
A Well, if my understanding is correct on what the 2:30PM protocols are, I believe that those are what is followed to develop the findings presented in the beneficial use reports.
Q Do you know whether they are intended to be used for any other purpose? 2:30PM

A No, I don't. 2:30PM
Q Which of these protocols in particular are you familiar with, all of them, or did you become familiar with any particular subset of the protocols for your work in this case? 2:31PM
A The one that I -- the one that I'm familiar with relative to my work in this case is the fish and wildlife propagation category.
Q And had you worked with that protocol prior to your work in this case? 2:31PM
A No, I had not.
Q Did you consult anyone at the Oklahoma Water Resources Board about how to apply that protocol?
A No, I did not.
Q Are there other protocols in Chapters 45 and 46 2:31PM that you were familiar with other than your work in this case?
A No.
Q So the protocol related to the fish and wildlife propagation category, what is that? What is the 2:32PM protocol?
A The protocol, as I remember, it's a -- it's a method for evaluation -- of the primary determination is it's an evaluation of -- well, the fish community data that are collected at that site and information on 2:32PM

certain water quality variables to evaluate whether or 2:32PM
not that particular use is supported or not. And there
is a score developed that's compared with a
standardized range to determine whether that use is
supported. 2:33PM
Q Is there a name of this protocol?
A I don't recall.
Q What types of fish community data do you look at in employing this protocol?
A There were, I think, six different fish metrics 2:33PM
and included in that were taxa richness, diversity, the
number of sunfish taxa, the number of or the percentage
of intolerant species, and the proportion of tolerant
species. Those are the ones that I can remember.
Q Does this protocol apply to streams and lakes? 2:34PM
A Yes. There are -- there are determinations made
for both streams and lakes.
Q Are there different evaluations you do for a stream as opposed to a lake?
A I believe there are but I can't -- I can't recall 2:34PM
the differences.
Q Did you apply this -- this protocol to Lake Tenkiller?
A No, I did not.
Q Did you apply it to any streams in the Illinois 2:35PM

River Watershed? 2:35PM
A Yes. I calculated that the fish IBI to -- for the
streams that were monitored by the state in 2007.
Q When you say "the fish monitored by the state in 2007," did you use all of the data collected by the 2:35PM State of Oklahoma in 2007 when you did your calculation?
A I used the fish data collected by the state.
Q All of the fish data collected by the State of Oklahoma in 2007, is that right? 2:35PM
A Well, I used the data that were available to me
that were produced by the state. I don't know if that
was all the data.
MS. COLLINS: Are you referring to the data
in this case or the entire State of Oklahoma for all 2:36PM
BUMP reports.
MS. BURCH: I'm referring to any data
collected by the state at all.
A Oh, well, let me clarify that. I used the data
files that were developed for the 35, I believe it was, 2:36PM
sampling stations that was collected in 2007 for this
case.
Q What is a fish IBI?
A That is a score that is a -- that represents a
summary of the variables that I mentioned to you 2:36PM

previously. 2:36PM
Q What does IBI stand for?
A It's Index of Biotic Integrity or Biological
Integrity.
Q Have you ever calculated an IBI score using this 2:37PM methodology in any other location?
A I don't believe so.
MS. BURCH: Okay. Let's go ahead and take a
break right here.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now off the record. 2:37PM
The time is 2:37 p.m.
(Following a short recess, proceedings
continued on the record.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record.
The time is 2:48 p.m. 2:48PM
Q What water quality variables are involved in the protocol from Chapters 45 and 46?
A I recall that turbidity is involved. I believe
that DO is involved and there may be others.
Q Did you use turbidity data and DO data from the 2:48PM Illinois River Watershed when you calculated the fish IBIs?
A No, I did not. I looked at just the
characteristics of the fish community.
Q I think you might have answered this already but 2:49PM just for clarification, did you look at any other 2:49PM protocols applicable to the fish and wildlife propagation category under Chapter 45 or Chapter 46?
A None other than the fish metric.
Q Have you worked with IBIs before in doing 2:49PM evaluations of fish impacts?
A I have in the State of Ohio for some work that I
did there a number of years ago.
Q Did you use an IBI to evaluate impacts to fish?
A As I recall, yes, comparing IBIs at various points 2:50PM
in a stream system and with some reference values.
Q With whom did you do this work with -- for?
A It was for a company associated with a -- it was a
metal processing or refinery facility but I don't
recall the name of the company. They were undergoing 2:51PM
bankruptcy at the time and I don't think they exist any
longer.
Q Were you looking in that case at water quality variables as well as fish community variables?
A As I recall, we were looking at concentrations of 2:51PM
metals in sediments and water in addition to the
biological data.
Q What fish community metrics did you evaluate in that IBI?
A I don't remember. 2:52PM

Q Did you follow a standard protocol? 2:52PM
A As I remember, the State of Ohio had a protocol
and it was in accordance with that protocol.
Q Do you know whether other metrics are used in IBIs
besides the six that are associated with the Chapter 45 2:52PM
and 46 IBI?
A Yes, I'm aware of there are some applications. I
can't give you specifics, but I'm aware of other
metrics -- the use of other metrics in other various
IBI calculations. 2:53PM
Q Can you identify some of the other metrics that
might be used? Let me narrow the question, too, with
regard to streams.
A Oh, well, the proportion of lipophilic individuals
is one. I think there are other -- the proportion of 2:53PM
individuals that count for 75 percent of species and
I'm sure there are others.
Q Are you aware of any instances where IBI metrics
are developed specifically for the watershed that's
being evaluated? 2:54PM
A No, I'm not.
Q How many other instances of applications of IBIs
are you familiar with?
A Well, I've read of the applications in the
literature. I can't give you the specific sites. As I 2:55PM

indicated, I haven't worked on any other sites other 2:55PM
than the one I mentioned that have used IBIs.
Q Did you read about these applications of IBIs in
the literature in preparation for your work in this
case? 2:55PM
A No. Through other -- for other reasons, although
I don't recall whether I may have looked at some in
preparation for this case or not.
Q I'm going to ask you about your employment
history. I'm curious about that. That would be 2:56PM
reflected in your expert report. And actually, before
I go to that, I'm going to finish your educational
background. It looks like you have a master of science
in biological sciences specializing in marine biology
from Oregon State University in 1971, is that correct? 2:56PM
A That's correct.
Q And marine biology, is that the study of biology
in the ocean?
A It is.
Q And then you have a bachelor of science in fishery 2:57PM
science from Oregon State University in 1968?
A That's correct.
Q And fishery science, was that focused on marine
environments or fresh water environments or both?
A That's both. 2:57PM

Q After you received your bachelor of science in 2:57PM
1968, did you go directly to pursuing your Master's?
A I did.
Q Were you employed at that time?
A Yes, I was. 2:57PM
Q And how were you employed?
A I was employed during -- well, a significant part
of that three-year period you mentioned there by the
X-ray Science and Engineering Laboratory at Oregon
State University where I was a full-time employee doing 2:58PM
research and radiological physics. And I was
effectively working on my master's degree in my spare
time.
Q What is radiological physics?
A Well, it is the physical interactions of -- of 2:58PM
radiation, of both -- things mainly associated with my
work it was mainly X-rays, in looking at the
interactions of X-rays with tissue and especially the
intensity of scattered radiation resulting from various
therapeutic and diagnostic X-ray procedures. 2:58PM
Q And how long were you -- were you employed at the
X-ray Science Lab?
A I believe that was probably two-plus years during
that period of 1968 to '71.
Q And after 1971 how were you employed? 2:59PM

A I was employed then by New York University Medical 2:59PM
Center.
Q And you were there until 1977?
A That's correct.
Q And you obtained your dissertation during that 2:59PM
time period -- I mean, your Ph.D. during that time
period as well?
A Yes, I did.
Q After you received your Ph.D., where did you go to
work? 3:00PM
A Well, after I received the Ph.D., I did stay on
for -- for a relatively short time, less than a year,
at NYU Medical Center continuing to do research. And
then I accepted a position with Tetra Tech Consulting
firm in Lafayette, California. 3:00PM
Q And was that in 1977?
A Yes.
Q And what did you do for Tetra Tech?
A Let's see, a significant part of the time was
associated -- during that period in the first, let's 3:00PM
say, two to three years, was associated with the
development of models, both models that would predict
the response of -- of organisms to the impingement and
entrainment at power plants. And then models to
evaluate the ecosystem level effects of power plant 3:01PM

operation of any mortalities that -- or changes in -- 3:01PM
in communities that would result from those acute
effects. And that included some work I think I
mentioned earlier about the development of models, too,
to predict the responses of cooling lakes to -- to the 3:01PM
operation of power plants. And it was about that time
that I also did the -- the Lafayette Reservoir study
that we discussed.
Q Was that your primary responsibilities while you
were at Tetra Tech? 3:02PM
A Well, only for the first couple of years. And
then in 1979, I was with Tetra Tech but I -- I moved
with a couple of other individuals to start a new
office in Seattle for the company and then that was
about the time that I initiated the work for EPA on the 3:02PM
301H program that we discussed. And then sometime
after that move, I also was project manager for a -- a
major study for the U.S. EPA Region 10 to conduct
various water quality and sediment studies in Puget
Sound at -- and some of the major industrialized 3:03PM
embankments in Puget Sound. And that project went on
for several years until -- until the at least mid
probably 1986-87, in that range.
Q When you were looking at Puget Sound, what
specific pollutants were you looking at? 3:04PM

A It was mainly associated with hazardous substances 3:04PM
and substances that -- and especially contaminated
sediment sites in Puget Sound. And looking at -- at
potential effects on -- on benthic organisms, on fish
and plankton and the development of monitoring plants 3:04PM
and implementation of some data collection efforts to
assess the conditions in some of those urban
embankments.
Q In particular, what hazardous substances were you
looking at? 3:05PM
A Oh, a whole series of metals, all the usual --
usual ones, including arsenic, mercury, copper, lead,
zinc, cadmium, PCBs were an issue, PAH, some
pesticides, mainly DDT, phthalates, some chlorinated
phenols. That's the main list. 3:05PM
Q And were you doing surface water quality
monitoring yourself?
A I don't recall that we were doing any surface
water quality monitoring, it was mainly associated
with -- what I can remember is sediment sampling and 3:06PM
sampling of -- of biota fish and invertebrates.
Q Did you have a reference area for that work?
A Yeah, we had several, as I recall.
Q What reference areas did you use for this work?
A Oh, boy, the main one that I remember was a place 3:06PM

called Carr Inlet and I think we had, C-A-R-R, and I 3:06PM
think we may have had multiple sites in Carr Inlet.
And I think there may have been others that -- I'm sure
there were, that I just don't recall the names of them
at this time. 3:07PM
Q Was there any industrial influence on Carr Inlet?
A Relatively little. These were -- these studies
were assessments of not a particular, not focused on
any particular industry or industrial activity but they
were -- the goal was to look at more the integrated 3:07PM
effects of industrialization on these waterways so that
the goal there was to look at a reference area that had
relatively little industrial development.
Q And was this site, this area ever designated as a
Superfund site? 3:08PM
A Oh, the areas that I was looking at?
Q Mm-hmm.
A Yeah. There were -- part of the overall
industrialized embankments in Puget Sound is
Commencement Bay. Commencement Bay was one of the top 3:08PM
ten NPL sites originally designated under the passage
of CERCLA and then much later the lower -- what's
called the Lower Duwamish Waterway was designated as a
Superfund site. That's the area right near the City of
Seattle. 3:08PM

Q To your knowledge, did the U.S. EPA ever initiate 3:08PM
any actions to hold any of the sources responsible for
the contamination?
A In Commencement Bay they did and they are in the
process at the Lower Duwamish site. 3:09PM
Q Do you know whether they identified all of the
sources of the industrial hazardous substances in
Commencement Bay and pursued action against all of the
sources?
A Boy, I don't know about all of the sources. I 3:09PM
know that in Commencement Bay there is a -- a very long
list of PRPs and there has been settlement of some
parts of that. Hylebos Waterway, H-Y-L-E-B-O-S,
Waterway there have been -- there's been settlement
with many of the PRPs for that subunit of the 3:09PM
commencement of a Superfund site and there are ongoing
negotiations with many other PRPs and many in the other
four or five areas of Commencement Bay.
Q To your knowledge, did EPA undertake to separate
out which contributions came from which PRP? 3:10PM
A Yes.
Q In the entire Commencement Bay?
A I don't know that it's been done in the entire
Commencement Bay, but within Hylebos Waterway, I'm
aware of -- of those kinds of actions, yes. 3:10PM

Q And how is that -- how is that done in Hyle -- how do you spell that? 3:10PM
A H-Y-L-E-B-O-S, Hylebos. How was it done you're asking me?
Q Yes. 3:11PM
A Well, there was -- there's been a lot of work done on that in allocation subsequent to any of my involvement in Commencement Bay, but -- but in general from what I've seen, it's done by a number of factors of looking at the distribution of -- of contaminants in 3:11PM the vicinity of a particular facility, especially as it relates to any known discharges or releases of that particular substance. And drawing -- drawing a track between a potential release point. There's some, for example, release points associated with -- there's one 3:12PM area called the Hylebos Ditch and looking at what was released at a particular site and then taking samples at various locations along a potential transport route to be able to document that particular substance at a facility at a release point and then at various 3:12PM transport points down a pathway. And then in the receiving water body, as in the case of Hylebos, and then also looking at the patterns of distribution of that substance in the water body itself. I think that there were -- there was other ancillary information and 3:13PM

there was other -- there were folks that worked on it 3:13PM on just relating potential mass emissions of and use of various substances relative to what's found in the very near field, more industrial-type applications that I'm really not aware of all of that. 3:13PM
Q Did you -- do you know what the term "joint and several" liability means?
A I think I do, although it's, I think, more of a legal regulatory term but I think I know what it means.
Q Do you know -- what do you think it means? 3:13PM
A I think it means that if you are shown to be a contributor to -- to an adverse effect for an injury or associated with a release of hazardous substances, even though you may be one of many, that you can be held liable for the entire potential liability for the site. 3:14PM
Q Is there a joint and several liability under CERCLA?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, I'm not a regulatory specialist but I've heard that term under CERCLA mentioned many times and 3:14PM so I believe there's some kind of applicability of joint and several liability under CERCLA.
Q Do you know why U.S. EPA is pursuing an allocation at Hylebos Bay?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 3:15PM

A Well, and I should clarify that the -- the 3:15PM allocation work that I'm more familiar with, it was -- it was done jointly as part of the R-I-F-S and subsequent studies by both the Washington Department of Ecology and U.S. EPA. And then -- then there was 3:15PM extensive continuing work as far as allocation on the natural resource damage claim as part of Hylebos Waterway. So there have been various sequential activities there since about the mid 1980s.
Q Do you know why the -- the EPA and the State of Washington and the trustees are looking at allocation in Hylebos Bay? 3:16PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A My understanding and my understanding is as a scientist, not someone involved in the regulatory 3:16PM aspects, is it was used as a method to be able to allocate the clean up responsibilities and the ultimate agreement on natural resource damages among the various potentially responsible parties in that area.
Q Was it used in the context of a settlement? 3:16PM
A Yes, it was.
Q What was -- who were you working for? You were working for U.S. EPA Region 10 in Hylebos Bay, is that correct?
A I was actually working for -- the lead agency on 3:17PM

it was Washington Department of Ecology so when I was 3:17PM retained, I was project manager for the RIFS done by the state at Commencement Bay.
Q The whole RIFS, the entire project?
A The original Commencement Bay RIFS. 3:17PM
Q Did you ever do any work on the natural resource damage assessment in Hylebos Bayor Commencement Bay?
A Yes, a little bit of work. As part of a team for part of that assessment, but that work was -- was very confidential and I really -- I can't say any more 3:18PM except that I did have some involvement for one of the PRP groups for one of the operable units in Commencement Bay.
Q When was that -- when were you retained to do that? 3:19PM
A I think that would have been about 2005, 2006, in that range.
Q So I'm just trying to get an idea of the work that you had done at Tetra Tech after 1979 and we've talked about the 301H program and then now this Puget Sound work. Is there any other major work that you did at Tetra Tech -- I don't know how long you were there. How long were you there? 3:19PM
A I was there from 1977 to 1987.
Q So were there any other major projects that you 3:20PM

can identify that you worked on while you were there? 3:20PM
A There was another major project for EPA and that project was for EPA headquarters. The Office of Marine and Estuarine Protection, I think it was called, OMEP. And we, Tetra Tech, were a national contractor for 3:20PM assisting them in developing a wide range of activities and documents, including the development of a -- a national database repository for marine and estuarian environmental data. We provided guidance documents on various assessment techniques and I can't even remember 3:21PM all of them, but it was -- I think we did one on evaluation of fish histopathology, we did one on general statistical sampling design, and did a number for this particular office at EPA of their guidance documents that they could use to support their 3:21PM programs.
Q And you did a lot of that work yourself?
A Yes.
Q Where did you go after 1987?
A In 1987, along with four other individuals, we 3:21PM formed a company called PTI Environmental Services.
Q And you were at PTI Environmental Services until 1997, is that correct?
A That's correct.
Q And you were a vice president and a principal at 3:22PM

PTI Environmental? 3:22PM
A That's correct.
Q What projects did you work on while you were at PTI Environmental?
A Could I refer to my resumT to refresh my memory? 3:22PM
Q Yes. The most painless way possible for you and me is fine.
A Well, in -- after the formation of PTI, originally I was continuing to do some -- some of the same PTI work that we've talked about. Under the original 3:23PM organization of PTI, we were divided into two -- the company was divided into two divisions, a government services division and a private client division. And I was the director of the government services aspect. Shortly after the formation, I do recall starting work 3:23PM for NOAA, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, on doing some very early work for NOAA as a trustee on their planning of the natural resource damage cases. And we were contracted to do initial evaluations of information for NOAA at various sites 3:24PM and provide them guidance on environmental conditions and the evidence for injuries to natural resources at those sites.
Q Now, was this prior to the development of the NRDA regulations? 3:24PM

A Well, this would have been in the very late '80s 3:24PM so it was after the original publication of the DOI rule.
Q And NOAA, NOAA is the trustee?
A NOAA is a trustee, yes. 3:24PM
Q And what is NOAA a trustee for?
A NOAA is a trustee for marine and estuarian areas on all coasts of the U.S., as well as the Great Lakes. They're a co-trustee in the Great Lakes area. And for -- for parts of rivers that may be -- there would 3:25PM be migratory species, even though they may be in fresh water parts of rivers, but on migratory species for which NOAA would have trusteeship. In other words, that would -- that would spend some of their time in the ocean. 3:25PM
Q Okay.
A So also in the early days of PTI then after a couple years, we talked about the Montana, the Arco case, so I started working on that. There are several other NRD cases. I'm not sure when some of these 3:26PM started because there would have been a transition between PTI and Exponent, but I was doing some work on the Duwamish River in Seattle. At PTI, I was retained by the Department of Justice on the U.S. v. City of San Diego case and that was a major involvement for 3:26PM

some time. 3:27PM
Q Who was your client on the Duwamish River?
A That's Boeing.
Q Now, just to -- so I can understand, the whole -- were you director of governmental services the whole 3:27PM time you were at PTI or did that change at some point?
A It changed because we reorganized along different lines than government versus private clients, and so I became director of the biological operations at -- at PTI, whether they be government or private. And 3:27PM another individual was directing physical, chemical, more engineering-type operations.
Q And director of biological operations, can you help me define what that is?
A Well, I was basically in charge, even though I 3:28PM wasn't -- I was in charge of the staff, all the biologists, ecologists, and ecotoxicologists reported up to me and I coordinated their involvement in various projects involving biology that the firm was doing.
Q At some point, did work on natural resource damage 3:28PM cases become a primary focus of yours?
A I would say a significant focus, probably starting with -- well, starting with the Montana v. Arco. My work for NOAA prior to that had been, I would say, relatively minor as far as my time was involved. But 3:29PM

with the retention on the Montana case, then my work in 3:29PM
NRD started to increase as far as the percent of my
time being spent on it.
Q Were there other NRD cases that you worked on
while you were at PTI? 3:29PM
A You know, it's hard for me to -- to relate to some
of these cases to the transition -- excuse me, in 1997
to Exponent because I know a number of them were
ongoing and then transferred over, so there were some
but I don't have dates on these in my resumT so I don't 3:30PM
have a real good division point on that. But I would
say most of the cases you see listed on my resumT here
have been -- were Exponent cases and a few of them were
started under PTI and then transitioned over to
Exponent. 3:30PM
Q After you began working more on natural resource
damage assessments, and when we're using that natural
resource damage assessments, are you referring to
CERCLA natural resource damage assessments?
A Not always. I mean, I have worked on -- on 3:31PM
several that were -- that were not CERCLA sites.
Q Were the natural resource damage assessments
conducted under a different statute?
A Yes, in some cases, Clean Water Act. And I've
also worked on groundwater cases that were entirely 3:31PM

state cases under state groundwater law. 3:31PM
Q Natural resource damage assessments under state
law?
A Mm-hmm, yes.
Q Just groundwater cases under state law or any 3:32PM
other cases?
A No, one other -- at least one other case I can
recall.
Q Was it a surface water case?
A Surface water and terrestrial ecosystems. 3:32PM
Q When you were looking at natural resource damage
assessments under state law, did you employ the DOI
NRDA regs in your analysis?
A Well, my -- of course, my analyses are not, as I
explained before, in doing the NRDA, my work has been 3:33PM
working for defendants so -- so I'm operating in a
framework that -- that they chose mainly for the -- for
the NRDA, although in many cases there's certain --
there's certainly relevance, there's certain aspects
that have, you know, a state case that may be pursued 3:33PM
were they're complying with the federal rule, but
that's not always the case.
Q Do the NRD DOI regulations apply to Clean Water
Act natural resource damage assessments?
A I think there's some regulatory reference there 3:34PM

and the -- although the regulation is the same for 3:34PM
CERCLA, are not mandatory for a Clean Water Act case
or -- nor a case on CERCLA, but they may be used if
trustees choose to use them.
Q Just so I'm clear on this. The DOI natural 3:34PM
resource damage assessment regulations are not
mandatory to be followed in conducting a natural
resource damage assessment, is that correct?
A That's my understanding.
Q In your experience representing defendants in 3:34PM
natural resource damage assessment cases, how often do
the trustees strictly follow the natural resource
damage assessment regulations by DOI?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't know that I could give you a -- an 3:35PM
estimate of how often because in some cases they seem
to be followed fairly rigorously. In other cases, they
may be followed partially, but there are certain
components that are not followed. And then as I said,
I've been involved in -- in some cases where they're 3:35PM
not followed at all. But my experience is in dealing
with trustees on a number of these cases that what I
usually hear from the trustees, even if it's settlement
negotiations, is we want this settlement negotiation to
proceed according to the federal rule and we're going 3:36PM

to follow those steps and I frequently hear that. 3:36PM
Q When you say you frequently hear that the
settlement would follow the federal rule, is that the
same thing as saying that the natural resource damage
assessment will follow the federal rule? 3:36PM
A Well, it is because the assessment is done
essentially in concert with the settlement discussion,
but it's just done on a more cooperative basis than it
would be if it was just a litigation situation where a
lawsuit was filed. Both sides and the plaintiff then 3:36PM
developed their case independently and the defendants
developed their defense independently. In many of
these ongoing cases that I'm involved in, the process
is one of a -- I use cooperative in quotes because it's
not entirely cooperative, the trustees still have 3:37PM
the -- the fundamental authority on their side, but
there is an attempt for the PRPs to work with them and
to go through the steps to go through negotiating what
the assessment plan would look like and developing it
and then talking about what individual studies might be 3:37PM
done and then cooperatively conducting those. And then
going right on through injury assessment, injury
quantification, and damage determination following the
steps and the procedures and the various public
notifications that are specified as part of the 3:38PM

process, I think, so that if things do fall apart at 3:38PM
some point down the line, trustees have told me that
they still have been following the rule and they can go
their own way and have a full blown NRDA in accordance
with the rule if settlement discussions fall apart. 3:38PM
Q And what's the benefit of having an NRDA to a
trustee that follows the rule exactly?
A I think their main benefit is rebuttable
presumption.
Q What is a "rebuttable presumption"? 3:38PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Yeah, and I'm throwing around a legal term here as
a biologist, but my understanding of that term is that
if a trustee has rebuttable presumption in accordance
with following the rule to the letter, that the burden 3:39PM
of proof is essentially shifted to the defendant to
prove that they're wrong, you know, rather than more
the burden being on them to prove that they're right in
their claim.
Q How many cooperative assessments have you been 3:39PM
involved in that are completed that have followed the
NRD regulations?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A There's so many that are ongoing. The -- I
identified the Saginaw River/Bay. There, those 3:40PM

discussions were done in -- in a framework of the -- of 3:40PM
the rule. The -- the St. Lawrence sites have been done
in a framework of the DOI rule. The Duwamish, those
are -- not all of those are finally settled but they
have been progressing a long ways. So many of these 3:41PM
goes on a long time. The -- I've worked on the Hudson
River NRD case there. It's not -- I would not call
that a cooperative one necessarily, but it is strictly
following the rule. The Tittabawassee and Saginaw
River/Bay in Michigan. 3:41PM
Q Is that different than the Saginaw River/Bay?
A Yeah, those were two separate cases. The
Tittabawassee River/Bay is identified as a separate
case and it is separate, a separate PRP, separate
substances. That is a cooperative assessment that is 3:42PM
following the rule.
Q Could you spell the first word?
A Oh, yeah, sorry, T-I-T-T-A-B-A-W-A-S-S-E-E.
Q Ongoing?
A Yes. Those are the ones that, at least the ones 3:42PM
that I have listed here that, that I can recall.
Q And is the Saginaw River/Bay NRDA a complete
process? Is it done?
A Yes, it is.
Q And it strictly followed the NRD regulations? 3:43PM

A I wouldn't use the word "strictly" because I think 3:43PM
one might always find aspects that are not in
accordance with the rule. There's a lot of information
in the rule, as you know, but I'm talking about sites,
I guess, that some of them follow much more strictly 3:43PM
than others, but -- but were generally following the
framework of the rule.
Q Is the St. Lawrence NRDA complete?
A No, it's not.
Q Do you know whether the rule was strictly followed 3:43PM
in the St. Lawrence NRDA?
A No. And I haven't -- I wouldn't be in a position
the say that the rule was strictly followed in any of
these because there always could be something as far as
the many provisions that -- where the federal rule was 3:44PM
not followed, but the basic outline of the rule and the
development of assessment plans, the quantification of
injury, the determination of damages and along the
lines of the many -- of the base line considerations in
the rules, the evaluation of causation, those aspects 3:44PM
are being followed. And as I said, the public
notification, the development of things like the
assessment plan, the publishing of the assessment plan,
and on through the process.
Q But you would anticipate with regard to, for 3:45PM

example, the St. Lawrence NRDA, there may be parts of 3:45PM
the NRDA regulations maybe related to injuries,
determination, quantification, or damages that weren't
strictly followed?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 3:45PM
A No, I wasn't thinking so much of that, but I was
thinking more of there could be procedural aspects
associated with the rule that the -- that may be
trustee responsibilities that weren't completely
followed, so I'm distinguishing between the framework 3:46PM
of the rule and those various major steps and ending up
with an estimate of damages and some of the -- for
example, a pre-assessment screen is specified in the
rule and there are many aspects of a pre-assessment
screen that are specified. And -- but that is a 3:46PM
document that trustees maintain confidential and so I
don't know that that was followed or not, but that's a
first step in the whole process.
Q Okay. Let's stop here to change the tape.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now off the record. 3:46PM
The time is 3:46 p.m.
(Following a short recess, proceedings
continued on the record.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record.
The time is 3:57 p.m. 3:57PM

Q How many natural resource damage assessments have you worked on? 3:57PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't know if I have the count in my mind, but I would estimate that it's probably been 25 or so. 3:57PM That's a rough guess. I haven't actually tabulated them.
Q Are all of those for defendants?
A Yeah, with the exception of -- of some of the work that I did very early on for NOAA, all of my work on 3:58PM natural resource damage assessments has been for defendants.
Q How many of those natural resource damages have had published reports of assessments?
A Well, most of them have been cases -- have been 3:58PM settlement discussions and then settlements in many of those where there have not been published assessments, per se. The ones that have gone to trial have had various kinds of published assessments.
Q All of them? 3:59PM
A I can't say all of them, no. I just -- I can't recall, actually, how many of those may have had a separate assessment report versus the production of -- of various expert reports for part of the assessment.
Q How many of the natural resource damage 4:00PM

assessments have you worked on that had published restoration and compensation determination plans? 4:00PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, the problem is that there are -- so many of these are ongoing and they have not reached that stage 4:00PM so, of -- of the restoration plan -- or they settled earlier in the process than -- than when that plan would have been developed, so, um, I can't answer that question, um. And it's also part of the -- part of the process that I typically don't work on that -- that end 4:01PM of it. I'm more involved in the injury -- the injury phases of the case. Like, I'm working on one particular confidential site right now where there is such a plan that it's been produced as part of the -- the claim, but I'm not free to discuss that. 4:01PM
Q It's not published?
A No.
Q Have you ever prepared comments on an assessment plan?
A Yes, I have. 4:02PM
Q Have any of those comments included a comment that trustees were not following the federal NRD regulations?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Yes, there may have been comments that there were 4:02PM

parts of the rule that may -- may not have been 4:02PM followed from my perspective.
Q How often is that a comment of yours?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I can only think of a relatively few cases where I 4:02PM might have prepared comments on an assessment plan. I only have one case in mind so it hasn't been very frequent.
Q So you can think of one case where you've done comment on an assessment plan, is that correct? 4:03PM
A I -- I can think of one that I can recall. I'm trying to check here to see if there -- there may be others where I might have commented. There -- I know that there's ones where our -- cases where our staff has provided comments, but I can't think of where I 4:04PM actually had input into that, other than I have provided comments on the -- as far as the Hudson River assessment plan.
Q And in those comments did you -- did you include a comment that the trustees were not following the federal regulations? 4:04PM
A I may have, I just don't recall.
Q What are the four phases of a natural resource damage assessment as defined by 43 CFR, Part 11?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 4:04PM

A Let's see, well, there would be the pre-assessment 4:05PM phase, the injury phase, the damages determination phase, and the -- and the restoration phase. But the injury phase is broken down into two subunits and I'm not sure how it's been broken down when you say four. 4:05PM
Q What's the difference between a Type A assessment and a Type B assessment?
A The Type A assessment is a very streamlined simplified approach. I have never been part of a Type A assessment. I think it's usually applied to 4:06PM relatively small oil spills or releases. And a Type B assessment is the more formal complex step of assessments required for at least for most of the, if not all, of the sites that I deal with where the rule is applied. 4:06PM
Q So is your experience with Type B procedures?
A Yes, it is.
Q Are there phases of a Type B procedure?
A Are there phases? Well, I talked about -- we just talked about phases, didn't we, about the 4:06PM pre-assessment phase and the pre-assessment screen and then the assessment phase and leading on through to damages and estimation of damages and determination of restoration requirements.
Q And those are the phases of a Type B procedure? 4:06PM

A Yes. 4:07PM
Q Is there a procedural order that is required to be followed for the various phases of doing an NRD under the regulations?
A Yes, there is. 4:07PM
Q Is it important to follow those procedural phases in order?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, is it important? The phases to me seem to be a series of logical steps starting with the 4:07PM pre-assessment screening, which basically evaluates the, you know, the appropriateness of a -- of proceeding ahead with the assessment to an injury determination, to then a quantification of those injuries, to a determination of service losses, and to 4:08PM a determination of either monetary damages or restoration requirements. To me, that seems to be a fairly logical series of steps to follow.
Q Do you believe it's a requirement of the NRDA regulations that that chronological system -- those 4:08PM phases should be conducted chronologically?
A I don't know that it's a requirement. As I indicated, it's my understanding at least that it's not required that -- that the trustees follow the rule at all, so I don't know that it would -- I don't have an 4:08PM

opinion on following the rule but not following the 4:09PM phases in the order that they're specified, I don't know.
Q Since you left PTI and started working at Exponent, I guess was that in 1997? 4:09PM
A That's correct.
Q And have you been employed there continuously ever since?
A Yes, I have.
Q Other than your work on natural resource damage 4:09PM assessments, what type of work have you done while at Exponent?
A Well, I have one major project that I've been working on is work in the San Diego Bay, the shipyard sites, which was a fairly large -- started with a 4:10PM fairly large ecological risk assessment and has since then moved into an extensive attempt at mediation of the case to resolve the issues for that site. That's been a major project of mine for the -- since 19 -- or since 2003. 4:10PM
Q Is that a Superfund site?
A No, it's not.
Q What are the contaminants of concern at that site?
A Contaminants of concern are mercury, arsenic, copper, zinc, lead, PCBs, PAH, tributyltin that's the 4:11PM

high priority COCs. 4:11PM
Q Is it a deferred site? Do you know what I mean by that?
A No, I don't.
Q Is your client an industrial client? 4:11PM
A Yes.
Q Is there an enforcement action ongoing by either the state or the federal government?
A I believe it would be called an enforcement action. The regional water quality control board in 4:12PM California has issued a cleanup and abatement order.
Q What is an ecological risk assessment?
A An ecological risk assessment is a fairly formalized process, although it can of many different forms, but it's been well described by EPA in a number 4:12PM of guidance documents but it's used widely in various regulatory programs and is a process whereby -- whereby the potential risks of -- of substances, and it could be hazardous substances or it can be other factors, other stressors, are evaluated as far as causal agents 4:13PM to adverse effects in -- in any group of biota.
Q Are you aware of whether any state or federal governmental entity has ever taken enforcement action against any company based on the results of an ecological risk assessment? 4:13PM

MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 4:13PM
A Well, yes, I am.
Q And have they, a state or a federal agency taken an enforcement action on the basis of an ecological risk assessment? 4:14PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, in the current case that I was talking about, the shipyard matter in San Diego Bay, I managed the ecological risk assessment. And subsequent to that assessment, the agency issued a draft cleanup and 4:14PM abatement order in response to it so I think that is -- that's what you were asking, correct?
Q It is.
A Yeah.
Q Are you aware of any other instances where 4:14PM something like that has happened, an enforcement action being brought on the basis of an ecological risk assessment?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, I indicated earlier that I managed the RIFS, 4:14PM which a major part of the RIFS were a commencement day for the commencement of a Superfund site was an ecological risk assessment and -- and although I'm not aware of the details, I assume an enforcement action was taken. There has been a lot of cleanup activity 4:15PM

and settlement of the natural resource damage claims at 4:15PM
that site so I think that would be -- that would be
one.
Q In an ecological risk assessment, is risk of harm
to a biological resource evaluated? 4:15PM
A Yes.
Q What is an ecotoxicologist?
A An ecotoxicologist is a scientist that has
training and experience in the two disciplines of
ecology and toxicology. Ecology being the study of the 4:16PM
interrelationships among biological groups and the
relation of those groups to the two environmental
factors, and toxicology being related to the -- to the
adverse effects of toxic substances to those organisms.
It's a term that has been coined relatively recently, I 4:16PM
would say in the last 15 to 20 years, perhaps, as a --
as a subdiscipline of those two primary disciplines.
Q Where did you get your education in toxicology?
A Oh, it was throughout my career. I was taking
classes in -- relative to toxicology in -- at Oregon 4:17PM
State University and -- and then specifically at New
York University, too. So as part of both of those,
actually all three of those programs.
Q Was the focus of your study on toxicology to
aquatic biological species? 4:17PM

A I would say -- well, as far as some specific 4:17PM
courses may have been more oriented towards aquatic
toxicology, but I also have general training in
toxicology and -- and experience in working with both
terrestrial and aquatic species. 4:18PM
Q Does that include humans?
A I have been involved in -- in a number of human
health risk assessments and managed human health risk
assessments, but I am not a -- I'm not an expert in
that area. I have had on my team in those cases human 4:18PM
toxicologists that specialize in that area doing the
actual work.
Q Have you had training in the toxicological effects
of either phosphorus or nitrogen on biological
resources? 4:19PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, I've had classes, for example, in limnology,
which is the study of fresh waters where -- in course
work where that certainly was a subject matter being
discussed. I don't know if -- if it would fit broadly 4:19PM
under that category but -- but I've never had an actual
course in toxicology of phosphorus, if that's what
you're asking.
Q Is that an area of your expertise?
A Yeah, it's an area of my expertise as far as the 4:19PM

general responses of biological communities to 4:20PM
stressors, whether they be hazardous substances or
whether they be nonhazardous substances.
Q And specifically do you consider yourself to be an
expert in the toxicological effects of phosphorus on 4:20PM
biological organisms?
A Well, I think I am in the responses of biological
organisms to phosphorus. Phosphorus, for example,
being a growth stimulate, an essential nutrient to
plants, that really doesn't fit into the kind of 4:20PM
classical definitions of toxicological effects, of
toxic effects. If there are adverse effects resulting
from excess phosphorus, the kinds of effects that are
usually observed we've talked about earlier, which
could be, you know, high levels of eutrophication that 4:21PM
may result in -- in overall adverse effects, but that
adverse effect is the result of stimulation of certain
organisms, rather than a toxic effect of that substance
per se.
Q Can you define the word "toxic" for me? 4:21PM
A Toxic?
Q Yes?
A Is a substance that would cause an adverse effect,
a measurable adverse effect in an organism would be a
toxic substance. 4:21PM

Q A toxic substance is a substance which would cause 4:21PM
a measurable adverse effect in an organism?
A That's correct.
Q And you agree that phosphorus can cause
eutrophication? 4:22PM
A I do.
Q And that eutrophication can cause measurable
adverse effects upon fish?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
Q Do you agree with that? 4:22PM
A That, as I indicated that, yeah, that the
excessive growth of algae can cause conditions that
would be detrimental to fish.
Q Can it result in fish mortality?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 4:22PM
A If there was sufficient eutrophication and
sufficient adverse water quality resulting from that
eutrophication, there is a potential that it could
cause fish mortality.
Q Do I understand your opinion is that phosphorus is 4:23PM
not a toxic substance?
A Well, my opinion is that speaking as a -- as a
ecotoxicologist that phosphates, as they exist in the
environment, do not fit the -- the definition of a
toxic substance as causing a toxic effect. Phosphorous 4:23PM

as an elemental substance is a highly reactive, very 4:23PM
dangerous substance that would fit my definition of a
toxic substance.
Q And where does your definition of a toxic
substance come from? 4:23PM
A That's based on my experience as a -- as an
ecotoxicologist.
Q Is there a standard reference for the term?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A There may be but I don't have one in mind right 4:23PM
now.
Q We've been talking a lot about phosphorus and its
role in eutrophication. When we've been talking about
that, are we talking being elemental phosphorus or are
we talking about phosphates? 4:24PM
A I've been talking about phosphates.
Q Does elemental phosphorus exist in the environment
in its elemental form?
A Not naturally, no. It's very reactive and would
be -- if it was released it would be -- it would 4:24PM
quickly convert to other forms of phosphorus.
Q Could it convert to phosphates?
A Yes, it could.
Q Is the term "toxic" used in CERCLA?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 4:25PM

A I don't know. In CERCLA, I am used to hearing -- 4:25PM
to having substances referred to as hazardous
substances.
Q Is the term "hazardous substances" defined in
CERCLA? 4:25PM
A I do know that there is a reference list of
hazardous substances in CERCLA.
Q Do you know whether the term "hazardous" is
defined by CERCLA?
A No, I don't. 4:25PM
Q Do you know whether it's defined by any of the
regulations implementing CERCLA?
A I'm not aware of it, no.
Q Would you agree that phosphorus is listed as a
hazardous substance under CERCLA? 4:26PM
A I believe that it is, yes.
Q Is arsenic a listed hazardous substance?
A Yes.
Q Is copper?
A Yes. 4:26PM
Q Is zinc?
A Yes.
Q Does the listing for arsenic include compounds of
arsenic?
A I believe it does, that organoarsenicals are 4:26PM

considered toxic forms or -- forms of arsenic under 4:26PM
that consideration.
Q Are zinc compounds listed as hazardous substances
under CERCLA?
A I can't answer that for zinc. 4:27PM
Q Are copper compounds listed as hazardous
substances under CERCLA?
A I don't know.
Q Do you agree that the term "hazardous substance"
as it's used in CERCLA has a legal meaning? 4:27PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't know. I don't know. I think it has a
regulatory meaning. I don't know that it has a legal
meaning.
Q Are you aware of any instances where a court has 4:28PM
held that phosphates in the form of orthophosphates is
a hazardous substance?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I am vaguely aware of, I think, a decision in
Texas where that -- where that was the ruling, yes. 4:28PM
Q Is that a case involving the Bosque River, do you
know?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't recall.
Q Do you know the name of the case? 4:28PM

MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 4:28PM
A No, I don't. I think I saw a brief news release
on it and that was it. I don't recall any of the
details.
Q Are you aware of any other instances where a court 4:29PM
has held that phosphorus in the form of orthophosphates
is a hazardous substance?
A I have a vague recollection of seeing one other
news item, but it's very vague and I don't recall even
which state that may have been in, if I'm correct in my 4:29PM
recollection.
Q Are you aware of any instances where a court has
held that phosphorus in the form of orthophosphates is
not a hazardous substance as that term is defined in
CERCLA? 4:29PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A No, I'm not aware of that.
Q Do you know whether phosphorus in the form of
orthophosphates that is released to water can present
any risks to human health? 4:30PM
A I have to ask you for clarification on that. Do
you mean a direct -- a direct result of that release
and then exposure of humans to that phosphate and then
suffering adverse health effects because of the
phosphate itself? 4:30PM

Q I guess I mean something broader than that in the 4:30PM sense that if orthophosphate is released to water, can any risks to human health result from that release?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, as I indicated, I'm not a -- I'm not an 4:31PM expert on -- in the area of human health. I guess it is conceivable to me that -- that if there were sufficient phosphorus released to cause an excessive bloom of algae, that exposure to that algae or the water could potentially affect humans but I don't -- I 4:31PM don't know the details of that.
Q Are you aware of whether there are any cyanotoxins produced by bluegreen algae that could pose a risk to human health?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 4:31PM
A I'm just not aware of those toxins. As I indicated in prior testimony, I am aware of some evidence that those toxins could cause adverse effects in aquatic organisms, but I'm just not aware of the information that may be relevant to human health. 4:32PM
Q And I believe we discussed whether or not phosphorus leading to the production of total organic carbon could result in disinfection byproduct production in drinking water supplies earlier. Do you remember that? 4:32PM

A I don't recall. 4:32PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't recall us discussing that subject.
Q Do you know anything about disinfection byproduct formation in treatment of eutrophic waters for 4:32PM drinking?
A No, that's not something I've studied.
Q Does phosphate contain elemental phosphorus?
A Well, the molecule does contain a phosphorus atom.
Q Not being a chemist, does it contain elemental 4:33PM phosphorus?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. Asked and answered.
A Well, elemental phosphorous would refer to the -- the phosphorus in its purest state where it's not 4:33PM combined with anything. The phosphorus molecule contains the phosphorus atom and oxygen atoms -- the phosphate molecule that is, so I would term it as containing phosphorus atoms along with oxygen atoms.
Q What industrial clients have you consulted with on 4:34PM natural resource damages in Oklahoma?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A That would be the -- the present case that we're discussing.
Q It states here that in these natural resource 4:35PM damage assessment projects -- and this is on Page 9-1 of your resume, that you have worked closely with legal counsel during strategy development and settlement negotiations with state, federal, and tribal trustees. How do you assist counsel with strategy development? 4:35PM

MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Typically I provide my scientific, my biological advice to them on the, um, the nature of the data that exists at the particular site under question. I provide my opinions on what the data mean. 4:36PM
Q And that's what you mean by strategy development?
A Well, in many cases I am asked -- I may be asked is this a situation -- do you think this is a situation where we should engage the trustees and discuss and, if so, what would you suggest as far as various issues 4:36PM that we might be able to discuss with the trustees and find common ground. And as I indicated before, I'm involved in a number of cases that are involved in settlement discussions and I'm frequently involved in -- in that whole process. 4:36PM
Q What is an "empirical relationship"?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A An empirical relationship would be one that's based on data, on numbers, and I guess I would contrast that to a theoretical relationship. The empirical 4:37PM

relationship would be based on measured characteristics 4:37PM of particular variables relating one to the other, and a theoretical relationship might be based on a model, on an assumed relationship between variables, let's say, and without direct measured numbers that go into 4:37PM deriving that relationship.
Q Also on 9-1, it indicates that you have developed site-specific sediment quality values based on empirical relationships of chemical concentrations and the biological effects. Do you -- when you develop 4:38PM these empirical relationships, did you employ any statistical analysis?
A That particular -- those relationships that I'm talking about there, yes, do involve statistical analyses. 4:38PM
Q Did you employ parametric statistical analysis for all of the analysis that you did?
A I believe that most of the analyses that were done in -- well, let me go back a minute here. The -- those kinds of empirical relationships that I was talking 4:39PM about, where was that again on here?
Q It's in the first paragraph, the very last line.
A Yeah, it refers to the development of what's called apparent effects thresholds for use as site specific sediment quality values in being able to -- 4:39PM

and the main use of those is to be able to predict the 4:39PM
transition points between no effects and adverse
effects of concentrations and sediments. The
development of an apparent effects threshold involves a
series of statistical comparisons with a -- either 4:39PM
biological or toxicological sample. It could be a
toxicity test or it could be a measurement of some form
of community structure of a -- of a biological
community. And it's a comparison between that sample
at a particular site with concentrations of substances 4:40PM
with an appropriate reference site sample. And so it
is important in that overall determination of an
apparent effects threshold to determine whether or not
there is a statistical difference between an individual
sample and its corresponding reference sample. I 4:40PM
believe that in most cases where we have calculated
apparent effects thresholds based on those statistical
comparisons, that parametric statistics were employed
but if it -- if it was determined that parametric
statistics would not be appropriate in a particular 4:41PM
application, then my usual procedure then is to apply a
nonparametric test in lieu of the parametric test to
evaluate that statistical difference. But I've been
involved in the development of apparent effects
thresholds for a number of areas and sites and I don't 4:41PM

166

recall at all how many of those individual comparisons, 4:42PM
because there would be hundreds and hundreds, that may
have been parametric versus nonparametric.
Q In this context, when is it not appropriate for a parametric statistical test? 4:42PM
A Well, parametric test, and in the case of -- in
the case of the two sample comparisons that I'm talking
about here, there are certain assumptions regarding
normality of the underlying data and probably more
importantly on the -- on the differences in the 4:42PM
variances of the two samples. And if those assumptions
are not met, there's a potential to reach erroneous
conclusions based on the results of a -- of a
parametric statistical test because the test itself
assumes certain things about the distribution of data. 4:43PM
If those differences are substantial then and those
underlying differences cannot be corrected by
application of a transformation, then the alternatives
are to run an approximation based on a parametric test
or to just run the analogous nonparametric test that 4:43PM
does not have those underlying assumptions and -- and
evaluate the sample differences that way.
Q When you use the term "transformation," is the transformation of data the same thing as an effort to normalize the data? 4:44PM

167

A That can be part of it, but when you look at 4:44PM
parametric tests, usually those tests are relatively
robust concerning deviations from the underlying
normality assumptions. In other words, you can have
non-normal data and it may not -- it may not affect to 4:45PM
a great deal your conclusions that would be reached as
far as the probability of a type-one error. However,
those tests can be dramatically influenced by what are
called heteroschedastic or nonhomogeneous variances.
In other words, the variance is being dissimilar. If, 4:45PM
for example, you have one sample with a very small
variance and you're comparing it with a parametric test
with another sample with a very large variance, and you
run the parametric test, the test is not so robust as
far as that difference and it can lead one astray in 4:45PM
interpreting the results. So normally transformations
are done more for correction of the variance components
rather than the -- than the normality component.
Q Have you done many data transformations in conducting your statistical analysis? 4:46PM
A Well, I can recall cases where I have, yes.
Q Have you -- have you done a lot of statistical analysis in your career?
A Yes.
Q And it may be difficult for you to say, but have 4:46PM

168

you regularly used data transformations in your statistical analysis? 4:47PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't -- the term "regular." I have -- it is
not for some situations and some data I have used 4:47PM
transformations as well as I've used nonparametric
tests.
Q When -- how do you decide between doing a data transformation and opting for a nonparametric test?
A Could you repeat that, please? 4:47PM
Q Yes. How do you decide between doing a data transformation and a parametric test and when you're going to opt for a nonparametric test?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, it, I guess, in part comes down to 4:48PM
professional judgment and judgment on the degree to
which a transformation may be potentially biasing your
results just because of the -- of so highly
transforming data because it's important to always keep
in mind that when you run a test based on transformed 4:48PM
data, that your hypothesis is no longer associated with
the underlying data, it's associated with a
transformation of the underlying data. For example, if
a logarithmic transformation is used, then -- and
you're comparing two samples, then your hypothesis that 4:49PM

169

you're testing is associated with the log of the data 4:49PM
rather than the data themselves. Whereas, if one runs
a nonparametric test then you're still -- your
hypothesis remains associated with -- with the actual
raw data that were being evaluated rather than some 4:49PM
transformation of those data.

Q Do you have a preference for -- for parametric versus nonparametric tests?

MS. COLLINS: Object to form.

Q Is one a more accurate method than the other? 4:49PM

MS. COLLINS: Object to form.

A Well, first, I wouldn't say one is more accurate
than the other because they're both just -- they are
what they are as far as tests and they tell you the
probability of making a certain error in the analyses. 4:50PM
I would say that unless the data really points
otherwise, normally my preference would be to run
parametric tests.

Q What is -- how do you define statistical significance for the purposes of conducting your 4:50PM statistical analysis?

MS. COLLINS: Object to form.

A Well, the term as I would use it and the term
that's usually used as far as significance refers to
the probability of making what's called a Type One 4:50PM

error. In other words, Type One error is a -- could be 4:51PM
termed a false positive. The conclusion -- the
probability of concluding that there is a difference
between two samples when a difference does not actually
exist. 4:51PM

Q Is there a numeric value associated with that, that is applied when you do statistical analysis?

A The numeric value that ends up being associated
with it is based on the results of the test which can
be -- can be either expressed as a specific probability 4:51PM
or the results of the test can be compared with a -- I
guess what I would call somewhat standard significance
levels and there can be an indication of whether one
is -- is exceeding or not exceeding that particular
level. 4:52PM

Q And what are standard significance levels?

A The two that -- that I see commonly used are .05
or 5 and .01, 1 percent.

Q Have you ever used .01 as a level of statistical significance? 4:52PM

MS. COLLINS: Object to form.

A Well, my procedure is normally to report the
actual probability level resulting from the test, but I
have certainly analyzed data where the probability
level has been less than .01. 4:53PM

Q But have you ever used .01 as a standard 4:53PM significance level in conducting statistical analysis?

A Well, as I said before, I usually report the --
you know, rather than -- and just saying, for example,
less than .01, I would give the actual level, whatever 4:53PM
it is, .0001 or whatever is being less than that. I
think where I have used -- where I have compared with
more than these kind of what I call standard cutoffs, I
would usually report it both ways, whether or not the
probability was less than .05 and then whether it was 4:53PM
less than .01.

Q Are there times when you have just used .01?

A I don't think so.

MS. BURCH: I think we might be done for
today. 4:54PM

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now off the record.
The time is 4:54 p.m.

(Whereupon, the deposition was concluded
at 4:54 p.m.)

SIGNATURE PAGE

I, Thomas C. Ginn, do hereby certify that the foregoing deposition was presented to me by Marlene Percefull as a true and correct transcript of the proceedings in the above-styled and numbered cause, and I now sign the same as true and correct.

Witness my hand this _____day of

_____, 2009.

_____
Thomas C. Ginn

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me

this_____ day of _____, 2009.

_____
Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF OKLAHOMA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF TULSA)

I, Marlene Percefull, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, do hereby certify that the above-named witness was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the case aforesaid, and that I reported in stenograph his deposition; that my stenograph notes were thereafter transcribed and reduced to typewritten form under my supervision, as the same appears herein.

I further certify that the foregoing 173 pages contain a full, true, and correct transcript of the deposition taken at such time and place.

I further certify that I am not attorney for or relative to either of said parties, or otherwise interested in the event of said action.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL this ____ day of April, 2009.

_____
Marlene Percefull, CSR
CSR No. 01818

April 22, 2009

Ms. Melissa Collins
Attorney at Law
1700 Lincoln Street
Suite 3200
Denver, CO 80203
Re: Depo of Thomas C. Ginn

Dear Ms. Collins:
Enclosed please find your copy of the above-referenced deposition. Also enclosed you will find the original signature page and correction sheet for the deposition. Please have Mr. Ginn review his deposition, make any corrections on the correction sheet and sign the original signature page in front of a Notary Public. As soon as this procedure has been completed, please return the original signature page and the correction sheet to me.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Marlene Percefull, CSR

CORRECTIONS TO THE DEPOSITION OF THOMAS C. GINN

PAGE AND LINE NUMBER	CORRECTION

A

abatement 152:11 153:11
ability 10:5 19:23 66:25
able 13:10 22:20 56:23 64:1 79:20 115:4 130:19 132:16 164:16 165:25 166:1
Abney 5:3
abnormalities 21:10 22:8
above-named 174:7
above-referenced 176:9
above-styled 173:6
absence 51:12
absolute 38:24 84:17
absolutely 60:13 88:7
absorbed 106:7
absorption 106:3 107:22
abstracts 14:11
abundance 19:20 25:11,13 62:20 66:16 71:3 87:20
abundances 22:19 64:24 78:19
abundant 22:2 56:19 65:11 68:5
accepted 113:1,5 125:14
access 12:11
account 5:13
accurate 7:11 36:25 37:2 47:2 54:16 60:25 170:10,12
Act 50:17,24 115:18 138:24 139:24 140:2
action 129:8 152:7,10,23 153:4,16,24 174:19
actions 129:2,25
active 42:19
activities 132:9 134:6
activity 128:9 153:25
actual 155:12,21 170:4 171:23 172:5
acute 126:2
addition 52:10 67:13,25 73:16,17,17 121:21
additional 5:9 6:16 23:1,11 68:3
address 6:6 76:15
addressing 88:18
adequate 83:24
Administration 135:17
adopted 115:3,7
adoption 115:10
adult 21:3
advantageous 26:17
adverse 10:24,25 11:4 38:21 38:24 56:2 63:17 66:12 84:10 131:12 152:21 154:14 156:12,16,17,23,24 157:2,8 157:17 161:24 162:18 166:2
adversely 75:22
advice 102:11 111:12 164:8
aeration 55:6 56:23 86:18 88:18 98:12,19,23 99:7,24 100:5,11
aerial 110:6
Affairs 90:4
affect 17:7,9 28:3 63:5 72:21 73:5 105:23 107:5,7,9,10 162:10 168:5
aforesaid 174:10
age 21:1 25:13
agencies 22:18
agency 115:8,15 132:25 153:3,10
agents 152:20
ago 24:18 41:15 110:15 121:8
agree 157:4,10 159:14 160:9
agreeing 82:11
agreement 132:18
agricultural 92:23 93:2
Ah 91:9
ahead 120:8 150:13
AHOD 110:6
AHODs 110:4,5
al 1:10 81:19,20 82:8,16,20 84:25 85:21 86:1
Alaska 52:3 53:5
alewife 11:16
alewives 11:14
algae 38:3 59:4,5,15,19 60:7 68:13,16,19 71:2 73:3,13,18 75:4,10,13,15 109:10 157:12 162:9,9,13
allocate 132:17
allocation 130:7 131:23 132:2,6,11
allowed 50:18
alternatively 103:25
alternatives 93:25 167:18
ambient 9:7 19:12 30:5,8 31:24
amount 4:24 12:9 20:15 58:19 60:18,23 92:22 106:6 106:9
amounts 59:24
amphipods 10:15
analogous 167:20
analyses 36:17 51:21 52:19 76:20 139:14 165:15,18 170:15
analysis 5:17 11:5 20:19 33:10 39:22 40:1 42:5,17 77:3 92:14 98:8 139:13 165:12,16,17 168:20,23 169:2 170:21 171:7 172:2
analyze 31:17
analyzed 171:24
ancillary 130:25
and/or 27:25 69:25
anglers 22:22 26:5
anguler 22:15,17
animals 38:21 47:11
annual 77:6
anoxic 55:3 56:5 57:3,14,21 57:23 58:15 59:19 60:9,16 64:16,22 65:1,2,16
answer 15:18 23:8 26:23 27:22 36:5 65:21 78:2 82:6 107:20 108:23 115:19 147:8 160:5
answered 120:25 163:13
answering 29:2
anticipate 144:25
antidegredation 113:21
apart 142:1,5
apparent 165:24 166:4,13,17 166:24

appeared 95:4
appears 20:25 174:13
applicability 25:5 55:6 88:21 131:21
applicable 76:5,8 100:12,19 115:14 121:2
application 101:3 114:3 166:21 167:18
applications 49:13 50:18,21 51:2,6,10,22 98:23 122:7,22 122:24 123:3 131:4
applied 38:12 149:10,15 171:7
applies 113:22
apply 76:2 113:25 114:14,16 114:19,22 117:13 118:15,22 118:25 139:23 166:21
applying 32:25
approach 82:12 149:9
approaches 41:9
appropriate 29:11,14 82:10 82:13 166:11,20 167:4
appropriateness 150:12
approved 115:7,14
approximation 167:19
April 1:18 4:4 174:21 176:1
aquatic 9:9 18:10 37:23 43:23 44:6 46:7 47:15,17 48:19 51:18 59:8,18 75:13 75:16 154:25 155:2,5 162:19
AR 2:14,18
archived 91:3
Arco 32:6 33:24 34:17,18,20 36:11 41:14 43:6 136:18 137:23
area 19:16 20:11 27:15 30:18 39:20 44:25 46:22,23 55:19 56:17 58:11 68:25 69:2 80:6 96:14 127:22 128:12 128:14,24 130:16 132:19 136:9 155:10,11,24,25 162:6
areas 7:15 9:11 44:13 63:11 64:21 65:2,10,14,25 76:18 81:13 92:25 94:19 95:20 105:12 127:24 128:16 129:18 136:7 166:25
Arizona 6:7
Army 89:24
aromatic 45:10
arrive 92:4
arsenic 127:12 151:24 159:17 159:23,24 160:1
article 15:7,25
articles 5:12 14:6,7,12 16:7
asked 23:14 25:7 86:9,12 92:5 109:18 163:12 164:12 164:12
asking 45:2 46:13 53:2 67:21 82:2 103:9 130:4 153:12 155:23
aspect 135:14
aspects 132:16 139:19 144:2 144:20 145:7,14
assemblages 101:5
assembled 93:17
assess 19:22 127:7
assessment 17:1 34:12,13,14 34:23 35:1,4,11 42:25 54:17 82:9 95:1 115:20,25 133:7,9 134:10 140:6,8,11,13 141:5 141:6,19,22 143:15 144:17 144:23,23 146:23,24 147:18 148:6,10,18,24 149:6,7,8,10 149:12,22 150:13 151:16 152:12,13,25 153:5,9,10,18 153:23 154:4 164:1
assessments 40:24 44:10 70:1 116:2 128:8 138:17,18,19 138:22 139:2,12,24 142:20 146:1,11,14,17,19 147:1 149:13 151:11 155:8,9
assigned 80:7
assist 33:11 93:7 164:5
assistant 12:19
assisting 134:6
associated 14:10 22:7 24:4 33:4 36:16 43:7,16 44:2,20 44:24 48:14 52:19 53:15,24 54:7 55:4 72:4,16 73:1 76:25 81:9 89:12 95:16,24 96:1,15 97:15,25 104:22 106:16 107:22 121:13 122:5 124:16 125:20,21 127:1,19 130:15 131:13 145:8 169:21 169:22 170:1,4 171:6,8
assume 25:24 32:22 92:8 103:6 114:16 153:24
assumed 165:4
assumes 167:15
assumptions 167:8,11,21 168:4
astray 168:15
Atmospheric 135:16
atom 163:9,17
atoms 163:17,19,19
attached 59:15,18,21 60:7 71:1,2 72:6,15 73:2 109:10
attempt 19:4 85:2 141:17 151:17
attorney 1:4 2:3,6,10,13,17 174:17 176:3
attract 72:1
attracted 73:14
attractive 72:9
authored 15:8
authority 12:4 141:16
authors 82:8
availability 24:5 107:11
available 5:18 26:5 73:23 89:9 92:2 98:23 102:9 119:11
awarded 87:4
aware 37:20,21 41:9 76:1 77:14,18 103:1 106:22 114:13,17,18,21 115:16 116:4 122:7,8,18 129:25 131:5 152:22 153:15,24 159:13 160:15,19 161:5,12 161:17 162:12,16,17,19
A-L-E-W-I-F-E 11:17
A-L-E-W-I-V-E-S 11:16
a.m 4:2,5 33:19,23 62:4,8

B

B 149:7,11,16,18,25
bachelor 123:20 124:1
back 15:4 23:9 24:12,24 27:4 33:22 44:8 53:16 61:20 62:7 64:20 87:15 88:14,14 90:2,11,16 105:19 120:14 145:24 165:19
background 123:13
bacteria 110:9
Baikal 94:20,25 95:2 96:4,15 97:11
balance 27:20 28:4,6,21
balanced 20:25
balances 26:20,22 27:1,2,20 28:6,12
bankruptcy 121:16
Barren 108:8
Bartlesville 113:11
base 29:11 144:19
based 5:16 37:22 38:2,5 39:8 52:12 69:21,24,24 80:16,22 81:25 82:13,16 84:2 85:5,8 85:16,18 106:9 152:24 158:6 164:24 165:1,3,8 166:17 167:13,19 169:20 171:9
baseline 39:8 40:16
basic 71:20 144:16
basically 17:15 50:19 103:24 137:15 150:11
basin 55:17
basis 27:15 51:21 141:8 153:4,17
bass 11:13 13:18 14:14,19,23 15:1,7,10 16:20 18:11,13
Bay 128:20,20 129:4,8,11,18 129:22,24 130:8 131:24 132:12,23 133:3,5,7,13 151:14 153:8
Bayor 133:7
becoming 57:14
began 4:1 138:16
behalf 1:16 112:1
believe 11:25 12:4 14:4 15:1 27:6 31:19 35:14 37:5 38:6 40:2 41:10,23 49:20 51:3 52:16 69:23 75:9,14,19 76:17 82:25 83:6 86:4,23 88:19,19 89:3,7 90:8,19 111:21 112:17 116:21 118:20 119:20 120:7,18 124:23 131:21 150:19 152:9 159:16,25 162:21 165:18 166:16
beneficial 37:25 38:8 76:20 76:21,24 77:3,8 83:2 110:21 116:2,12,18,23
benefit 142:6,8
benthic 52:16 56:16 64:9,11 64:14,24 65:5,11,24 66:4 71:18 72:4,7,18,22 73:6 75:17,20 86:14 92:11 98:11 98:18 99:7 100:1,10 107:15 107:16 112:22 127:4
benthics 87:24
best 91:15 92:16
beyond 19:24 20:19
BIA 90:3,6
biasing 169:17
bigger 26:6
binders 5:15
biological 7:25 21:18 24:14 38:14,23 39:19 40:9 43:1,3 44:11,17 46:2,9,18,21,24 47:3 48:19 53:12,25 54:17 55:4 56:9 86:13 87:12 89:10 92:2,6 94:15 96:18 97:13,15,19 98:9,17 102:10 102:13 120:3 121:22 123:14 137:9,13 154:5,11,25 155:14 156:1,6,7 164:7 165:10 166:6,8
biologically 37:22
biologist 53:11 91:19 142:13
biologists 53:11 137:17
biology 6:20 123:14,17,17 137:19
biomass 20:14,19
biota 127:21 152:21
Biotic 120:3
birds 46:25
bit 46:16 133:8
Blackwell 112:8
blank 111:7
bloom 162:9
blown 142:4
blueback 11:14
bluegreen 68:18 75:4,10,13 75:15 162:13
BMI 64:12,13,14
board 77:7 110:17,17 111:1,4 111:14,23 112:16 113:2,6 116:15 117:13 152:10
bodies 29:7 30:17 69:17 77:10 88:10 116:10
body 21:16 27:14 28:16,23 29:12 38:13,18,22 51:19 59:23 65:9 82:1,3,4 88:5 104:20 105:8,10,11 130:22 130:24
Boeing 137:3
books 14:7
border 56:20
Bosque 160:21
Boston 2:10
bottom 15:8 57:20 58:7 64:21 69:11 71:17 80:8 103:18,21 104:1 106:4
bound 57:13
boundaries 79:6
boundary 79:5
boy 100:15 127:25 129:10
break 33:16 62:1 88:12 120:9
brief 161:2
broad 7:13 101:7 103:9,13
broader 162:1
broadest 17:8
broadly 155:20
broken 149:4,5
Bronson 2:13 4:15,15
brought 153:17
built 25:6
bulk 5:11

BUMP 83:1 119:16
Burch 2:3 3:7 4:7,7,22 5:21 5:23 6:1 15:15 16:9,12 62:1 64:17 119:17 120:8 172:14
burden 142:15,18
Bureau 90:3
burn 17:10
byproduct 162:23 163:4
byproducts 68:24
B-A-I-K-A-L 94:22

C

C 1:6,15 2:1 3:5 4:18 6:4 173:3,14 174:1,1 175:2 176:6
cadmium 111:21 112:15,21 127:13
calculated 119:2 120:5,21 166:16
calculation 119:7
calculations 122:10
California 49:8 54:15,23 55:20 86:6 125:15 152:11
call 42:10 44:2 45:21 46:23 104:16 107:5 114:11 143:7 171:12 172:8
called 7:2,5 12:3 40:16 49:5 59:12 64:9 69:20 74:14 76:19 90:19 106:5 116:5 128:1,23 130:16 134:4,21 152:9 165:24 168:9 170:25
candidate 56:23
Caney 108:9
capacity 1:4,6
carbon 45:8,16 162:23
career 154:19 168:23
Cargill 2:6 4:9
Cargill's 5:19
Carlson's 78:24 79:8
Carr 128:1,2,6
case 5:6,13 6:2,10,11 30:20 31:20 32:1,6,10,19,20 33:1 33:3,13,24,25 34:2,6,12 35:12,13,18 40:3,3 41:2 42:18 43:15 50:15 86:7 97:6,10,11 110:14 117:5,7 117:10,17 119:15,22 121:18 123:5,8 130:22 136:19,25 138:1 139:7,9,20,22 140:2,3 141:11 143:7,14 147:12 148:7,9 151:18 153:7 160:21,25 163:23 167:6,7 174:10
cases 19:24 22:18 28:18 30:3 30:19 38:3 41:5,11,13,15,19 41:21,23 42:2,9,9,20,25 44:19 52:5 68:14 103:15 105:12 115:9 135:19 136:20 137:21 138:4,7,12,13,24,25 139:1,5,6,18 140:11,16,17 140:20,22 141:13 143:12 146:15 148:5,14 155:10 164:12,18 166:16 168:21
casting 27:4 87:15
catch 22:15,22 72:11
catching 77:25
catchment 55:17
categories 47:1 69:18 76:21 76:25 77:2 80:1,16 81:21 85:12 86:3 116:11
categorizations 86:2
categorized 83:16 84:3
category 46:14 80:14,15 117:8,20 121:3 155:21
causal 152:20
causation 144:20
cause 1:17 27:23 62:18,19 63:17 66:12 68:16 75:3 156:23 157:1,4,7,12,19 162:8,18 173:6
caused 39:18 71:4
causes 74:16,22 75:16
causing 38:21 55:23 157:25
cellular 21:10
Center 12:6,15,16 13:6,11 102:6 125:2,13
central 55:20
CERCLA 33:25 35:5 128:22 131:17,20,22 138:19,21 140:2,3 158:24 159:1,5,7,9 159:12,15 160:4,7,10 161:15
certain 11:1 26:10 27:15 41:24 42:1 63:11,15 66:25 67:4 72:1,2,3 79:9 80:10,11 107:17 118:1 139:18,19 140:18 156:17 167:8,15 170:15
certainly 11:1 15:17 19:24 37:21 48:24 52:4 61:18 139:19 155:19 171:24
certainty 107:24
Certificate 3:9
certified 1:20,20 174:5
certify 173:3 174:7,14,17
CFR 148:24
change 25:10 26:3,17,19,19 26:25 33:17 40:12,13 66:3 66:13 67:2 71:20,23 72:16 82:7 85:19 100:17 137:6 145:19
changed 73:23 137:7
changes 7:25 17:2,7 22:22 23:5 26:8,16,21,21,23 27:2 27:3,19,20,22 65:24 66:8,9 66:11,16,17,17 71:17,18,19 72:7 77:20 80:3,4,12,22 81:6 83:14 84:4,12 85:16,23 85:24 106:3,13 126:1
changing 89:15
Chapter 116:6 121:3,3 122:5
Chapters 116:3 117:15 120:17
characteristic 23:16
characteristics 26:7 81:14 120:24 165:1
charge 137:15,16
check 15:14 49:20 91:10 148:12
checking 49:21
chemical 7:23 137:11 165:9
chemist 163:10
chief 53:10
Chikaskia 111:19 112:1,15
chlorinated 8:13 17:5 127:14

chlorination 8:14
chlorine 8:12 17:4
chlorophyll-a 69:21 109:25
choose 140:4
chose 139:17
chronological 150:20
chronologically 150:21
circulation 98:12 99:8,24 100:11
cities 50:25
City 1:18 2:4 128:24 136:24
claim 132:7 142:19 147:15
claims 154:1
clarification 22:9 121:1 161:21
clarify 34:21 69:14 70:7,11 119:19 132:1
clarity 21:21 36:25 96:17
class 25:13 69:15
classes 21:1 154:20 155:17
classic 20:9
classical 23:24 156:11
classification 78:21 81:2 82:4
classifications 79:7
classified 70:9,10 78:20 82:3 84:11
classify 70:5,14,15,16,17
clean 48:13 49:3,9 50:17,24 54:20 71:25 73:11 115:17 132:17 138:24 139:23 140:2
cleanup 152:11 153:10,25
clear 16:10 30:21 97:24 140:5
client 111:2 112:2,4 135:13 137:2 152:5,5
clients 137:8 163:20
clog 107:6
closely 53:13 164:2
closer 72:24
coast 49:17
coasts 136:8
COCs 152:1
code 116:3
Coeur 31:9,22,23 43:18
coined 154:15
collect 98:22
collected 5:13 31:18 32:7 70:13 97:17 117:25 119:5,8 119:9,18,21
collecting 52:7 99:1,1
collection 52:2 127:6
College 2:17
Collins 2:6 4:9,9 5:11 15:17 16:11 26:12,14 39:15,23 60:10 65:7 75:8 94:4 97:8 103:5,12 105:21 119:14 131:18,25 132:13 140:14 142:11,23 145:5 146:3 147:3,24 148:4,25 150:8 153:1,6,19 155:16 157:9,15 158:9,25 160:11,18,23 161:1,16 162:4,15 163:2,12 163:22 164:6,22 169:3,14 170:9,11,22 171:21 176:3,8
color 68:15
column 57:16,19 72:12 96:25 104:3,5 105:20,25 106:15 106:17,24 107:18,19 108:2
combination 36:8
combine 36:5
combined 85:24 163:16
come 69:24 85:24 88:14,14 158:5
comes 48:4,15 104:25 111:5 169:15
commencement 128:20,20 129:4,8,11,16,18,22,24 130:8 133:3,5,7,13 153:21 153:22
comment 42:20 147:21 148:3 148:10,20
commented 42:15 148:13
comments 42:20,23 147:18 147:21,25 148:6,15,17,19
Commission 173:23
common 47:8 59:3 62:25 69:20,23 164:17
commonly 69:5 171:17
communities 7:25 24:19,20 52:17 71:18,19 72:16 79:13 83:1 86:14 92:6 96:19 126:2 156:1
community 9:10 65:14 66:4,8 66:13,18,23 67:2,8 72:4 77:21,22,23,24 78:4,6,13 79:17,21 117:24 118:8 120:24 121:19,23 166:8,9
company 91:1 121:13,15 126:14 134:21 135:12 152:24
compare 30:15,16
compared 32:2,10 33:6 40:16 62:24 66:5 78:21 102:3 118:3 171:11 172:7
comparing 121:10 168:12 169:25
comparison 30:4,8 102:1 166:9
comparisons 48:25 166:5,18 167:1,7
compensate 66:25
compensation 147:2
competing 24:3
competition 24:4
compilation 15:24
compile 86:12
compiled 52:5
compiling 92:1
complete 143:22 144:8
completed 142:21 176:13
completely 29:3 44:12 145:9
complex 35:21 149:12
compliance 102:18
complying 139:21
component 89:12 168:18
components 34:6 140:19 168:17
composition 66:14
compounds 8:13 45:19,22,25 46:5 159:23 160:3,6
comprehensive 22:24 23:11
conceivable 18:24 26:15,25 28:2 63:6,17 66:20 67:6 162:7
concentrating 35:22
concentration 33:6 65:4

concentrations 30:16,22 31:11,24 33:7 36:10 43:8,21 43:22 44:18 61:16 63:19 69:22 75:14 92:17 93:5 107:10 109:21,22 121:20 165:9 166:3,10
concern 43:22 95:12,22 96:11 96:18 101:16 151:23,24
concerned 44:6 45:24 57:15
concerning 24:18 168:3
concerns 41:24 88:18 96:13
concert 141:7
concluded 86:19 88:20 172:18
concluding 171:3
conclusion 171:2
conclusions 92:4,13 93:12,22 167:13 168:6
condenser 12:7 16:1,5
condition 62:20,23 63:2 64:22 66:16
conditions 19:12 28:21 38:14 39:8 52:22 53:25 55:5,9 56:2 58:16 64:4 65:16 79:1 79:3,3 80:3 81:11 84:10 86:13 87:13 89:2,10 101:6 127:7 135:21 157:12
conduct 12:21 52:14 126:18
conducted 30:5 34:15,23,25 53:4 70:2 86:14 138:23 150:21
conducting 12:23 34:11 35:10 140:7 141:21 168:20 170:20 172:2
confidential 133:10 145:16 147:13
confidentiality 41:19,24
consensus 82:9,12
consider 156:4
considerable 92:22
consideration 31:23 160:2
considerations 144:19
considered 4:25 37:10 81:12 160:1
considering 36:2,8
consolidation 98:24
constant 61:3
constructed 24:22 25:3
consult 117:12
consulted 163:20
consulting 102:11 125:14
contact 44:5 64:21 72:23 77:1 176:17
contain 45:7 103:14 163:8,9 163:10 174:15
containing 163:19
contains 163:17
contaminants 130:10 151:23 151:24
contaminated 127:2
contamination 129:3
context 17:13 28:5 31:25 40:6 46:10 48:3 70:19 91:7 105:17 132:20 167:4
contingent 41:3,6,12,16,25
continued 33:21 62:6 90:15 120:13 145:23
continuing 49:25 125:13 132:6 135:9
continuously 151:7
continuum 67:5 73:3 78:4 79:5,16
contract 87:3
contracted 135:19
contractor 51:5 134:5
contrast 71:24 164:24
contribute 57:21 58:15,17,22 59:7,19 60:2,15 107:19
contributing 60:6 94:7
contributions 129:20
contributor 131:12
control 57:12 93:25 152:10
controllable 89:14
controlled 93:20
conventional 68:22
conversation 86:4
convert 158:21,22
cooling 8:1,4,18 9:25 16:24 24:14,15,23 25:1,3 27:7,10 48:11 53:16 54:1,18 126:5
cooperative 141:8,14,15 142:20 143:8,15
cooperatively 141:21
coordinated 137:18
copper 127:12 151:25 159:19 160:6
copy 6:10 15:11,13,15,16 86:22 90:8 176:9
core 5:16
Corps 48:12 89:8,24 90:3,7
correct 6:22 10:23 13:12 34:4 39:3 47:13,14 48:1 51:23 55:11 57:1,17 64:10 69:16 72:20 104:14 112:24 116:20 123:15,16,22 125:4 132:24 134:23,24 135:2 140:8 148:10 151:6 153:12 157:3 161:10 173:5,7 174:15
corrected 167:17
correction 168:17 175:4 176:10,11,14
corrections 175:1 176:11
correctly 50:3
corresponding 166:15
Corvallis 87:5 99:15
counsel 4:5 5:1 164:3,5
count 122:16 146:4
country 18:10 49:15 51:1
County 1:18 174:4,6
couple 14:25 24:13 41:15 57:24 81:12 107:3 126:11 126:13 136:18
course 139:14 155:18,22
courses 155:2
court 1:1 160:15 161:5,12
cover 68:14
covered 7:12,13 101:24
coyotes 47:10
co-leader 94:24
co-manager 98:7
co-trustee 136:9
Craig 2:9 4:11
Creek 108:8,9
criteria 37:16,24 38:7 84:17 110:19,20 111:12 112:1,15

113:1,5 114:7,9,12,13 115:11
criterion 38:11 114:5
CSR 174:22,23 176:25
curious 123:10
current 52:21 55:4 153:7
currently 52:25 82:23
cut 79:18
cutoffs 79:12 172:8
cut-off 79:9
cyanotoxin 75:21
cyanotoxins 75:7,10 162:12
cycle 74:8 105:9
cycles 63:10 106:7
C-A-R-R 128:1

D

D 3:1
damage 34:3,6,13,14,22,25 35:3 40:23 41:8 42:24 44:10 132:7 133:7 135:19 137:20 138:17,18,19,22 139:2,11,24 140:6,8,11,13 141:4,23 146:1,11,25 148:24 151:10 154:1 164:1
damages 132:18 144:18 145:3,12 146:13 149:2,23 149:23 150:16 163:21
dangerous 158:2
darker 65:14
data 14:23 50:21 52:2,5 53:12 70:12 87:21 93:17 97:17 98:9,18 99:1,2,3 102:13,15 108:14,17 117:25 118:8 119:5,8,9,11,13,14,17 119:19 120:20,20 121:22 127:6 134:9 164:8,10,24 167:9,15,24,25 168:5,19 169:1,5,8,11,19,21,22,23 170:1,2,5,6,16 171:24
database 134:8
date 91:10
dates 91:12 138:10
dating 61:20
day 1:18 74:1,12,18 153:21 173:9,19 174:20
days 136:17
DDT 127:14
deal 108:12 149:14 168:6
dealing 11:7 47:17 77:12,16 94:14 102:13 113:11 140:21
dealt 9:13
Dear 176:8
decay 60:1,22 61:5
decaying 59:24 60:14,18 68:16
decide 169:8,11
decided 51:1
decision 160:19
decisions 93:18
decision-making 51:7
declining 9:21
decrease 26:10 52:11 57:9 96:16,21
decreases 58:23
deep 55:1 56:14 58:3,12
deeper 65:10,14
deepest 96:10
deer 47:11
defendant 142:16
defendants 1:11 4:10 139:16 140:10 141:11 146:8,12
defense 141:12
deferred 152:2
define 25:8,18 39:1,4 46:9,10 46:13,18,21 103:20 137:14 156:20 170:19
defined 10:13,16 20:11 35:5 37:3,6,13 38:1,15 39:10 40:18 54:12 148:24 159:4,9 159:11 161:14
definition 157:24 158:2,4
definitions 40:21 156:11
degree 17:10 29:25 36:17 40:13 60:19,24 63:15 107:24 108:18 124:12 169:16
degrees 9:6
delta 95:25 96:3
demand 110:7
denominate 64:11
dense 68:5
Denver 2:8 176:5
Department 36:22 132:4 133:1 136:24
depend 61:12 65:8,15,17 74:2
dependent 106:5
depending 5:8,9 18:9 63:6,7
depends 23:20,22 28:14,22 66:23 78:17
depleted 58:11
depletes 75:1
depletion 58:13 60:24 61:6
Depo 176:6
deposited 103:24
deposition 1:15 4:1,4,23 5:9 5:19 6:9 104:21 172:18 173:4 174:11,16 175:1 176:9,10,11
depositional 105:13
depressions 66:1
depth 74:3
Derek 111:6
deriving 165:6
described 12:21 13:4 49:19 81:24 84:25 152:15
description 50:1
design 98:16 134:13
designated 83:20 128:14,21 128:23
designation 83:12
designed 76:11,15 110:20,24
Despite 39:1
detailed 108:20
details 86:18 94:9 102:20 106:23 153:24 161:4 162:11
determination 36:24 37:7 38:16 39:4 40:9,15 41:8 89:13 117:23 141:23 144:18 145:3 147:2 149:2,23 150:14,15,16 166:12
determinations 76:23 118:16
determine 18:16 22:20 51:10 70:3 115:4 118:4 166:13

determined 37:23 166:19
detrimental 157:13
develop 17:11 68:5 84:13 92:3 98:24 112:14 116:22 165:10
developed 80:1 82:15 100:12 118:3 119:20 122:19 141:11 141:12 147:8 165:7
developing 24:18 63:1 93:12 113:4 134:6 141:19
development 12:4,7 87:5 99:15 110:18 111:11,20,25 125:22 126:4 127:5 128:13 134:7 135:24 144:17,22 164:3,5,11 165:23 166:4,24
deviations 168:3
diagnostic 124:20
Dickson 2:14
die 62:16 68:17
Diego 136:25 151:14 153:8
difference 149:6 166:14,23 168:15 171:3,4
differences 18:17,18,24 79:17 101:14 118:21 167:10,16,17 167:22
different 11:19 18:19,20 19:7 22:5 23:7,21 28:24 49:8,9 59:20 65:14 70:21 72:7,15 78:5,8,13 81:25 100:13 107:3 118:10,18 137:7 138:23 143:11 152:14
differing 27:25
difficult 168:25
dioxins 45:9
direct 3:7 5:22 72:23 107:6,7 161:22,22 165:5
directing 137:11
directly 44:22 124:2
director 135:14 137:5,9,13
disadvantageous 26:18
discharge 7:24 8:5,9,22 17:6 49:14 51:18 52:9,21,25 53:15 101:8,16 102:12
discharged 8:11,13
discharges 7:23 48:7 49:6,15 50:2 52:3 53:17,20 101:6,10 101:17,18 130:12
discipline 7:5
disciplines 154:9,17
discuss 147:15 164:14,16
discussed 13:1 60:15 76:3 126:8,16 155:20 162:21
discussing 13:14,23 14:2 16:19 46:10 60:5 100:14 163:3,24
discussion 15:20 42:14 46:17 141:7
discussions 42:22 93:16 142:5 143:1 146:16 164:19
disease 22:7 63:16
disinfection 68:23 162:23 163:4
dissertation 9:12 11:10 13:8 13:10 14:20 125:5
dissimilar 168:10
dissolved 27:19,22 28:1,2 31:5 33:6 36:17 44:18 48:8 48:9,15,17 52:11,12 53:22 54:3 57:5 58:23 61:2,8,11 61:13,21 62:10,12,14,17,18 63:8,14,24,25 64:2 65:17,20 65:23 66:1,9 68:12 69:25 71:15 73:21,25 74:1,9,11 80:25 81:5 83:17 105:23 108:21,24
distinguish 69:17 85:16
distinguishing 145:10
distribution 25:14 130:10,23 167:15
DISTRICT 1:1,2
Ditch 130:16
diurnal 74:14,22
diverse 65:11
diversities 23:21
diversity 23:16,17,18,20,23 23:23,24 24:1 118:11
divided 135:11,12
division 99:12 135:13,13 138:11
divisions 76:3 135:12
doctoral 9:12 14:20
document 85:22 130:19 145:16
documented 99:25
documents 4:24 5:17 90:23 134:7,9,15 152:16
DOI 37:5 136:2 139:12,23 140:5,13 143:3
doing 9:18 13:11 17:21 42:24 50:15 52:8 85:2 87:19 89:18 102:7 111:17,19,24 116:18 121:5 124:10 127:16 127:18 135:17 136:22 137:19 139:15 150:3 155:11 169:8,11
Dr 4:4,25 87:6,9
draft 153:10
dramatically 168:8
drawing 111:7 130:13,13
DREW 1:4
drifting 59:5 70:25
drifts 72:12
drinking 43:24 44:4 68:21 162:24 163:6
Drive 6:7
driven 81:4
driving 30:2
due 62:17 95:20
duly 1:20 4:19 5:20 174:8
Duwamish 128:23 129:5 136:23 137:2 143:3
dynamics 104:20 107:21
D'Alene 31:10,22,23 43:18

E

E 2:1,1 3:1,3,3 174:1,1
earlier 13:1 45:3 54:12 61:21 66:16 67:9 76:4 126:4 147:7 153:20 156:14 162:24
early 5:13 15:5 63:10,10 91:16 99:18 111:10,10 135:17 136:17 146:10
East 2:14 6:7
ecological 151:16 152:12,13 152:25 153:4,9,17,23 154:4

ecologists 137:17
ecology 6:21,24,25 7:4 132:5 133:1 154:10,10
economists 41:7
ecosystem 39:9 125:25
ecosystems 139:10
ecotoxicologist 154:7,8 157:23 158:7
ecotoxicologists 137:17
ecotoxicology 7:6
EDMONDSON 1:4
education 154:18
educational 123:12
effect 58:11 66:21,23 67:1,5,7 107:8 131:12 156:17,18,23 156:24 157:2,25
effective 99:25
effectively 124:12
effectiveness 88:17
effects 7:7,21,22 8:10,12,17 9:18,21 10:3,3,7,8,21,24,25 11:2,4 13:17 16:22,23 17:1 17:4 27:14 31:21 38:21,25 39:8 43:13 44:4,6,16,16 46:7 48:7,17 63:17 65:15 66:12,21,25 67:3 68:10 94:14 95:14,25 96:12 97:16 101:8,9 125:25 126:3 127:4 128:11 152:21 154:14 155:13 156:5,11,12,12,13 156:16 157:8 161:24 162:18 165:10,24 166:2,3,4,13,17 166:24
effort 97:18 167:24
efforts 30:13 127:6
eggs 11:8 63:11
either 10:21 13:16 14:6 16:25 19:19 23:6 25:13 27:14 29:18 36:18 38:3 39:19 68:14 96:2 100:1 103:16 105:6,14 109:11,14,22 113:7 150:16 152:7 155:14 166:5 171:10 174:18
electric 27:6 53:18,21 54:14
electrical 12:9
elemental 158:1,14,17,18 163:8,10,14
elevated 9:23
elk 47:11
embankments 126:21 127:8 128:19
emergent 59:12
emissions 131:2
empirical 164:21,23,25 165:9 165:11,20
employ 139:12 165:11,16
employed 6:5,6 12:16 102:6 124:4,6,7,21,25 125:1 151:7 166:18
employee 124:10
employing 118:9
employment 13:5 123:9
enclosed 176:9,9
ended 12:10
ends 58:6 79:16 171:8
energy 12:4 17:10 39:5
enforcement 152:7,9,23 153:4,16,24
engage 164:14
Engineering 124:9
engineering-type 137:12
Engineers 48:12 89:8,25
England 49:16
entire 119:15 129:22,23 131:15 133:4
entirely 9:13 138:25 141:15
entity 70:14 152:23
entrained 9:25
entrainment 125:24
entry 100:8
environment 1:6 20:3 43:15 98:2 100:25 101:12,16 105:13 157:24 158:17
environmental 115:8,15 134:9,21,22 135:1,4,21 154:12
environments 65:13 101:22 123:24,24
EPA 48:5,11,25 49:2,25 50:19 51:6 56:21 86:6,20 87:1,2 98:14 99:10,13 111:16 126:15,18 129:1,19 131:23 132:5,10,23 134:2,3 134:14 152:15
EPA's 115:10
epilimnion 56:3,20 58:23
EPRI 27:6 54:9,11,13
equal 60:18 78:11
equipment 12:8
equivalency 42:5,13,17
ERDA 12:5,11
eroded 104:1,4
erroneous 167:12
error 168:7 170:15 171:1,1
especially 63:8 107:9 124:18 127:2 130:11
essence 5:14
essential 156:9
essentially 57:5,11,25 58:5 59:17 68:2,15 96:4 104:2 107:6 141:7 142:16
establish 61:23
established 61:15
estimate 140:16 145:12 146:5
estimation 149:23
estuarian 6:24,25 7:4 49:15 134:8 136:7
estuarine 6:20 50:3,5,6,7,11 134:4
estuary 7:2
et 1:10 81:19,20 82:7,16,20 84:24 85:21 86:1
eutrophic 55:10 69:12,15 73:25 74:9 78:5,7,9,13,16 78:17,18,25 79:3 89:15 92:6 99:21 163:5
eutrophication 55:13,24 67:10,11,14,14,17,19 68:8 68:10 69:4 70:19,22 71:6,9 71:13,14 73:4,16 74:24 75:4 76:11,15 77:12,16,19,19 78:3 79:23 87:14,25 94:3,7 94:14,17,19 95:19,23 96:6 96:14,21 97:16,21 100:22 100:25 101:3,11,18 156:15

157:5,7,16,18 158:13
eutrophied 68:21
evaluate 18:16 19:11,15 20:1 21:15,16 22:19 51:24 53:17 53:20 83:1 97:6 98:11 99:21 108:1,14,16,21 109:7 109:10,14 110:4 116:9,10 118:1 121:9,23 125:25 166:23 167:22
evaluated 9:17 10:1 24:10,11 81:7 100:10 106:15 122:20 152:20 154:5 170:5
evaluates 150:11
evaluating 20:17 21:20 24:6 24:14 40:24 53:12,13 54:3 80:21 86:17 89:8 95:24 97:16 99:22 102:14,17 103:2
evaluation 30:4 52:9,20 53:7 53:25 88:4,17 98:18 101:5 108:20,24 109:1,4,19 110:9 117:23,24 134:12 144:20
evaluations 51:15,17 69:24 93:6 118:18 121:6 135:20
evening 4:23
event 174:19
evidence 38:24 95:19 96:2 135:22 162:18
exact 79:4
exactly 115:22 116:4 142:7
Examination 3:7 5:22
example 14:8 16:20 17:22 18:11 26:9 27:23 28:19 30:15 32:5 37:15 44:21 46:20 53:1 62:22 79:1,8 82:2 84:5 130:15 145:1,13 155:17 156:8 168:11 169:23 172:4
examples 18:23 100:5
exceed 36:11
exceedance 36:18,20 37:2,6 37:11 38:7,15,18,20,23 39:12
exceeded 39:14 115:6
exceeding 171:14,14
exception 146:9
exceptions 61:12
excess 156:13
excessive 157:12 162:8
excuse 67:20 138:7
Exhibit 6:9
exist 20:10 47:4 54:4 64:22 64:25 66:5 78:6 121:16 157:23 158:17 171:5
existence 63:10
existing 24:23 25:5 99:1,3 102:8
exists 78:4 164:9
expand 56:9
expansion 56:8
expect 64:23 74:2,6 78:8,16 78:18,24
expected 20:25 21:2,6
expeditions 94:24
experience 8:23 45:2 64:15 140:10,21 149:16 154:9 155:4 158:6
experiments 9:19
expert 6:10,11 14:3 32:19 33:12 34:10 123:11 146:24 155:9 156:5 162:6
expertise 69:1 155:24,25
experts 5:18 33:15
Expires 173:23
expiring 74:19
explain 9:1 40:5 56:11
explained 40:21 139:15
Exponent 6:6 136:22 138:8 138:13,15 151:5,12
exposed 9:22
exposure 10:12 11:3,4 161:23 162:9
exposures 9:22 10:6,9,16
expressed 20:13,14,16 171:10
expression 62:25
extensive 50:22 52:5 132:6 151:17
extent 35:15 59:23 83:5 114:15
external 21:11
extracted 45:17
extreme 71:15 72:10,12 73:20 80:8,9
e-mails 5:15
E-P-R-I 54:11

F

F 174:1
facilitate 105:18
facility 11:21,23 12:1,2,10,11 104:18 121:14 130:11,20
fact 39:1
factor 19:18 24:9,11
factors 19:15,17 20:2,18,23 21:25 22:3,12,24 23:1,2,4,5 60:18 81:3,9 105:15 130:9 152:19 154:13
Fahrenheit 9:6
fairly 7:12,13 22:24 23:10 38:12 55:1 61:15 87:17,20 95:16 101:7 103:9 140:17 150:18 151:15,16 152:13
fall 46:14 69:17 142:1,5
false 171:2
familiar 29:21 76:17 77:6 117:3,3,6,16 122:23 132:2
far 8:9 10:4 13:4 20:1,10 21:1 21:19 22:11,13 23:3 28:24 29:13 44:23 48:6,10 50:22 56:7 61:21 66:20 76:23 79:20 80:2 81:1 85:7 88:20 93:19 95:3 96:11,17 103:16 132:6 137:25 138:2 144:14 148:17 152:20 155:1,25 164:15 168:7,15 170:14,24
Farms 2:9 4:11,14
fast 74:4,7
fat 21:7
Fayetteville 2:14,18
feasibility 55:8 56:22 86:17
federal 29:18 35:13 139:21 140:25 141:3,5 144:15 147:22 148:21 152:8,22 153:3 164:4
feed 72:14 73:2

feeds 87:18
feel 41:17
fewer 26:6 64:23
field 9:23 51:24 131:4
filamentous 73:13,17
filed 141:10
files 91:1 119:20
fill 55:19,22
filtered 96:4
filtering 95:25
finally 143:4
find 5:10 15:17 38:20 59:25 72:8 73:11 144:2 164:17 176:9,9
findings 87:21 116:22
fine 135:7
finish 123:12
finished 68:24
firm 89:18,21,22,23 125:15 137:19
first 4:19 29:4 42:8 48:4 52:14 125:20 126:11 143:17 145:18 165:22 170:12 174:8
fish 7:8 9:11,12 10:11 11:6,8 11:11 13:2,14 15:20,21,23 16:6,24 17:7,10,16 19:6,11 19:16,20 20:5,15 21:3,5 22:1,6,13,19,22 23:19 24:19 25:14 26:4,5,10,11 27:25 28:7,24 29:1,19,20 37:24 38:3 43:11,23 44:23 46:25 56:17,17,19 61:9,10,12,16 62:10,11,16,16,20,23 63:1 63:15,15 66:7,12,17,18 68:17 71:19 72:21,25 73:2 74:24 75:3,17,20,22 76:25 78:13,15,23 79:13,17,21 80:2,4,6,9 82:2 83:1,12,15 86:15 87:17,19,24 92:11 100:2,11 106:25 107:5,7 110:21 112:22 117:7,19,24 118:8,10 119:2,4,8,9,23 120:21,24 121:2,4,6,9,19,23 127:4,21 134:12 157:8,13 157:14,19
fisheries 22:25 23:21 44:22
fishery 20:17 21:17 22:10,11 22:18 23:1,3,10,12,17 24:7 25:15,16,22 26:1,7 27:21 28:5,11,13,15,23 29:9 77:21 77:23,25 123:20,23
fishery-type 29:6
fishes 17:9 20:23 22:20 29:11 72:2 77:24 78:1,9 98:11,19 99:7 107:17
fishing 22:16,19 23:4,5
fit 155:20 156:10 157:24 158:2
five 36:1,7 79:7 129:18
Flint 108:8
flow 81:10,14 92:25
fluctuate 74:1
fluctuation 74:8,14,23
fluctuations 69:25
focus 29:3 42:25 43:3,5 44:9 46:2,22,23 48:21 54:16 94:17,17 137:21,22 154:24
focused 29:1 44:11 123:23 128:8
folks 29:7 80:5 131:1
follow 35:10 88:13 90:10 101:11 122:1 140:12 141:1 141:3,5 144:5 150:6,18,24
followed 35:16 113:5 116:22 140:7,17,18,19,21 142:21 143:25 144:10,13,16,21 145:4,10,17 148:2 150:3
following 10:6 11:4 33:20 35:15 62:5 90:14 120:12 141:23 142:3,15 143:9,16 144:6 145:22 147:22 148:20 151:1,1
follows 4:21 100:9 101:19 142:7
food 21:8 29:14 72:11 107:16
foods 1:10 2:13 73:4
force 30:2
foregoing 173:4 174:14
forgetting 47:18
Fork 108:8
form 13:25 20:12 26:12 39:15 39:23 60:10 65:7 75:8 94:4 97:8 103:5,12 105:21 131:18,25 132:13 140:14 142:11,23 145:5 146:3 147:3,24 148:4,25 150:8 153:1,6,19 155:16 157:9,15 158:9,18,25 160:11,16,18 160:23 161:1,6,13,16,18 162:4,15 163:2,12,22 164:6 164:22 166:7 169:3,14 170:9,11,22 171:21 174:12
formal 149:12
formalized 152:14
formation 68:23 135:8,15 163:5
formed 134:21
forms 45:11 84:18 152:15 158:21 160:1,1
found 38:10 88:1 131:3
four 45:4 69:18 76:3 79:7,7 80:15 81:21 129:18 134:20 148:23 149:5
foxes 47:10
framework 139:17 143:1,3 144:7 145:10
free 147:15
frequent 45:9 148:8
frequently 141:1,2 164:19
fresh 7:3,14 50:13 71:10 101:18 102:17 123:24 136:11 155:18
front 176:12
full 142:4 174:15
fully 60:11
full-time 124:10
function 17:19
fundamental 71:20,22 141:16
further 22:9 85:6 95:10 174:14,17

G

G 3:3
game 22:19 28:24 29:1,11,14

29:19,20
gee 61:20
general 1:4 22:17 24:1 36:3 43:19 46:25 52:1 53:3 60:20 65:22 67:11 69:8 73:5 74:11 81:14 95:3 100:4 107:20 111:12,14 130:8 134:13 155:3 156:1
generally 29:22 37:22 46:19 59:5 65:10 144:6
generating 53:18,21
George's 2:16 4:13,14
getting 68:25
gigabyte 5:5
gills 107:6
Ginn 1:16 3:5 4:4,18,25 6:4 15:8 16:3,4 173:3,14 175:2 176:6,11
give 122:8,25 140:15 172:5
glad 28:9
go 24:24 44:8 85:6 94:23 106:7 116:2 120:8 123:12 124:2 125:9 134:19 141:18 141:18 142:3 165:5,19
goal 28:14 128:10,12
goes 143:6
going 5:1 6:8,8 18:19 23:9 33:16 35:18 36:4 45:1 53:16 54:17 58:12 62:1 76:12 79:11 88:11 123:9,12 140:25 141:22 169:13
good 18:23 138:11
government 14:8 135:12,14 137:8,10 152:8
governmental 137:5 152:23
gradual 58:13 79:15
grant 49:10 51:7
gravel 71:25 72:3,5,6 73:11
Graves 2:16 4:13,13
great 88:7 136:8,9 168:6
greater 61:1
green 59:11 68:15
ground 164:17
groundwater 138:25 139:1,5
group 20:10,11 28:18 112:20 152:21
groups 12:22 39:20 46:13,24 133:12 154:11,12
grow 10:5 17:10 71:2 72:6
growing 17:16,22 20:24 22:2 59:21,22 63:1 73:13
growth 10:8,21 13:17 17:9 19:19 20:24 29:14 58:18 59:7,10,12,14 60:6 67:12,20 67:24 68:3 70:24 71:7,17 72:13,17 73:10,20 96:24 156:9 157:12
guess 4:22 25:24 26:15 30:24 44:14 61:3 62:15,25 63:13 66:20 68:9 70:8 75:19 94:11 95:25 102:2 104:11 111:9 114:11 115:2 144:5 146:6 151:5 162:1,6 164:24 169:15 171:12
guessing 13:19
guidance 110:17 111:11,14 113:4 134:9,14 135:21 152:16
guide 78:25

H

habitat 19:22 23:4 39:9 42:5 42:13,16 56:10,17 57:1,11 71:20,22 72:1,2,7,8,19,21 73:7,11,15,23 80:4,11,18 81:6 85:15,19,24 107:11
hand 6:8 173:9 174:20
happened 153:16
happening 39:18
happens 67:17
harbor 7:16
hard 59:15 71:2 138:6
harm 154:4
hate 6:16
Hawaii 49:18
hazardous 45:15,18 47:12,16 95:5,18 96:2 97:18 103:14 104:6,12,13,22,24 105:4,19 105:24 113:16,18 127:1,9 129:7 131:13 152:19 156:2 159:2,4,7,8,15,17 160:3,6,9 160:17 161:7,14
HEA 42:21
headquarters 134:3
heads 82:9
health 20:8,22 21:9,15,17,21 21:22 22:4,6,10,25 23:3,10 23:12 24:6 44:4 155:8,8 161:20,24 162:3,6,14,20
healthy 23:17 63:3
health-related 44:23
hear 140:23 141:1,2
heard 69:1 114:2 115:24 131:20
hearing 159:1
heated 8:11
heavily 90:4
held 9:19 131:14 160:16 161:6,13
Hello 5:25
help 46:17 49:21 137:14
herring 11:14
heteroschedastic 168:9
Hi 5:24
high 17:20 79:2 84:8,15 87:17,20 107:5,9,12 152:1 156:15
higher 40:10,11 65:20 66:6 74:10,12 78:5,19,22
highly 78:18 158:1 169:18
histopathology 134:12
history 5:17 123:10
hold 129:2
hot 9:2,3
hour 10:17
Hudson 7:8,10 8:1 11:19,20 12:23 18:12 143:6 148:17
human 23:6 39:20 44:3,4,21 44:24 77:1 105:14 155:7,8 155:10 161:20 162:3,6,14 162:20
humans 46:20 155:6 161:23 162:10
hundreds 167:2,2
Hunter 2:3

hydrocarbons 45:10
hydrodynamics 23:6
hydrostatic 17:2
Hyle 130:1
Hylebos 129:13,24 130:3,16 130:22 131:24 132:7,12,23 133:7
hypereutrophic 69:13,15 79:3 89:2
hypolimnetic 55:6 56:23 86:17 88:18 100:5 110:6
hypolimnion 55:3 56:5,8,21 56:24 57:3,6,9,22,23 58:21 59:19 60:1,4,24 64:16,22 65:1,3 100:7
hypothesis 169:21,25 170:4
hypothetical 78:12
H-Y-L-E-B-O-S 129:13 130:3

I

IBI 119:2,23 120:2,5 121:9 121:24 122:6,10,18
IBIs 120:22 121:5,10 122:4 122:22 123:2,3
Idaho 31:10
idea 133:18
identification 93:7,14
identified 11:23 13:16 15:21 34:18 38:8 81:22 85:3 89:13 92:20 94:7 95:9 129:6 142:25 143:13
identify 4:6 32:1 44:13 51:24 69:7 85:3,8 87:12 88:3,9 92:15 95:7 97:20 122:11 134:1
identifying 75:25
Illinois 108:3,6,8 109:5,8,11 109:15,23 110:1,10 113:22 113:25 114:14,19,23 118:25 120:21
impact 7:20 43:11,23 47:16 51:18 61:1 62:11,15 75:13 75:22 98:9 106:24
impacted 64:16 73:15 83:14
impacts 7:18 8:4,15 9:15 10:11,21 13:2,14,17 16:15 16:20 42:25 44:11 46:2 47:12 48:19 51:25 52:9,20 52:21 63:12 65:5 66:15 68:7,12 71:12 87:24 92:6,11 96:18 100:1 101:21 106:16 112:22 121:6,9
impingement 125:23
implement 50:20
implementation 127:6
implemented 88:22,24 98:20
implementing 159:12
important 20:18 23:16,22,25 25:8 26:7 28:8,12 29:13,19 38:16 61:8,16 62:10 63:11 63:19 81:15 107:25 150:6,9 166:12 169:19
importantly 167:10
imported 55:19
impoundment 25:4
impoundments 25:1 27:8,10 54:4
improve 55:8
inappropriate 73:12
include 25:4 40:4 60:20 75:17 109:20 148:19 155:6 159:23
included 118:11 126:3 147:21
includes 76:25
including 9:11 23:4,4 80:5 127:12 134:7
incorporation 115:11
increase 8:24 9:6 26:10 52:11 56:25 57:11 58:19 60:8,15 71:3 138:2
increased 67:19 96:24
increases 8:20,21 9:20
increasing 69:10
independently 141:11,12
index 23:24 69:21 76:8 78:25 82:25 83:9 120:3
Indian 12:24 90:4
indicate 22:14 38:19 55:10 77:8 84:2
indicated 36:15 40:10 42:21 43:6 44:12,20 47:24 53:9 56:21 123:1 150:23 153:20 157:11 162:5,17 164:17
indicates 6:19 165:7
indication 21:4 37:12 84:8 171:13
indications 20:22 21:9
indicator 29:16
indicators 23:9,12
indices 69:19 83:4
individual 22:6 38:13 66:22 66:24 67:1,3,6 85:6 91:17 111:7 137:11 141:20 166:14 167:1
individuals 12:22 53:13 122:14,16 126:13 134:20
induced 23:6 105:15
industrial 112:2 128:6,9,13 129:7 152:5 163:20
industrialization 128:11
industrialized 95:17 126:20 128:19
industrial-type 131:4
industry 128:9
influence 22:25 23:2 128:6
influenced 7:15 168:8
influences 24:8
information 15:2,7,10 16:6 19:15,21 22:14 52:4,7 53:14 54:8 85:20 89:9 92:2 98:23 98:25 100:4 102:9 117:25 130:25 135:20 144:3 162:20
initial 135:19
initiate 129:1
initiated 126:15
injured 47:18
injuries 40:8 135:22 145:2 150:15
injury 36:21,23,23 37:3,7,7,8 37:9,13 38:7,15 39:2,4,7,13 40:6,8,18 131:12 141:22,22 144:18 147:11,11 149:2,4 150:13

Inlet 128:1,2,6
input 51:6 68:4 148:16
inputs 96:12
insect 10:15
instance 104:24
instances 36:14 88:9 122:18 122:22 153:15 160:15 161:5 161:12
Institute 27:7 54:14
integrated 38:4 128:10
Integrity 120:3,4
intended 38:9 82:20 116:18 116:24
intensity 124:19
interaction 26:3
interactions 124:15,18
interbreed 20:12
interest 95:23
interested 29:10,16 174:19
interesting 55:16
Interior 36:22
intermediate-sized 47:9
internal 21:11
interpreted 33:5,7
interpreting 168:16
interrelationships 154:11
interspecific 24:4
intimate 72:23
intolerant 78:23 80:9,13 82:3 83:3,23 84:9,14,21,22 85:4 85:4,9,10,17 118:13
introduced 87:18
invertebrate 7:8,22 52:17 63:20
invertebrates 9:8,14,16 10:11 31:22 38:3 43:11,14,20 46:24 63:23,23,25 64:3,5,8 72:9,10 75:20 98:11,18 99:7 100:11 127:21
investigator 98:8
investigators 80:5,17
involve 22:12 26:2 41:16,25 165:14
involved 9:11 16:22 17:1 31:20 40:24 41:6,8,12 42:4 42:9,12,21 49:13,16 90:4 93:11,13 101:1 110:16 120:16,18,19 132:15 137:25 140:20 141:13 142:21 147:11 155:7 164:18,18,19 166:24
involvement 110:13 130:8 133:11 136:25 137:18
involves 24:3 40:9 166:4
involving 35:21 41:2 88:4 97:21 104:12 137:19 160:21
in-lake 93:24
isolated 58:2,8 94:19 95:20 96:10
isolates 57:25
issue 32:16 35:17 39:17 48:8 48:9 52:11 54:24 55:1 67:23 82:1 94:7 96:6,8 99:10 103:23 127:13
issued 152:11 153:10
issues 24:4 31:20 32:18 33:2 33:3,4,9 41:9 43:7,16,17 44:17,23 48:14 55:4 87:12 101:25 103:11,15 105:18 108:1 113:12 151:18 164:15
item 161:9
i.e 74:9

J

James 2:16 4:13
Jason 2:20
Jester 81:18,19,20,22 82:7,16 82:19 84:24 85:21 86:1
Jester's 85:5,8,16
job 12:18,19 52:6
joint 103:15 131:6,16,22
jointly 132:3
journal 14:7
judgment 80:17 82:14 169:16 169:16
judgments 80:20
Justice 136:24
juveniles 11:9 21:3

K

keep 57:12 169:19
Kelly 2:3 4:7 6:1
key 36:16 112:19
kill 74:24
kills 62:16
kind 8:25 38:4 64:25 71:4 72:1 84:19,20 85:20 89:6 99:6 131:21 156:10 172:8
kinds 8:17 9:13 22:13 23:19 24:2,2 27:14 44:3 47:6 64:2 64:23 71:12 72:25 106:12 129:25 146:19 156:13 165:20
Klamath 89:3 90:5,19,20,21 90:24 92:7,7,12 94:3,13
know 5:6 18:14 19:8,9 31:23 41:24 43:19 50:3,14 61:18 68:22 69:3 75:7,9,12,21 76:7,9,13 77:11,15 79:11,12 79:19 82:6,7,23 83:7,9 84:14,16 88:22,23 90:2,6 96:8 97:2 99:24 102:2,23,24 104:17 106:20,23 112:25 113:3,4,7,16,21,24 114:3 115:13,20,22 116:17,24 119:12 122:4 129:6,10,11 129:23 131:6,9,10,23 132:10 133:22 138:6,8 139:20 140:15 142:17 144:4 144:10 145:17 146:4 148:13 150:12,22,25 151:3 152:2 155:20 156:15 159:1,6,8,11 160:8,12,12,13,22,25 161:18 162:11 163:4 172:4
knowledge 79:19 129:1,19
known 80:18 86:12 89:9 93:18 130:12

L

Lab 124:22
laboratory 9:19,20 124:9
Lafayette 49:7 54:23,24 55:14,15 56:4,13 86:6 87:13 87:24 88:16 91:8 94:12 100:9 125:15 126:7

lake 18:13 26:9 31:22 54:19 55:1,5,7,9,10,19,22 56:1,10 56:22 58:1,2 60:8 65:15 70:10,10,14,15 71:11 73:22 77:17 78:9,10,13,14,16,17 78:18,21 86:6,12,14 87:13 87:19 88:16 89:3,9,10,11,15 90:5,19,20,21,24 91:22 92:2 92:7,12,19,23 93:18 94:3,12 94:13,20,21,25 95:2,6 96:3 96:6,9,9,10,15,17 97:7,11 98:12,19,23 99:7,24 100:11 100:13,19 108:2,14,21 109:2,12,15,19,25 110:4 118:19,22
lakes 24:15,15,20,22,23,23 25:2,5 48:11,12,13 49:3,9 53:17 54:1,20,21 65:10,13 70:22,25 76:22 78:12 94:13 97:25 98:1,5 99:21 102:17 118:15,17 126:5 136:8,9
land 92:20,23
lands 90:6
large 4:24 5:14 12:9 24:3 35:21 47:10 59:13 81:1,4 95:25 96:9 151:15,16 168:13
larger 16:6 28:21 59:12 68:18
largest 96:9
larvae 9:12 10:15 11:8 63:11
late 4:23 15:5 111:9 136:1
law 2:3,6,10,13,17 139:1,3,5 139:12 176:3
Lawrence 143:2 144:8,11 145:1
laws 1:21
lawsuit 141:10
layers 56:3 58:4,6,19
lead 33:10,12 68:23 127:12 132:25 151:25 168:15
leading 53:11 149:22 162:22
leafy 59:14
left 62:9 90:18 151:4
legal 131:9 142:12 160:10,13 164:2
length 62:24
lesions 21:10
lethal 10:3,7,21 11:2 13:16 62:21
letter 142:15
let's 19:22 25:15 28:16 37:15 49:23 65:11 71:25 73:10 78:5,14,24 83:16 87:2 100:24 104:17 116:6 120:8 125:19,20 145:19 149:1 165:4
level 8:20 29:18 39:9,18 40:10,11 61:13 62:21,21 63:25 67:1,4,4,6,8 69:11,12 69:13 82:10 112:21 125:25 171:15,19,23,25 172:2,5
levels 10:20,23 13:15 28:1 51:15 52:12 58:1,1 61:2,8 61:15,22 62:12,14 63:8,14 65:17,21,23 66:6,9,25 68:13 69:4,8 74:1 78:25 82:15 83:18,24 101:21 105:23 108:24 109:1,4,7,14,20,25 110:9 156:15 171:13,16
liability 131:7,15,16,22
liable 131:15
lieu 166:22
life 44:7 63:10 75:13,16
light 96:25 107:13
likelihood 105:23
limit 64:2 107:12
limitation 21:8
limited 29:25 42:25 43:2 47:3 52:3 56:16,17 94:18 108:18
limiting 19:15,17,19 68:1,2 71:8,10 101:15
limits 10:13,18,19
limnology 155:17
Lincoln 2:7 176:4
line 142:2 144:19 165:22 175:4
lines 97:17 137:8 144:19
lipophilic 122:14
list 14:9,21 22:24 23:11 40:20 127:15 129:12 159:6
listed 6:17 14:2,15 16:8 24:13 29:17 54:7 84:21 85:9 138:12 143:21 159:14,17 160:3,6
listing 159:23
liter 114:4
literature 80:2 122:25 123:4
litigation 42:11,19 141:9
little 46:16 56:14 70:21 75:9 128:7,13 133:8
live 7:1 64:3,5,8 65:9,12,19 72:23 73:12 80:6
lived 56:20
lives 72:4
living 38:17,22 72:10
loading 57:18 92:18 93:6 94:6
loads 109:21,22
local 11:8 89:23
localized 27:15 96:12,14
locate 49:22 77:3
located 104:25 112:7
locating 12:10
location 11:19 18:10 120:6
locations 98:21 99:4 130:18
log 170:1
logarithmic 169:24
logical 150:10,18
long 5:18 10:9 21:7 41:15 55:20 58:10 75:2 124:21 129:11 133:22,23 143:5,6
longer 121:17 169:21
look 14:16,17,21 19:10,21,25 24:7,25 29:8 48:19 51:14 76:11,13,20 79:13,21 80:6 95:10 100:24 109:21,25 118:8 121:1 128:10,12 141:19 168:1
looked 46:19 47:6,7,9,12,15 47:22,24,25 50:2 52:16 56:7 85:22 100:3 120:23 123:7
looking 7:6,19,20 8:8,9,10,16 9:8,9 10:3,8 11:11 21:19 26:3 27:7 29:6,9 30:22 32:24 43:25 45:13,20,25

48:6,17,23,24 50:10 51:9 52:10,18,25 56:21 57:8 84:18 92:17,18 93:24 95:13 95:14,17 98:17 101:25 104:7,13 112:21 121:18,20 124:17 126:24,25 127:3,10 128:16 130:10,16,23 132:11 139:11
looks 123:13
loss 39:10 40:15
losses 150:15
lost 43:10
lot 18:15 35:24,25 54:2 65:8 102:13 103:14 130:6 134:17 144:3 153:25 158:12 168:22
low 28:3 31:6 62:12,15,17,18 62:19 63:7,14,24 64:1 65:3 68:12 75:2 78:22 83:18 84:4,15
lower 7:8,10 8:20 17:18 58:1 64:23 65:17,23 68:2 74:10 74:13 78:6 96:25 128:22,23 129:5
lunch 88:13,14

M

macroinvertebrate 65:6 71:18 72:19
macroinvertebrates 64:9,12 64:14,24 65:24 66:5 72:8 73:6 75:18 92:12 100:1 112:23
macrophytes 59:13
magnitude 26:24 40:12
main 43:3 67:23 81:11 127:15,25 142:8 166:1
maintain 19:5 28:12 145:16
maintaining 28:6,24
major 92:24 94:17 126:18,20 133:21,25 134:2 136:25 145:11 151:13,19 153:21
majority 52:4
making 96:3 116:7 170:15,25
mammals 46:25 47:6,7,7,9,10 47:13
manage 19:4
managed 28:16,17,20 153:8 153:20 155:8
management 22:18 28:5,11
manager 34:9 91:21 126:17 133:2
managers 19:4,8,9,10,20,25 28:15,23 29:5,6,10,15
managing 29:12
mandatory 140:2,7
manifested 66:13,22
manner 14:14
marine 49:14 50:1,7,8,11 98:2 100:25 101:12,15,17 101:21 123:14,17,23 134:3 134:8 136:7
mark 6:8
Marlene 1:19 173:4 174:5,22 176:25
mass 58:2,8 131:2
master 123:13
master's 124:2,12
material 4:25 5:4,8,10,11,16 58:4 60:2,19,19,21,23 61:1 61:5
matter 32:16 58:5,20 60:14 76:22 84:16,17 88:3 96:24 153:8 155:19
MBI 64:12
mean 9:1 17:13 19:17 20:6,6 21:22,25 22:10 23:22,23 26:1 29:3 35:25 38:17 39:13 45:5 46:18 49:2 50:8 56:11 57:4 62:23 67:10 70:7,11,15,17,19 71:7 78:1 79:23,25 81:6 83:13,15,23 84:6,13,22 89:15 103:20,23 115:22,23 125:6 138:20 152:2 161:22 162:1 164:10 164:11
meaning 36:3 160:10,13,14
means 18:4 23:23 26:1 40:5 57:5 76:13 77:25 84:1 107:6 131:7,9,10,11
meant 26:4 70:12 109:20
measurable 156:24 157:2,7
measured 165:1,5
measurement 166:7
measurements 52:18 86:15
mechanism 57:12 60:3
mechanisms 93:20 107:3
mediation 151:17
Medical 12:6,14,16 13:5,11 102:6 125:1,13
meet 51:11
meeting 53:1 65:4
meetings 14:11
meets 7:10
Melissa 2:6 4:9 176:3
memory 45:1 135:5
Menlo 54:14
mention 41:18
mentioned 15:25 16:7 22:12 23:19 27:5 39:3 40:4 48:10 65:16 74:23 91:7 114:2 119:25 123:2 124:8 126:4 131:20
mercury 127:12 151:24
mesoeutrophic 69:12
met 32:14 167:12
metabolism 17:9,17
metal 104:6,18 121:14
metals 30:16,16,22,24 31:8 31:11,15,17,21 32:2,14 33:2 33:6,8 36:10,17,21 37:1,15 37:15 43:8,21 44:18 45:11 95:23 106:4,7 110:19 111:12 113:5,19 121:21 127:11
method 117:23 132:16 170:10
methodology 120:6
methods 22:19 75:24,24 76:1 76:2
metric 40:13 121:4
metrics 118:10 121:23 122:4 122:9,9,11,18
mice 47:8
Michigan 143:10
microscopic 59:5

mid 126:22 132:9
middle 49:24 98:7
migratory 136:11,12
miles 1:6 7:14
milligrams 114:4
mind 13:22 14:24 15:1 23:15 48:4,16 87:16 94:9 111:5 146:4 148:7 158:10 169:20
mine 98:17 151:19
mining 35:20,22,24 36:1,2,3 36:9
minor 137:25
minute 165:19
minutes 10:16
Mirkes 2:9 4:11,11
mitigating 100:1
mixed 8:22
mixing 7:3
Mm-hmm 9:4 25:17 89:5 128:17 139:4
model 165:3
models 24:18 125:22,22,24 126:4
moderately 80:13,14 83:13 83:16 85:13
molecule 163:9,16,18
moles 47:8
monetary 150:16
monitored 52:16 119:3,4
monitoring 116:19 127:5,17 127:19
Montana 32:6,22 33:24 34:15,23 35:7,10 36:11 41:14 43:6 136:18 137:23 138:1
morning 5:3
mortalities 27:24 62:19 66:3 75:3,16 126:1
mortality 157:14,19
move 5:7 126:17
moved 126:12 151:17
moving 58:20 74:4,5,7
multiple 98:21 128:2
multitude 20:2
multi-authored 16:6
multi-faceted 49:12
multi-year 49:12
municipalities 50:18 52:6
municipality 50:23
mysids 10:15
M-Y-S-I-D-S 10:15

N

N 2:1 3:1,3
name 6:2,4 12:1 86:24 89:22 94:21 111:5 112:3 118:6 121:15 160:25
names 128:4
narrative 114:6,9,22 115:2
narrow 80:10 122:12
narrower 79:18
narrowing 106:14
national 134:5,8 135:16
native 55:18
natural 1:7 23:6 34:2,6,12,14 34:22,25 35:3,7 37:8 40:23 42:24 44:10 66:24 105:14 132:7,18 133:6 135:18,22 137:20 138:16,17,19,22 139:2,11,24 140:5,7,11,12 141:4 146:1,11,13,25 148:23 151:10 154:1 163:21 163:25
naturally 65:13 158:19
nature 65:8 81:13,13 164:8
near 7:1,16 65:12,25 79:15 101:5 128:24 131:4
nebulous 21:24
necessarily 84:7 143:8
need 41:4 46:16 50:23 61:10 61:11 63:25
negotiating 141:18
negotiation 140:24
negotiations 42:12,13 129:17 140:24 164:4
never 60:13 149:9 155:21
new 6:21 7:5,16 11:21 12:3,5 12:5,10 24:14 25:1,1,3 49:16 52:7 125:1 126:13 154:21
newly 24:22
news 161:2,9
night 58:24 74:10,10,13,19 74:22
nitrogen 95:15 109:14 155:14
nitrogenous 101:17
NOAA 135:16,17,20 136:4,4 136:5,6,7,13 137:24 146:10
nonhazardous 156:3
nonhomogeneous 168:9
nonparametric 166:22 167:3 167:20 169:6,9,13 170:3,8
non-game 29:13
non-normal 168:5
non-point 104:19
non-refereed 14:11
non-sport 29:9
normal 8:14
normality 167:9 168:4,18
normalize 167:25
normally 10:5 21:5 40:15 168:16 170:17 171:22
North 2:17
northeast 102:8
NORTHERN 1:2
Notary 173:21 176:12
noted 5:20
notes 174:11
notification 144:22
notifications 141:25
notified 5:1
NPL 128:21
NRD 35:10 36:21 136:20 138:2,4 139:23 142:22 143:7,25 147:22 150:3
NRDA 36:24 37:4 39:5,25 40:6,19 135:24 139:13,15 139:18 142:4,6 143:22 144:8,11 145:1,2 150:19
NRDs 46:12,20
nuclear 7:21,23,24 8:2 12:24
nuisance 68:13
number 5:14 24:17 28:21 42:9 50:25 87:15 101:1 102:7 110:15 115:3 118:12

118:12 121:8 130:9 134:13 138:8 140:22 152:15 155:7 164:18 166:25 175:4
numbered 1:17 173:6
numbers 20:7,14,16 21:2 22:13,21 23:19 164:24 165:5
numeric 37:16,24 113:24 114:18 171:6,8
numerical 114:11,13 115:9
nutrient 68:1,4 71:8,10 89:11 89:13 94:2 95:12 96:2,12 101:15,20 156:9
nutrients 55:21,23 67:13 71:4,7 92:15,17,24 93:5 95:2,5 101:9
NYU 12:14,16 13:5,11 16:21 102:6 125:13
N.E 2:4

O

oak 55:18
Object 26:12 39:15,23 60:10 65:7 75:8 94:4 97:8 103:5 103:12 105:21 131:18,25 132:13 140:14 142:11,23 145:5 146:3 147:3,24 148:4 148:25 150:8 153:1,6,19 155:16 157:9,15 158:9,25 160:11,18,23 161:1,16 162:4,15 163:2,12,22 164:6 164:22 169:3,14 170:9,11 170:22 171:21
objection 26:14
objectives 19:9
observe 9:15 10:12,20,25
observed 27:2 156:14
obtained 125:5
occur 17:2,5 67:15 106:10 107:2
occurred 8:21 61:5
occurring 106:6
occurs 67:18,19 77:20 105:9
ocean 7:2,11 50:8 123:18 136:15
Oceanic 135:16
odor 68:16
office 6:6 87:4 99:12,14 126:14 134:3,14
offices 5:3
offs 68:17
oh 11:1,13 16:1 27:4 49:4,23 49:23 64:7 88:23 94:22 97:12 98:9 114:8,11 115:16 119:19 122:14 127:11,25 128:16 143:18 154:19
Ohio 121:7 122:2
oil 149:11
OK 2:4,11
okay 4:22 5:21 6:19 8:7 12:1 12:14 13:10,13 14:25 16:22 17:17 18:6 26:8 28:11 31:8 33:14,16 37:8,15 43:5 44:8 45:1 47:2,24 53:16 62:2 63:19 78:2 88:15 90:10 91:17 98:4,6 104:23 108:14 112:12 116:6 120:8 136:16 145:19
Oklahoma 1:2,5,5,7,19,21 2:4 4:8 6:2 76:19 77:7,17 80:5 81:16,17 102:24 110:13,16,25 111:18 112:8 113:9 115:14,21 117:12 119:6,10,15 163:21 174:3,7
oligotrophic 69:8 78:10,14
OMEP 134:4
ones 27:10 37:21 45:9 69:20 72:11 77:5 81:11 118:14 127:12 143:20,20 146:18 148:14
ongoing 42:2,18 129:16 138:9 141:13 142:24 143:19 147:5 152:7
operable 133:12
operating 27:9 139:16
operation 126:1,6
operations 7:21 35:22,22,23 93:2 137:9,12,13
opinion 151:1 157:20,22
opinions 32:13 42:15 92:5,10 164:10
opposed 8:5 15:22 17:17 78:9 118:19
opt 169:13
optimal 17:12,14,20,23,24 18:2,6,11,13,18 19:5,10,25 20:1 28:17
opting 169:9
options 40:25 94:1
Opveon 2:20
ORD 87:4
order 9:6 36:6 108:12 150:2 150:7 151:2 152:11 153:11
Oregon 87:5 89:3,23 90:21 123:15,21 124:9 154:20
organic 31:2 45:5,5,7,11,13 45:14,16,19,21,25 46:5 58:3 58:5,7,20 60:14,19,21 61:1 61:5 162:22
organism 156:24 157:2
organisms 6:25 7:22 8:18,21 8:23 9:19,23,25 10:4 20:10 20:11 37:23 38:5,17 43:15 44:24 46:7,8,14 59:8 65:9 65:12,19,20 66:2,3 72:14,22 74:20 107:16 125:23 127:4 154:14 156:6,8,18 162:19
organization 40:11 135:11
organoarsenicals 159:25
organs 21:11
oriented 155:2
original 44:8 70:4,7 104:18 133:5 135:10 136:2 176:9 176:12,14
originally 128:21 135:8
orthophosphate 162:2
orthophosphates 160:16 161:6,13,19
outline 144:16
outside 19:12 60:20
overall 7:25 19:19 22:4 40:22 55:8 63:3 92:4 93:12 108:24 128:18 156:16 166:12
overlying 103:19

oversimplifying 13:3
owned 12:2
oxygen 27:19,23 28:1 31:5 48:8,9,15,18 52:12 53:22 54:4 56:14 57:5 58:6,8,10 58:11,14,23 59:1 60:24 61:2 61:7,8,11,11,13,21 62:10,12 62:14,17,18 63:8,14,24,25 64:1,2 65:17,18,21,23 66:1 66:6,9 68:12 69:25 71:15 73:21,25 74:1,9,12,19,20,21 75:1 80:25 81:5 83:18 105:23 106:9 108:21,24 110:7 163:17,19
oxygenate 56:24 57:9
oxygenated 56:9 57:14 100:7
oxygenation 106:6
oxygens 28:2
O'Conner 15:9 16:3
O-L-I-G-O-T-R-O-P-H-I-C 69:9

P

P 2:1,1 3:3
Pacific 49:17
page 3:9 6:13,17,19 15:8 16:3 49:24,24 89:4 98:6,7 100:8 164:1 173:1 175:4 176:10 176:12,14
pages 5:5,14 174:15
PAH 127:13 151:25
painless 135:6
paper 15:22 54:7 91:12
papers 14:25 15:24 54:5
paragraph 165:22
parametric 165:16 166:18,19 166:22 167:3,5,6,14,19 168:2,12,14 169:12 170:7 170:18
parasites 63:16
Park 6:7 54:15
part 4:25 9:17 11:10,22 12:5 12:20 13:5,7 14:5,20 15:3 23:7 27:5 30:6,9,13 34:21 40:23 42:12 43:24,25 44:25 48:12 49:9 51:13 53:7 54:22 67:4 81:1,4 82:25 88:19 91:23 92:9 93:16 95:5 101:4 102:8 109:23 112:19 114:5 124:7 125:19 128:18 132:3,7 133:8,9 141:25 146:24 147:9,9,14 148:24 149:9 153:21 154:22 168:1 169:15
partially 100:6 140:18
participated 12:6 24:17
participating 14:18
particle 72:3
particles 107:23
particular 12:8 18:21 19:16 19:23 20:3,3 21:15,19 24:8 25:14 27:24 28:15,22 31:8 33:1 35:12 37:10,18 38:13 38:18 39:10 40:13,14,17 42:18 53:10 65:9 66:21 75:21 80:23 82:1 83:9,12,13 85:4,18 87:17 95:4,20 97:10 117:2,4 118:2 127:9 128:8,9 130:11,13,17,19 134:14 147:13 164:9 165:2,13 166:10,20 171:14
particularly 14:19
particulate 96:24
parties 132:19 174:18
parts 7:15 9:9 30:15 41:5 59:22 83:1 96:11 129:13 136:10,12 145:1 148:1
pass 16:24
passage 10:2 16:5 17:3 128:21
pathway 130:21
pattern 101:12,19
patterns 130:23
PCBs 45:9 127:13 151:25
people 29:8 34:5 77:25 79:6
Percefull 1:19 173:5 174:5,22 176:25
percent 122:16 138:2 171:18
percentage 84:9,14 118:12
percentages 84:13
perch 11:13
period 57:10 99:16 105:1 124:8,24 125:6,7,20
periodically 104:2
periodicals 5:12
periods 58:25 62:17 63:9
permit 39:21 40:1
permits 49:14
persisted 100:16
person 112:20
perspective 63:4 148:2
pesticides 45:8 127:14
Peterson 2:9 4:11
phase 36:24 37:7 39:5,5,6 41:8 42:11,19 149:2,2,3,3,4 149:21,22
phases 147:12 148:23 149:18 149:19,20,25 150:3,6,9,21 151:2
phenols 127:15
phone 2:15,18 4:12
phosphate 161:23,25 163:8 163:18
phosphates 157:23 158:15,16 158:22 160:16
phosphorous 109:7 157:25 163:14
phosphorus 55:25 57:9,12,13 57:15,19,19,21 58:15,17,18 59:7,10 60:6 71:11 85:10,14 94:6 95:15 101:15 106:10 106:12 107:19,22 109:1,20 109:22 114:4 155:14,22 156:5,8,8,13 157:4,20 158:12,14,17,21 159:14 161:6,13,18 162:8,22 163:8 163:9,11,15,16,17,19
photosynthesis 58:12 74:17
photosynthesizing 74:18,21
phrased 37:9
phthalates 127:14
physical 16:4,23 53:14 124:15 137:11
physics 124:11,14
physiological 17:15

phytoplankton 58:18,22,25 59:3,9,16 60:7 67:24 68:3,4 70:24 74:18 87:18,20 96:24 101:5 109:10
Ph.D 6:20 125:6,9,11
piece 12:8 19:14
piped 55:20,22
place 50:13 127:25 174:16
places 30:3 64:5
plaintiff 1:8 2:3 141:10
plaintiffs 5:16
plan 141:19 144:23,23 147:6 147:7,14,19 148:6,10,18
plankton 127:5
planning 135:18
plans 144:17 147:2
plant 7:21 8:19,22 9:24 10:1 10:2 12:24 16:5,25 17:3,6 25:5 59:11 60:1,18 67:12 70:23 71:7,17 72:13,16 73:10,20 125:25
plants 7:23,24 8:2,10,12,14 24:16,21 46:25 47:15,15,18 47:21,23 53:18,21 59:12,14 59:16,20,25 67:20 70:25 71:2 72:6,14 102:8,10,15 125:24 126:6 127:5 156:10
play 69:25
plays 81:1
please 4:5 25:19 28:10 56:11 88:8 169:10 176:9,11,13,17
plume 8:22 17:6
plump 21:6
point 12:24 13:19 130:14,20 137:6,20 138:11 142:2
points 79:9 121:10 130:15,21 166:2 170:16
Poje 15:8 16:3,3
policy 113:22
pollutants 8:5,7 16:16 52:10 126:25
Polynuclear 45:10
population 19:20 20:7,8,13 20:19,25 21:19,20,22,23 22:2,5 25:7,9,10,12,14,25 26:3,13 39:9,18 40:11 65:6 66:18,22 67:2,8 78:8 84:19
populations 19:16 20:6 24:7 25:16,22 26:20,22 27:1,3,20 28:4,7,13,25 29:2 56:18 62:12 63:20 66:8,24 68:6,18 78:15 87:17
pose 162:13
position 5:19 125:14 144:12
positive 32:17 171:2
possible 26:17,19 28:18 78:12 83:15 135:6
possibly 99:19
potential 16:15 37:23 43:13 44:4,6 46:7 55:5 56:7 57:8 61:6 63:13 68:10 71:1 74:6 74:22 75:22 86:17 95:10,14 101:7,9 104:6,8,21 106:6 127:4 130:14,18 131:2,15 152:18 157:18 167:12
potentially 60:22 132:19 162:10 169:17
power 7:21,23,24 8:2,10,12 8:14,22 9:24 10:1 12:9,11 12:24 16:4,25 17:3,6 24:15 24:20 25:5 27:6 54:14 102:8,10,15 125:24,25 126:6
practice 43:3
precise 79:12
precisely 40:3
predators 24:3
predict 24:18 79:16,20 125:22 126:5 166:1
predictable 74:8
predictive 38:10
prefer 17:19 73:10,12
preference 170:7,17
preferences 22:16 72:25
prejudice 5:20
preparation 123:4,8
prepared 99:13 147:18 148:6
presence 21:9 22:7,7 83:23 84:1 95:18
present 2:20 22:13 62:13 93:3 104:7 106:9 161:19 163:23
presentations 14:10
presented 116:22 173:4
president 134:25
pressure 17:2 23:4,5
presumption 142:9,10,14
pretty 41:7
previous 64:19
previously 12:21 120:1
prey 24:2,5 29:4,11,20
pre-assessment 145:13,14 149:1,21,21 150:11
primarily 44:10 46:11 55:18 96:15
primary 7:20 57:18 93:10 101:2 107:14 117:23 126:9 137:21 154:17
principal 98:8,10 134:25
prior 110:13 117:9 135:24 137:24 162:17
priority 152:1
private 135:13 137:8,10
probability 168:7 170:15,25 171:3,10,23,24 172:10
probably 7:13 15:5 61:20 69:22 72:24 78:19 79:16 88:12 90:10 124:23 126:23 137:22 146:5 167:9
problem 56:3,6,7 147:4
problems 68:16 80:24
procedural 145:7 150:2,6
procedure 149:18,25 166:21 171:22 176:13
procedures 8:14 124:20 141:24 149:16
proceed 140:25
proceeding 150:13
proceedings 15:3,12,22 16:7 33:20 62:5 90:14 120:12 145:22 173:6
process 40:22 51:7 60:5,8 67:11,14 68:8,10 77:19 83:2 83:2 101:11,19 106:10 107:24 129:5 141:13 142:1

143:23 144:24 145:18 147:7 147:10 152:14,17 164:20
processes 17:15 105:14
processing 121:14
produce 86:20
produced 1:16 5:2,2 75:12 77:5,7 119:12 147:14 162:13
producing 5:17 74:19
production 19:19 42:23 58:3 146:23 162:22,24
productivity 56:16 107:14,15
products 17:5
profession 29:24
professional 80:17 82:14 169:16
program 48:13 49:3 50:22 54:20 83:6,7 126:16 133:20
programs 19:7 100:12 134:16 152:17 154:23
progress 73:3
progressing 143:5
project 30:11,15 34:9 49:12 50:15 53:10 54:22 87:6 88:16 91:18,20,21,25 92:3 98:6,7,16,19 100:14,16 101:2,3,7 110:15 113:13 126:17,21 133:2,4 134:2,3 151:13,19
projects 30:14,19 97:20 98:12 99:20,25 100:21,24 101:1 102:3 113:9 133:25 135:3 137:19 164:1
promulgation 50:25
proof 142:16
propagated 67:7
propagation 117:8,20 121:3
proper 96:4
proportion 83:3 84:19 118:13 122:14,15
proportions 78:23
protect 37:18,24 38:9
protection 77:1 110:21 115:8 115:15 134:4
protective 84:7
protocol 116:6,8 117:9,13,19 117:21,22 118:6,9,15,22 120:17 122:1,2,3
protocols 115:20,25 116:1,13 116:17,21 117:2,4,15 121:2
prove 142:17,18
provide 51:6 72:2 135:21 164:7,10
provided 42:15 134:9 148:15 148:17
provides 84:8
providing 29:14 100:7 102:11 110:17
provisions 144:15
proximal 104:17
PRP 34:18 129:20 133:12 143:14
PRPs 129:12,15,17 141:17
PTI 134:21,22 135:1,4,8,9,11 136:17,22,23 137:6,10 138:5,14 151:4
public 141:24 144:21 173:21 176:12
publication 16:2 81:18 82:16 85:1 136:2
publications 13:21 14:4,9 15:6 24:13
publish 54:5 89:16
published 13:24 14:6,13,14 35:19 61:18 80:1 89:17 91:12 146:14,17,19 147:1 147:16
publishes 116:15
publishing 144:23
Puget 126:19,21,24 127:3 128:19 133:20
purely 7:14 13:19 94:11 104:19
purest 163:15
purpose 70:9 82:19,22,24 116:8,9,25
purposes 25:3 42:14 44:5 82:21 170:20
pursued 129:8 139:20
pursuing 124:2 131:23
purview 43:19
put 14:6 24:21 79:4,6 82:8
P-O-J-E 15:8
p.m 90:13,17 120:11,15 145:21,25 172:17,19

Q

qualifications 6:17
qualities 53:14
quality 7:7,18 8:4,15 19:4,7 19:10,22 20:7,17 23:5 24:8 26:9,16,19 29:15,21 30:1,4 30:8,17,23 31:3,4,6,7,14,17 32:3,4,7,11,25 36:11,20 37:2,3,12 38:11,14 39:12,14 42:16 43:12 44:15,16 45:2 45:20 46:1,5 47:25 48:18,23 50:11 51:11 52:18 56:2 61:23 65:5 68:7,12 71:12 76:10,14 77:12,15 80:3,11 80:18,22,23 81:1 83:10 84:4 84:10 85:23 86:13,15 88:5 88:11 91:22 97:2 101:6 102:1,4,10,21,24 103:3,8,10 103:11,19 105:18 106:16,17 106:20 110:12,18 111:21 113:9,25 114:15,22,25 115:1,4,11,13,17 118:1 120:16 121:18 126:19 127:16,19 152:10 157:17 165:8,25
quantification 39:6,13 40:5,7 40:8,18 141:23 144:17 145:3 150:14
quantified 39:7
question 23:7,14 29:2 30:25 34:21 36:15 39:21,25 43:9 44:9 54:18 57:7 60:13 64:18,19 83:17 85:15 88:8 88:13 97:24 103:7,10,13 106:14 114:6 122:12 147:9 164:9
questions 36:16 86:5 176:17
quick 49:21
quickly 158:21

quite 27:4
quotes 141:14

R

R 2:1 174:1
radiation 124:16,19
radiological 124:11,14
range 7:13 9:5 18:7,9,11 19:11,12,25 20:1 80:7,10,13 80:15 118:4 126:23 133:17 134:6
ranges 18:19
ranging 7:14 23:3 46:24 101:7
rangings 81:7
ranking 10:16 47:7 80:8
rate 17:9,9 20:24
rated 85:17
rates 22:15,17 63:5
rating 85:25
raw 170:5
reach 167:12
reached 92:10 147:5 168:6
reaching 93:18
reactive 158:1,19
read 64:17,19 122:24 123:3
real 30:2 49:21 138:11
really 39:21,25 65:21 69:3 131:5 133:10 156:10 170:16
reason 57:2
reasons 29:19 123:6
rebuttable 142:8,10,14
recall 5:8 9:5 10:17 13:16,20 23:13 24:24 25:2,6 27:8,12 31:19 32:17,24 35:14,15,17 36:13 40:3 41:13,22 43:18 44:1 46:4 47:17,19 48:9 52:1,15,17 53:23,24 55:5,15 56:1,13 57:2,18 67:23 70:4 75:23 80:16 81:23 82:8,18 82:22 83:4 84:24 85:20 86:1,18,24 87:21,23 88:16 89:22 90:25 91:21 92:10,14 92:21,24 93:2,5 94:5,6 96:20 97:4 98:22 99:4,9,12 99:23 100:4,18 103:6 106:19 108:19 111:2,3,8,17 112:3,5,7 113:15,18 118:7 118:20 120:18 121:10,15,20 123:7 127:18,23 128:4 135:15 139:8 143:21 146:22 148:11,22 160:24 161:3,9 163:1,3 167:1 168:21
received 6:19 124:1 125:9,11
receiving 48:7 51:11,19 102:15 130:22
recess 33:20 62:5 90:14 120:12 145:22
recognize 6:11 14:22
recognized 69:5
recollection 92:16 110:23 161:8,11
recollections 41:5 48:22 113:12
recommendation 112:14,25
recommendations 100:12,18
record 4:3,6,23 6:3 33:18,21 33:22 62:3,6,7 90:12,15,16 120:10,13,14 145:20,23,24 172:16
records 22:17
redistributed 105:8,16
redox 106:5
reduce 107:14,16
reduced 28:1 174:12
reduction 39:19 71:15 73:21
reductions 62:20
refer 88:6 97:22 135:5 163:14
reference 14:7 89:1 116:7 121:11 127:22,24 128:12 139:25 158:8 159:6 166:11 166:15
referenced 48:6
references 108:18
referencing 15:12 81:17
referred 12:7 22:4 25:7 67:25 159:2
referring 45:7,18 49:4 77:4 77:23 79:2 104:16 108:7 119:14,17 138:18
refers 165:23 170:24
refinery 121:14
reflect 90:23
reflected 91:12 123:11
refresh 135:5
regard 9:16 11:6 13:18 15:20 16:9,19 24:8 25:22 30:7 37:1 39:12 42:16 43:21 45:21 46:1,12 47:25 54:18 60:5 66:7 76:4 79:23 99:6 102:12 103:21 106:10 109:18 122:13 144:25
regarding 13:2,14 32:13 33:7 100:19 167:8
regimes 54:4 108:20
region 87:1,2 89:24,25 126:18 132:23
regional 82:17 152:10
regs 35:10 39:21 139:13
regular 169:4
regularly 169:1
regulation 140:1
regulations 22:23 37:4 39:1,4 40:1,6,19 135:25 139:23 140:6,13 142:22 143:25 145:2 147:23 148:21 150:4 150:20 159:12
regulatory 82:21,24 83:5,7 131:9,19 132:15 139:25 152:17 160:13
reject 51:8
relate 16:14,15 44:22 115:17 138:6
related 31:6 43:10,23,24 44:17,22 49:5 95:22 96:13 100:22 101:1 111:11,13 117:19 145:2 154:13
relates 100:8 130:12
relating 97:3 131:2 165:2
relation 48:18 88:5,10 92:20 103:3,11 106:17 112:22 154:12
relationship 6:25 25:25 48:22 60:17,23 61:4 103:7,17 164:21,23,25 165:1,3,4,6

relationships 20:1 46:4 165:9 165:11,13,20
relative 21:4 26:4 31:4 39:7 40:8 48:24 62:24 63:8 65:19 80:4 85:14 111:19 117:7 131:3 154:20 174:18
relatively 7:5 21:7 74:7 84:4 84:11 125:12 128:7,13 137:25 148:5 149:11 154:15 168:2
release 57:10 104:18,21 105:19,24 130:14,15,20 131:13 161:2,22 162:3
released 75:10,15 105:5,6,7 106:8 130:17 158:20 161:19 162:2,8
releases 130:12 149:11
releasing 74:21
relevance 23:25 139:19
relevant 162:20
relied 70:9
relieve 50:19
relieved 50:24
remains 170:4
remediation 93:15
remember 31:24 32:18 33:1 35:19 39:3 41:15 55:2 57:2 87:3 88:1,20 90:9 92:13 93:1,16,21 102:12,19 113:20 117:22 118:14 121:25 122:2 127:20,25 134:10 162:25
render 32:13 92:5
reorganized 137:7
repeat 60:11 169:10
repetition 100:16
rephrase 28:8 51:16 76:12 88:8 114:20
report 5:7 6:10,11,13,17 14:3 32:16,18,19 64:11 81:22 86:16,16,20,22,24 88:2,20 89:4,16,17,19,25 90:6,7 92:4 99:13 108:19 123:11 146:23 171:22 172:3,9
reported 137:17 174:10
reporter 1:20 54:10 64:20 174:6
Reporter's 3:9
reports 14:8,11 15:2 27:9 54:6,8,10 77:7 99:10 116:19 116:23 119:16 146:14,24
repository 134:8
represent 6:1,9
representing 140:10
represents 119:24
reproduce 10:5
reproducing 22:3
reproduction 10:22 13:17 63:5
reproductive 10:8 20:12 63:9
request 15:15 16:9,13
required 12:9 149:13 150:2 150:24
requirement 50:24 150:19,22
requirements 12:12,13 50:20 50:21 80:19 149:24 150:17
research 7:12 9:11,17 11:7,9 11:12,18,21,23 12:4,19,21 12:25 13:1,11,13,23 14:2,13 16:14,17,17,19,22 19:1 27:7 27:17 54:14 82:16,20 87:5 99:14 124:11 125:13
reserve 5:7
reservoir 28:19 49:8 54:23,25 55:14,15,16 56:4,13,18 57:22 60:16,21 61:2 62:13 63:20 64:6,15 66:19 67:17 70:2,5 77:20 91:8 100:9 101:13 105:17 106:4,25 126:7
reservoirs 27:16 48:13 54:21 67:15,21,23 76:4,4 77:16 100:22
resolve 151:18
resource 18:10 34:3,6,12,14 34:22,25 35:3,7 37:10 38:7 39:11 40:17,23 42:24 43:17 44:10 46:21 47:18 132:7,18 133:6 135:18 137:20 138:16 138:18,19,22 139:2,11,24 140:6,8,11,12 141:4 146:1 146:11,13,25 148:23 151:10 154:1,5 163:21,25
resources 1:7 19:5 37:8 40:9 43:1,4,23 44:11,17 46:3,9 46:18 47:4 48:20 50:12,13 51:19 77:7 94:15 110:16,25 111:4,22 112:16 113:1,6 116:15 117:13 135:22 155:15
respiration 74:17 107:7
respond 68:4
responded 98:19
response 24:19 57:7 61:10 79:15 100:10 125:23 153:11
responses 24:14 93:14 98:11 99:6 126:5 156:1,7
responsibilities 12:18,20 53:9 126:9 132:17 145:9
responsibility 30:10 93:11 98:15
responsible 34:11 129:2 132:19
restate 25:19
restoration 40:25 55:6 89:12 91:23 93:23,25 100:13,19 147:2,6 149:3,24 150:17
restorative 93:20
restricted 25:2
restrictions 41:19
result 7:25 8:16 10:12 24:20 26:9,21 27:3,19 54:1 57:23 65:23 66:2,9,17 67:25 68:8 68:18 71:12,16,19 74:24 75:4 77:20 86:5 87:13,20,25 96:21 101:19 115:10 126:2 156:16,17 157:14 161:22 162:3,23
resulted 36:18 94:2
resulting 53:21 124:19 156:12 157:17 171:23
results 15:21,23 22:16 32:3 40:14 67:12 87:22 152:24 167:13 168:16 169:18 171:9 171:11

resume 14:5 89:1 91:11 164:2
resumT 6:14 11:24 14:4,9,15 15:4 16:8 48:6 49:19 53:9 54:7 88:6 97:22 100:17 135:5 138:10,12
retain 90:23
retained 34:2 51:4 90:25 110:25 133:2,14 136:23
retention 111:22 138:1
return 176:14
review 5:10 34:22 42:4 49:13 51:5,9,13 52:6 98:8 101:3,4 176:11
reviewed 5:4 27:12 93:17 99:4
reviewing 27:9 92:1 102:9
reviews 42:23
revisions 49:14
re-oxygenated 58:9
re-suspended 57:16 105:7,15
re-word 39:24
richness 118:11
Ridge 6:7
RIFS 133:2,4,5 153:20,21
Riggs 5:3
right 5:7 18:20 21:13 25:6 41:16 43:18 47:19 48:16 56:20 91:5 119:10 120:9 128:24 141:22 142:18 147:13 158:10
rigorously 140:17
riparian 81:10,13
risk 151:16 152:12,13,25 153:5,9,17,23 154:4,4 155:8 155:8 162:13
risks 152:18 161:20 162:3
river 7:1,2,9,10,14,15 8:1,23 11:19,20 12:23 18:12 31:22 43:22 95:16,17 96:1 108:3,6 108:8,11 109:5,8,11,15,23 110:1,10 111:19 112:1,15 113:22,25 114:14,19,23 119:1 120:21 136:23 137:2 143:7 148:17 160:21
rivers 67:15 92:23 97:25 98:1 98:5 102:16,17 114:5 136:10,12
River/Bay 142:25 143:10,11 143:13,22
robust 168:3,14
rocks 71:2 72:15 73:13
role 34:8,9,22 86:10 91:17 158:13
rough 111:9 146:6
roughly 5:5
route 130:18
rule 35:15,16,19 36:23 37:5 39:6 50:25 136:3 139:21 140:25 141:3,5 142:3,5,7,15 143:2,3,9,16 144:3,4,7,10 144:13,15,16 145:8,11,14 148:1 149:14 150:24 151:1
rules 144:20
ruling 160:20
run 18:15 88:11 167:19,20 168:14 169:20 170:17
runs 170:2
R-I-F-S 132:3

S

S 2:1,10 3:3,3
Saginaw 142:25 143:9,11,22
salt 7:3,17
sample 166:6,9,11,15,15 167:7,22 168:11,13
sampled 9:24
samples 31:14,17 32:7 33:8 130:17 167:11 169:25 171:4
sampling 12:23,24 32:2 97:10 97:13,15 99:3 119:21 127:20,21 134:13
San 136:25 151:14 153:8
saw 31:9 85:1 161:2
saying 79:2 81:16 141:4 172:4
says 50:1 84:1 98:7 100:10
scale 69:10,14 78:22 84:20
scattered 124:19
scenic 114:5
schemes 78:21
science 123:13,20,21,23 124:1,9,22
sciences 123:14
scientific 14:10 21:25 51:5 164:7
scientist 12:19 38:16 98:10 132:15 154:8
score 118:3 119:24 120:5
screen 145:13,15 149:21
screening 150:11
scum 68:16
se 11:10 29:8 37:14 43:13 48:23 101:4 146:18 156:19
SEAL 174:20
Seattle 126:14 128:25 136:23
second 88:12
secondary 50:20 51:12 52:23
secondly 8:20
SECRETARY 1:5
section 50:17 108:19
sediment 31:6 103:3,8,10 107:22 108:1 126:19 127:3 127:20 165:8,25
sedimentation 81:10
sediments 31:20,21 57:10,13 57:16,20 58:7 64:6,9,15 81:14 97:19 103:2,14,16,18 103:18,21,24,25 104:1,3,4,5 104:22,23,25 105:3,5,6,7,12 105:15,19 106:4,8,9,11,14 106:16,21,21,24 107:4,8,12 107:18 108:2 121:21 166:3
Sedona 6:7
see 10:24 14:21 15:4,6,9 16:1 44:14 48:5 49:23 51:14 67:1 77:11 78:8,16,19,22,24 87:2 99:6 125:19 138:12 148:12 149:1 171:17
seeing 84:18 96:20 161:8
seemingly 5:14
seen 5:6 77:5 81:5 130:9
selection 40:25
senior 91:19
sense 17:8 20:9 23:24 24:1 25:21 53:3 65:22 80:25

107:20 162:2
sensitive 84:6,12
separate 11:9 41:7 44:15,19 86:1,2 129:19 143:12,13,14 143:14,14 146:23
separately 36:4
sequential 132:8
series 77:6 127:11 150:10,18 166:5
serve 53:10
service 150:15
services 39:10,19 40:16 44:3 44:24 134:21,22 135:13,14 137:5
set 24:16
settled 143:4 147:6
settlement 42:12,13 129:12 129:14 132:20 140:23,24 141:3,7 142:5 146:16 154:1 164:3,19
settlements 146:16
settles 58:6
settling 58:5,20 103:25 107:10,15
severe 62:15 66:2,11 67:5 68:11 75:1 78:3
severity 66:23
sewage 48:7 49:5,15 50:2,21 52:3 101:5,8
shallow 65:12
shallower 59:22
sheet 176:10,11,15
shift 25:8,10,12,25 74:16
shifted 142:16
shifts 24:7 25:7,16,22
shipyard 151:14 153:8
shore 65:12,25
short 8:17 9:22 10:9,12 33:20 62:5 90:14 110:6 120:12 125:12 145:22
Shorthand 1:20 174:5
Shortly 135:15
show 50:23
showed 27:14
shown 131:11
shrews 47:8
Siberia 94:20,23
sic 17:24 22:25
side 141:16
sides 141:10
sign 173:7 176:11
signature 3:9 173:1 176:10 176:12,14
significance 170:20,24 171:12,16,20 172:2
significant 26:16 56:2 68:9 74:6 84:19 124:7 125:19 137:22
similar 11:5 72:22 100:15 101:19 108:23
Simmons 2:13 4:15
simplest 61:10
simplified 149:9
Simplistically 60:25
simulate 9:21
Simulation 16:4
simulator 12:8 16:1 17:1,3
Sincerely 176:21
single 25:12
singular 11:17
sit 13:22 18:22
site 31:10,14,16 33:25 34:18 34:20 35:20,20,21 36:11 42:7 51:21 52:8 110:18,20 111:12,20,20,25 112:5,14 112:25 113:5,14,16,17 117:25 128:14,15,24 129:5 129:16 130:17 131:15 147:13 151:18,21,23 152:2 153:22 154:2 164:9 165:24 166:10,11
sites 31:10 35:24 36:1 49:16 49:17,18 53:4 122:25 123:1 127:3 128:2,21 135:20,23 138:21 143:2 144:4 149:14 151:15 166:25
site-specific 165:8
situation 64:25 70:21 71:24 72:25 102:11 105:4 141:9 164:13,13
situations 28:15 79:14 100:5 169:5
six 36:7 118:10 122:5
size 20:13,19 21:1 22:20 25:13 72:3
skinny 21:7
slow 74:5
small 47:7 55:17 59:16 70:24 89:23,23 149:11 168:11
smaller 26:6
smelter 112:5
smelting 35:23 36:2,4,9
Smithee 111:6
somebody 33:10
someplace 91:3,11
somewhat 21:24 171:12
soon 176:13
sorry 35:17 49:4 64:17 70:17 94:9,22 98:9 143:18
sort 22:23 23:7 85:15
sound 100:15 126:20,21,24 127:3 128:19 133:20
source 8:3 55:13 93:25 95:4 95:10 103:16,20 104:2,4,11 104:17,18,19 105:3
sources 60:20 89:11,14 92:15 92:20,24 93:7,19 95:1,7 104:10 129:2,7,9,10
south 49:16
so-called 23:2 28:3
space 12:12 39:7 40:17
spacial 40:13
spare 124:12
spawning 107:11
speak 19:8 26:20 69:11 72:1
speaking 14:13 21:18 26:13 35:3 45:14,16 67:10 157:22
specialist 131:19
specialists 91:22,23
specialize 155:11
specializing 123:14
specialty 6:20 7:4
species 10:14 11:11 13:3,15 16:10,13 18:21 19:11,23,23 20:4 21:16,20 22:20 23:17

23:18,19 24:2 25:12 26:18 27:24 28:17,17,18,20,22 29:4,8,9,13,15,16,17,17 61:22 73:5,8,10,12 78:20,23 79:22 80:19,21 83:3,13,20 83:23,24 84:2,2,3,5,9,11,14 84:21 85:3,7,8 87:17 118:13 118:14 122:16 136:11,12 154:25 155:5
specific 46:16 51:21 52:8 53:3 82:17 105:11 110:18 110:20,22 111:12,13,20,20 111:25 112:15 113:1,5 114:17 122:25 126:25 155:1 165:25 171:10
specifically 7:6,22 8:7 14:13 27:13 50:16 52:15 53:23 76:11,15 77:17 86:9 91:17 91:24 96:13 97:5,24 102:13 103:10 122:19 154:21 156:4
specificity 107:24
specifics 41:22 77:18 94:5 99:23 113:20 114:3 122:8
specified 141:25 145:13,15 151:2
specifies 37:5 39:6
spell 11:15 94:21 130:2 143:17
spend 136:14
spent 138:3
spills 149:11
spoke 79:1
spoken 105:3
sport 29:8
ss 174:3
St 143:2 144:8,11 145:1
stable 105:12
staff 137:16 148:14
stage 147:5
stand 54:13 120:2
standard 37:2,6,12 38:9,11 38:15,19,23 39:13,14 65:5 75:24 83:10 122:1 158:8 171:12,16 172:1,8
standardized 118:4
standards 29:21 30:1,4,8,17 30:23,24 31:3,4,6,7 32:3,4 32:11,14,25 33:2,5 36:12,19 36:21 37:3,16,20 38:2 45:3 45:21 46:1,5 47:25 48:19 49:1 50:10,11,12 51:11 53:1 53:22 61:23 76:10,14 77:12 77:16 88:5,11 97:3,6 102:2 102:5,18,21,25 103:4,8,11 106:18,21 110:13 113:9,25 114:16,19,22,25 115:1,7,10 115:12,14,17
standpoint 21:18,25 38:24
start 45:24 98:3 126:13
started 35:19 51:3 86:4 136:19,21 138:2,14 151:4 151:15
starting 49:25 69:10 101:25 135:15 137:22,23 150:10
state 1:5,7,16,19,21 4:7 5:1 6:1,2 12:4,5,11 29:18 33:2 33:5 34:15,23 35:13 36:19 36:20 37:6,11,20 48:25 69:21 76:7 77:10 78:5,7,25 102:18 111:18,24 114:15 115:5,9,10,11 116:10 119:3 119:4,6,8,9,12,15,18 121:7 122:2 123:15,21 124:10 132:10 133:3 139:1,1,2,5,12 139:20 152:8,22 153:3 154:21 161:10 163:15 164:4 174:3,6
stated 82:22
states 1:1 102:21 111:16,18 115:4 163:25
stations 119:21
statistical 134:13 165:12,14 165:16 166:5,14,17,23 167:5,14 168:20,22 169:2 170:19,21 171:7,19 172:2
statistics 166:18,20
status 70:3,6,10,16,18 75:25 89:15 92:7 99:21
statute 138:23
stay 125:11
stays 105:1
stemmed 111:23
stenograph 174:10,11
step 40:22 145:18 149:12
steps 141:1,18,24 145:11 150:10,18
sticks 87:16
stimulate 59:10,11,14 156:9
stimulating 58:18
stimulation 67:12,24 68:13 70:23 71:1,7,16 72:5 96:23 101:20 156:17
stimulatory 101:9
stop 145:19
strains 18:20,25
strategy 164:3,5,11
stratification 56:4 57:25 58:10
stratified 55:2
stream 70:20,21,22 71:11,13 71:21,22,25 73:1,22,25 74:3 74:5,7 75:25 76:1,2 81:10 108:12,12 109:11 118:19 121:11
streamlined 149:8
streams 67:15 71:9 74:24 75:5 76:5,8,9,12,16,21 77:13 92:18 93:6 100:22 108:3,6 109:5,7,11,15,18 110:1 118:15,17,25 119:3 122:13
Street 2:4,7,14 176:4
stress 63:15 66:2
stressed 63:2
stresses 16:23 27:23
stressful 62:16,21
stressors 152:20 156:2
stretch 45:1
strict 41:19
strictly 140:12 143:8,25 144:1,5,10,13 145:4
strike 5:7 54:18
striped 11:13 13:18 14:14,19 14:22 15:1,7,10 16:20 18:11 18:12

structure 66:4,8,18 77:21,22 77:24 79:17 166:8
studied 69:2 75:11,11 163:7
studies 14:19 18:15 27:11,12 27:13 52:14 53:3 61:19 82:17 86:14 126:19 128:7 132:4 141:20
study 24:17 38:19 70:2,4,8,12 123:17 126:7,18 154:10,24 155:18
stuff 54:19
styled 1:17
sub 11:2
subcontractor 89:18
subdiscipline 154:17
subject 24:16 42:22 155:19 163:3
subjected 8:19 9:20
submerged 59:13
submit 50:18 51:1 87:1 112:16
submitted 89:25 90:1
suboptimal 17:17 18:3
SUBSCRIBED 173:17
subsequent 10:2 11:3 111:22 130:7 132:4 153:9
subsequently 70:13
subset 103:9 117:4
subspecies 18:25
substance 104:12,13,25 105:4 130:13,19,24 156:18 156:23,25 157:1,1,21,25 158:1,2,3,5 159:15,17 160:9 160:17 161:7,14
substances 7:8 31:2 45:5,6,7 45:12,14,15,15,19 46:6 47:13,16 94:18 95:5,18 96:3 97:18 101:8 103:15,17 104:7,22 105:19,24 127:1,2 127:9 129:7 131:3,13 143:15 152:18,19 154:14 156:2,3 159:2,3,4,7 160:3,7 166:10
substantial 167:16
substrate 72:24 73:1
substrates 71:3
subtractor 89:21
subunit 129:15
subunits 149:4
success 22:17
successful 100:6,6
suffering 21:8 161:24
sufficient 22:21 26:24,24 27:23 58:3,24 62:18 72:5,17 73:9,9 75:14 157:16,17 162:8
sufficiently 28:3 62:14 63:14 68:11 71:14 75:2 83:18 107:4,9,12
suggest 164:15
suit 20:3
Suite 2:7,11 176:4
Sullivan 87:6,7,9
summary 98:24 119:25
summer 55:2 56:15,19
sunfish 118:12
sunlight 58:13 59:1
super 17:24
Superfund 33:24 113:14,17 128:15,24 129:16 151:21 153:22
supervise 12:22
supervision 174:13
supplies 162:24
supply 68:22 107:16
support 83:24 115:20,24 134:15
supported 76:24 77:9 118:2,5
supporting 116:11,11
suppose 59:23
supra 17:20,25 18:1
sure 15:13 18:19 25:20 31:1 32:19 33:16 40:20 41:20 45:23 49:23 52:24 75:19 99:11 108:11 114:6 115:22 116:4,14,15 122:17 128:3 136:20 149:5
surface 30:16,22 31:11 32:2,3 32:14 33:3 36:10 37:13,14 37:19 43:7,16 45:3 58:1,4,9 59:2 68:14,15 88:4,10 102:1 102:4 103:3 115:5 116:9 127:16,18 139:9,10
surfaces 59:15
surrounded 90:5
survival 10:1,2
survive 19:24 61:11,12,14,17 64:1
survived 10:4
susceptible 63:16
suspended 59:17 103:8,25 104:3,5 106:14,16,21,24 107:4,8,12,18 108:2
sworn 4:17,19 173:17 174:8
symposia 15:3
symposium 15:11,22 16:7
sync 103:16,20 104:12,23,24
syncs 104:10
synthesis 98:25
system 17:5 74:4,9 121:11 150:20
systems 16:25

T

T 3:3 174:1,1
tabulated 146:6
take 14:15 31:14 33:16 37:15 38:23 62:1 120:8
taken 1:17 80:6 93:14 152:23 153:3,25 174:16
talk 18:3 25:15 31:8 100:24 116:6
talked 22:1 25:1 66:15 70:25 72:13 133:19 135:10 136:18 149:19,20 156:14
talking 8:25 10:20 16:18 21:14,14,21 22:9 25:11,24 29:4,5 35:4 59:3,16 62:9 69:9 72:18 79:22 90:18 103:7,18 104:10,19 141:20 144:4 153:7 158:12,13,14 158:15,16 165:14,20 167:7
tape 33:17 88:12 145:19
targets 28:24
tasks 27:8

taxa 118:11,12
team 30:13 51:2 53:11 91:23 92:3 93:12,19 112:19 133:8 155:10
Tech 51:4 91:4,7,15 125:14 125:18 126:10,12 133:19,22 134:5
technique 55:7
techniques 100:13,19 134:10
tell 89:24 170:14
temperature 8:11,16,18,19 8:21,24 9:16,18,20 13:2,14 13:15 16:14,20,23 17:7,8,13 17:14,14,18,20,23,24 18:2,6 18:11,13,15,18 19:10 20:2 26:21,24 27:3 31:5 48:1,15 48:18,23 50:12 54:3 88:4,10 101:25 102:5,14,19,22,25 108:14,16,20
temperatures 8:25 9:5,7,21 9:23 11:1 18:3 19:5 102:4
temporal 40:12
ten 9:6 79:9 128:21
tend 29:7 72:11,14 74:9,12 74:13
tending 106:8
Tenkiller 77:17 97:7 108:3,15 108:22 109:2,12,16,19 110:1,4 118:23
term 8:17 9:22 10:9,12 17:12 18:20 20:5 21:24 22:5 25:22 35:5 36:3 40:18 45:18 71:6 104:15 108:6 115:23,24 131:6,9,20 142:12,13 154:15 158:8,24 159:4,8 160:9 161:14 163:18 167:23 169:4 170:23 170:23
termed 78:23 171:2
terms 10:7 13:13 20:5 25:15 26:1,13 28:11 46:11,19 59:4 69:1 93:15 94:14 104:11
terrestrial 43:14 46:8 47:21 47:23 139:10 155:5
test 22:18 166:7,22,22 167:5 167:6,14,14,19,20 168:12 168:14,14 169:9,12,13,20 170:3 171:9,11,23
testified 4:20
testify 4:19 32:20 174:8
testifying 33:12 34:10
testimony 162:17
testing 170:1
tests 168:2,2,8 169:7 170:8 170:14,18
Tetra 51:4 91:4,7,15 125:14 125:18 126:10,12 133:19,22 134:5
Texas 160:20
Texoma 18:13
Thank 4:16 50:1
theoretical 164:25 165:3
theory 107:13
therapeutic 124:20
thereto 108:9
thermal 7:24 10:13 13:20 56:4 57:25
thing 38:16 48:4 66:7 83:20 87:16 141:4 167:24
things 22:23 24:1 44:3,5 45:4 45:8 57:24 78:11 79:6 85:23 107:11 124:16 142:1 144:22 167:15
think 11:17 15:24 18:23 19:14 21:13,13 23:14,18 24:9,13,25 27:9 28:6,7,12 30:13,17 31:1 32:5 35:18 36:7 37:9,21 40:21 42:8 44:19 45:17 46:17 48:9 52:15 54:6 55:3,25 57:8 62:9 63:12,18,18 69:19,22 76:19 79:4,10 81:11,23 82:7 82:12 83:16,25 86:11,16 87:2 89:11,17,19 91:7,14,15 91:19,20 92:1 93:24 94:16 97:4 98:14 99:14,18 100:23 101:2,24,24 102:12,14,16 104:9 110:22 111:6,21 112:6 113:11 114:5 115:9 116:3 118:10 120:25 121:16 122:15 126:3 128:1,2,3 130:24 131:8,8,9,10,11 133:16 134:4,11 139:25 142:1,8 144:1 148:5,9,11,15 149:10 153:11 154:2 156:7 160:12,19 161:2 164:13 172:7,13,14
thinking 24:12 90:2 113:19 116:1 145:6,7
Thomas 1:15 3:5 4:18 6:4 173:3,14 175:2 176:6
thought 29:5 51:15 57:6 82:10
three 125:21 154:23
three-year 124:8
threshold 166:4,13
thresholds 165:24 166:17,25
thrive 19:24 61:17
thriving 56:18
throwing 142:12
tidally 7:15
tied 57:20
tightly 57:13
Tim 87:7,9
time 4:5 7:5 8:24 11:3,22 12:3 27:12 33:19,23 39:7 40:17 41:22 42:10 51:3 52:21 62:4,8 65:25 66:10 75:2 84:25 86:11 90:13,17 91:2,5 99:16 100:3 105:1 111:6,24 112:4 113:10 120:11,15 121:16 124:4,13 125:6,6,12,19 126:6,15 128:5 136:14 137:1,6,25 138:3 143:6 145:21,25 172:17 174:16
times 131:20 172:12
timing 63:9,9
tissue 97:19 124:18
title 12:18,19 24:25
Tittabawassee 143:9,13
today 4:4 13:22 172:15
TOLBERT 1:6
told 142:2
tolerance 10:13,18,19 61:21

79:25 80:2,4,7,10,20,23 81:2,7,8,21 82:10,15 84:4 85:7,25
tolerances 13:20 27:25 63:24 65:18,18 81:21,25
tolerant 78:20 79:22 80:14,14 83:13,16,21 85:13,17 118:13
tolerate 80:12
Tom 4:4
tomcod 11:14
tool 42:14,22
tools 79:19
top 128:20
total 33:8 36:16 44:18 45:16 56:9 162:22
totally 44:11
tournaments 22:16
toxic 7:7 94:18 101:8 107:8 154:14 156:12,18,20,21,25 157:1,21,25,25 158:3,4,24 160:1
toxicity 31:21 37:23 38:2,4 166:7
toxicological 155:13 156:5,11 166:6
toxicologists 155:11
toxicology 154:10,13,18,20 154:24 155:3,4,22
toxins 75:10,12,15 162:16,18
track 130:13
training 154:9 155:3,13
transcribed 174:12
transcript 173:5 174:15
transferred 138:9
transformation 167:18,23,24 169:9,12,17,23,24 170:6
transformations 168:16,19 169:1,6
transformed 169:20
transforming 169:19
transition 7:1 136:21 138:7 166:2
transitioned 138:14
transmission 107:13
transmissivity 97:1
transparency 96:16,22 97:3
transparent 96:17
transport 60:3 130:18,21
treatment 50:20 51:12 52:23 68:22 163:5
trend 74:11
trends 24:17
trial 146:18
tribal 90:5 164:4
tributaries 108:9
tributary 92:18 93:6 95:4,8,9 95:11,21
tributyltin 45:11 151:25
trophic 69:20 70:3,6,10,16,17 75:25 76:7 78:22,25
true 66:7 83:20 173:5,7 174:15
trustee 1:7 35:8,13 135:18 136:4,5,6,7 142:7,14 145:9
trustees 132:11 140:4,12,22 140:23 141:15 142:2 145:16 147:22 148:20 150:24 164:4 164:14,16
trusteeship 136:13
trustee's 40:25
truth 4:19,20,20 174:9,9,9
try 13:20 19:21 44:8 57:12 79:18 98:22
trying 28:21 42:8 44:12,14 104:9 133:18 148:12
TSI 69:21 76:7 79:8
tube 12:8 16:5
tubes 16:1
Tulsa 1:18,19 2:11 174:4,6
turbidity 69:24 81:3 84:22 120:18,20
turn 6:13
two 5:15 8:17 41:23 52:2 70:23 78:12 84:23 85:23 86:2 94:24 125:21 135:11 135:12 143:12 149:4 154:9 154:12,17 167:7,11 169:25 171:4,17
two-plus 124:23
type 7:18 11:5 13:15 24:11 26:10,11 35:20 39:21 40:1 59:8 80:11 85:18 92:14 93:2 103:11 149:6,7,8,9,11 149:16,18,25 151:11 170:25 171:1
types 8:15 9:15 10:11 11:11 14:11 62:11 64:8 70:23 80:23 118:8
typewritten 174:12
type-one 168:7
typically 18:6,8 19:21 29:12 71:10 80:8 147:10 164:7
TYSON 1:10
T-I-T-T-A-B-A-W-A-S-S-... 143:18

U

ultimate 68:11 132:17
ultimately 34:10
um 18:9 147:8,9 164:8
uncertain 38:12
undergo 106:12
undergoing 121:15
underlying 167:9,17,21 168:3 169:22,23
understand 4:24 5:4 25:20 35:4 52:24 60:12 104:9 107:21 108:7,13 114:9 115:23 137:4 157:20
understanding 104:14 116:20 132:14,14 140:9 142:13 150:23
understood 13:7 104:11
undertake 129:19
undeveloped 55:18
unit 12:12 20:12
UNITED 1:1
units 133:12
University 6:21 12:6 123:15 123:21 124:10 125:1 154:21 154:22
unsuitable 73:7
upper 10:17,19 56:3 58:1,19 89:3 90:20,21 92:7,12 94:3

94:13
upstate 11:21
urban 127:7
use 18:19 19:14 20:5 22:18 25:21 37:18,25 38:8 44:4 58:7 59:1 76:20 77:3 78:24 83:2 92:21,23 108:6 110:21 115:20,24 116:2,18,23 118:2,4 119:5 120:20 121:9 122:9 127:24 131:2 134:15 140:4 141:14 144:1 165:24 166:1 167:23 170:23
users 39:20
uses 44:21 76:21,24 77:8 116:12,13,14
usual 127:11,12 166:21
usually 20:13,15 37:22 38:2 67:20,24 80:13,15,17 81:12 101:14 140:23 149:10 156:14 168:2 170:24 172:3 172:9
utilities 102:7
utilized 68:21
U.S 27:10 126:18 129:1 131:23 132:5,23 136:8,24

V

v 32:6 33:24 36:11 41:14 43:6 136:24 137:23
vague 41:4 48:22 113:12 161:8,9
vaguely 160:19
valuable 84:8
valuation 41:3,6,12,17,25
value 38:4,10 75:2 114:4 171:6,8
valued 28:23
values 30:5,9 32:10 61:19,24 80:7 114:17 115:1 121:11 165:8,25
variable 40:14
variables 7:7 84:23 118:1 119:25 120:16 121:19,19 165:2,4
variance 168:10,12,13,17
variances 167:11 168:9
variety 28:20 38:5 45:12 81:9
various 8:12 9:13 10:14 13:2 17:15 21:1,25 22:20 23:21 28:7,12 39:19 46:23 48:7 61:22 69:4 76:20,21,25 77:9 78:20 79:14 92:17 116:9,11 121:10 122:9 124:19 126:19 130:18,20 131:3 132:8,18 134:10 135:20 137:18 141:24 145:11 146:19,24 150:3 152:16 164:15
vary 18:9,14
varying 63:24
vast 52:4
vegetation 59:18 60:7 81:10
venture 94:10
versus 21:5 36:16 44:18 72:12 137:8 146:23 167:3 170:8
vice 134:25
vicinity 130:11
Vicki 2:13 4:15
VIDEOGRAPHER 4:3,12 4:16 33:18,22 62:3,7 90:12 90:16 120:10,14 145:20,24 172:16
VIDEOTAPED 1:15
violations 53:21
virtue 1:21
volume 1:15 60:8,16
voluminous 51:1
vs 1:9

W

W 1:4 3:3
waiver 51:7,8
want 25:19 28:8 46:21 56:25 108:11 140:24
wanted 62:11
warming 24:17
warranted 22:23 38:20
Washington 132:4,11 133:1
wasn't 23:8 98:17 137:16 145:6
waste 101:17 113:16,18
water 7:3,7,14,17,18 8:1,3,4 8:11,15,19 9:25 16:16,25 19:4,4,5,7,9 21:16 23:5 24:8,23 25:4 26:8,8,16,19 27:14 28:16,23 29:6,12,15 29:21 30:1,4,8,17,17,22,23 31:3,4,7,14,17,24 32:2,3,3,7 32:11,14,25 33:3,8 36:10,11 36:20 37:1,3,11,13,14,19 38:11,11,13,13,18,22 39:12 39:14 43:7,12,16,24 44:4,5 44:15,16 45:2,3,20 46:1,5 47:4,25 48:18,22 50:11,13 50:17,24 51:11,11,19 52:18 53:14 55:19,21 56:2 57:6,16 57:19 58:2,8 59:6,13,17,22 61:13,23 65:4,9 68:7,11,15 68:21,22,24 69:17,22 71:12 72:11 74:4 76:10,14 77:7,10 77:11,15 80:3,10,18,22,23 81:1 82:1,3,4 83:10 84:4,9 85:23 86:13,15 88:4,5,10,11 91:22 96:16,17,22,25 97:2,3 101:6,18 102:1,4,10,17,21 102:24 103:3,3,8,10,11,19 104:3,5,20 105:8,9,11,18,20 105:24 106:15,15,17,17,20 106:24 107:13,18,19 108:2 110:12,16,18,25 111:3,20 111:22 112:16 113:1,6,8,24 114:15,22,25 115:1,5,11,13 115:17,18 116:9,14 117:12 118:1 120:16 121:18,21 123:24 126:19 127:16,19 130:22,24 136:12 138:24 139:9,10,23 140:2 152:10 157:17 161:19 162:2,10,24
waters 31:12 44:24 48:8 56:14 59:2 71:10 102:1,4,15 155:18 163:5
watershed 92:15 94:8 108:4,7 109:5,8,12,15,23 110:2,10 111:13 113:22 114:1,14,23 119:1 120:21 122:19

Waterway 128:23 129:13,14 129:24 132:8
waterways 128:11
way 37:9 38:1 59:25 72:22 73:5,22 82:13 95:3,22 135:6 142:4 167:22
ways 9:18 27:4 55:20 73:15 143:5 172:9
weight 21:5 62:24
Weitholter 2:20
went 15:19 90:6,7 114:6 126:21
weren't 63:3,3 145:3,9
we'll 88:13 90:10 98:3
we're 14:2 21:21 33:16 46:10 59:3 62:1 88:11 138:17 140:25 163:23
we've 60:5,14 100:14 133:19 135:10 156:14 158:12,13
white 11:13
wide 45:12 134:6
widely 27:25 63:23 152:16
wider 28:20
wildlife 37:25 77:1 110:21 117:8,19 121:2
wish 14:23
withdrawal 8:1,4
withdrawn 8:18
witness 1:16 4:16 5:9 62:2 173:9 174:8,20
woodland 55:18
word 50:3,4 143:17 144:1 156:20
words 21:2,6 24:15 26:5 37:11 115:3,3 136:13 168:4 168:10 171:1
work 11:14 12:5,14 13:4 24:12 27:5 29:25 30:6,7,9 30:18 31:3,9,20 33:13 34:3 35:24 40:23,25 41:6,7 42:24 43:19 44:9,25 46:6 48:4,10 48:11,24 49:2,3,5,5,7,11,19 51:24 53:16,24 54:5,17,20 70:8 85:2,5,9,16 87:6 89:2 89:6,7,18 90:3,18,24 91:24 93:9 94:12,19 98:13,14,15 102:7 103:2,6,14 106:2 110:16 111:1,14,15,17,19 111:23,25 112:18 113:10 117:5,7,10,16 121:7,12 123:4 124:17 125:10 126:3 126:15 127:22,24 130:6 132:2,6 133:6,8,9,18,21,21 134:17 135:3,10,15,17 136:22 137:20,24 138:1 139:15 141:17 146:9,10 147:10 151:10,11,14 155:12 155:19
worked 11:22 29:23 30:3,14 30:19,23 31:1,5,9,11 36:1 41:2,5,11,21 43:13 45:4,11 46:11,15 47:1,3 54:2,21 86:6 87:9 88:3,9 94:13 97:21 100:21 102:3 110:12 111:3 113:8 117:9 121:5 123:1 131:1 134:1 138:4,20 138:25 143:6 146:2 147:1 164:2
working 10:14 30:11 33:9 34:5,16,17 41:16 46:4,6,20 51:2 53:12 86:5 91:20,22 92:3 113:14 124:12 132:22 132:23,25 136:19 138:16 139:16 147:12 151:4,14 155:4
world 96:9,10
worth 5:15
wouldn't 144:1,12 170:12
written 32:16 37:18 42:23
wrong 142:17
wrote 45:3 86:15

X

X 3:1
X-ray 124:9,20,22
X-rays 124:17,18

Y

yeah 18:2 21:24 24:24 33:13 44:1 56:13 70:11 94:24 111:5 127:23 128:18 142:12 143:12,18 146:9 153:14 155:25 157:11 165:23
year 21:3 111:8 125:12
years 24:18 54:2 87:15 110:15 121:8 124:23 125:21 126:11,22 136:18 154:16
yesterday 4:23
York 6:21 7:16 11:21 12:3,5 12:6,10 125:1 154:22
young 21:2

Z

zinc 127:13 151:25 159:21 160:3,5

0

0001 172:6
01 171:18,19,25 172:1,5,11 172:12
01818 174:23
037 114:4
05 171:17 172:10

1

1 6:9 171:18
1.8 5:5
1:37 90:17
1:37PM 90:15
1:38PM 90:20,25 91:1,5,10
1:39PM 91:15
1:40PM 91:20,25 92:1
1:41PM 92:5,10,15
1:42PM 92:20,25 93:1
1:43PM 93:5,10,15
1:44PM 93:20,25 94:1
1:45PM 94:5,10
1:46PM 94:15,20
1:47PM 94:25 95:1,5,10
1:48PM 95:15,20,25
1:49PM 96:1,5,10
1:50PM 96:15,20,25 97:1
1:51PM 97:5,10,15
1:52PM 97:20,25 98:1,5
1:53PM 98:10

1:54PM 98:15,20,25 99:1
1:55PM 99:5,10,15
1:56PM 99:20,25 100:1,5
1:57PM 100:10,15
1:58PM 100:20,25 101:1,5
1:59PM 101:10,15
10 126:18 132:23
10:00AM 27:25 28:1,5,10
10:01AM 28:15,20,25 29:1
10:02AM 29:5,10,15
10:03AM 29:20,25 30:1
10:04AM 30:5,10
10:05AM 30:15,20,25 31:1
10:06AM 31:5,10
10:07AM 31:15,20
10:08AM 31:25 32:1,5,10
10:09AM 32:15,20,25 33:1
10:10 33:19
10:10AM 33:5,10,15
10:11AM 33:20
10:21 33:23
10:22AM 33:25 34:1,5,10
10:23AM 34:15,20,25 35:1
10:24AM 35:5,10,15
10:25AM 35:20,25 36:1
10:26AM 36:5,10
10:27AM 36:15,20
10:28AM 36:25 37:1,5,10
10:29AM 37:15,20
10:30AM 37:25 38:1,5,10
10:31AM 38:15,20
10:32AM 38:25 39:1,5,10
10:33AM 39:15,20,25 40:1
10:34AM 40:5,10,15
10:35AM 40:20,25 41:1
10:36AM 41:5,10
10:37AM 41:15
10:38AM 41:20,25 42:1
10:39AM 42:5,10
10:40AM 42:15,20,25
10:41AM 43:1,5,10
10:42AM 43:15,20
10:43AM 43:25 44:1,5,10
10:44AM 44:15,20
10:45AM 44:25 45:1,5,10
10:46AM 45:15,20,25
10:47AM 46:1,5,10
10:48AM 46:15,20,25
10:49AM 47:1,5,10
10:50AM 47:15,20
10:51AM 47:25 48:1,5
10:52AM 48:10,15
10:53AM 48:20,25 49:1,5
10:54AM 49:10,15
10:55AM 49:20
10:56AM 49:25 50:1
10:57AM 50:5,10,15
10:58AM 50:20,25 51:1
10:59AM 51:5,10,15
100 7:14
1040 6:7
11 148:24
11:00AM 51:20,25 52:1,5
11:01AM 52:10
11:02AM 52:15,20
11:03AM 52:25 53:1,5,10,15
11:04AM 53:20,25 54:1
11:05AM 54:5,10,15
11:06AM 54:20,25 55:1
11:07AM 55:5,10,15
11:08AM 55:20,25
11:09AM 56:1,5,10
11:10AM 56:15,20,25
11:11AM 57:1,5,10
11:12AM 57:15,20,25
11:13AM 58:1,5,10
11:14AM 58:15,20,25
11:15AM 59:1,5,10
11:16AM 59:15,20
11:17AM 59:25 60:1,5,10
11:18AM 60:15,20
11:19AM 60:25 61:1,5,10
11:20AM 61:15,20,25
11:21 62:4
11:21AM 62:1,5
11:33 62:8
11:34AM 62:10,15
11:35AM 62:20,25 63:1
11:36AM 63:5,10
11:37AM 63:15,20,25
11:38AM 64:1,5,10
11:39AM 64:15,20
11:40AM 64:25 65:1,5
11:41AM 65:10,15
11:42AM 65:20,25 66:1,5
11:43AM 66:10,15,20
11:44AM 66:25 67:1,5
11:45AM 67:10,15
11:46AM 67:20,25 68:1,5
11:47AM 68:10,15,20
11:48AM 68:25 69:1,5
11:49AM 69:10,15,20
11:50AM 69:25 70:1,5
11:51AM 70:10,15,20
11:52AM 70:25 71:1,5
11:53AM 71:10,15,20
11:54AM 71:25 72:1,5
11:55AM 72:10,15,20
11:56AM 72:25 73:1,5,10
11:57AM 73:15,20
11:58AM 73:25 74:1,5,10
11:59AM 74:15,20
12:00PM 74:25 75:1,5,10
12:01PM 75:15,20
12:02PM 75:25 76:1,5,10
12:03PM 76:15,20
12:04PM 76:25 77:1,5,10
12:05PM 77:15,20
12:06PM 77:25 78:1,5
12:07PM 78:10,15
12:08PM 78:20,25 79:1
12:09PM 79:5,10
12:10PM 79:15,20,25 80:1
12:11PM 80:5,10,15
12:12PM 80:20,25 81:1
12:13PM 81:5,10,15
12:14PM 81:20,25 82:1
12:15PM 82:5,10,15
12:16PM 82:20,25 83:1
12:17PM 83:5,10
12:18PM 83:15,20
12:19PM 83:25 84:1,5
12:20PM 84:10,15,20
12:21PM 84:25 85:1,5
12:22PM 85:10,15,20

12:23PM 85:25 86:1,5,10
12:24PM 86:15,20,25
12:25PM 87:1,5,10
12:26PM 87:15,20
12:27PM 87:25 88:1,5
12:28PM 88:10,15
12:29PM 88:20,25 89:1,5
12:30PM 89:10,15
12:31PM 89:20
12:32 90:13
12:32PM 89:25 90:1,5,10
15 4:4 9:6 154:16
15th 1:17
1700 2:7 176:4
173 3:9 174:14
174 3:9
19 111:10 151:19
1968 123:21 124:2,24
1971 12:17 123:15 124:25
1977 6:21 12:17 125:3,16 133:24
1979 126:12 133:19
1980 99:19
1980s 91:16 99:18 111:9 132:9
1982 16:4
1986-87 126:23
1987 133:24 134:19,20
1990s 111:10
1997 134:23 138:7 151:5

2

2 89:4
2,600 5:5
2:00PM 101:20,25 102:1
2:01PM 102:5
2:02PM 102:10,15
2:03PM 102:20,25 103:1,5
2:04PM 103:10,15
2:05PM 103:20,25 104:1
2:06PM 104:5,10,15
2:07PM 104:20,25 105:1,5
2:08PM 105:10,15
2:09PM 105:20,25 106:1,5
2:10PM 106:10,15,20
2:11PM 106:25 107:1,5
2:12PM 107:10,15,20
2:13PM 107:25 108:1,5,10
2:14PM 108:15,20
2:15PM 108:25 109:1,5,10
2:16PM 109:15,20,25 110:1 110:5
2:17PM 110:10,15
2:18PM 110:20,25 111:1
2:19PM 111:5,10
2:20PM 111:15
2:21PM 111:20,25 112:1,5
2:22PM 112:10,15,20,25 113:1,5
2:23PM 113:10,15,20
2:24PM 113:25 114:1
2:25PM 114:5,10,15,20
2:26PM 114:25 115:1,5
2:27PM 115:10,15,20
2:28PM 115:25 116:1,5
2:29PM 116:10,15
2:30PM 116:20,25 117:1
2:31PM 117:5,10,15
2:32PM 117:20,25 118:1
2:33PM 118:5,10
2:34PM 118:15,20
2:35PM 118:25 119:1,5,10
2:36PM 119:15,20,25 120:1
2:37 120:11
2:37PM 120:5,10
2:48 120:15
2:48PM 120:15,20
2:49PM 120:25 121:1,5
2:50PM 121:10
2:51PM 121:15,20
2:52PM 121:25 122:1,5
2:53PM 122:10,15
2:54PM 122:20
2:55PM 122:25 123:1,5
2:56PM 123:10,15
2:57PM 123:20,25 124:1,5
2:58PM 124:10,15,20
2:59PM 124:25 125:1,5
20 79:9,9 154:16
2003 151:20
2005 133:16
2006 133:16
2007 119:3,5,6,10,21
2009 1:18 4:5 173:11,19 174:21 176:1
21st 2:4
211 2:14
22 176:1
221 2:17
25 146:5

3

3-1 6:17,19 89:4
3:00PM 125:10,15,20
3:01PM 125:25 126:1,5
3:02PM 126:10,15
3:03PM 126:20
3:04PM 126:25 127:1,5
3:05PM 127:10,15
3:06PM 127:20,25 128:1
3:07PM 128:5,10
3:08PM 128:15,20,25 129:1
3:09PM 129:5,10,15
3:10PM 129:20,25 130:1
3:11PM 130:5,10
3:12PM 130:15,20
3:13PM 130:25 131:1,5,10
3:14PM 131:15,20
3:15PM 131:25 132:1,5
3:16PM 132:10,15,20
3:17PM 132:25 133:1,5
3:18PM 133:10
3:19PM 133:15,20
3:20PM 133:25 134:1,5
3:21PM 134:10,15,20
3:22PM 134:25 135:1,5
3:23PM 135:10,15
3:24PM 135:20,25 136:1,5
3:25PM 136:10,15
3:26PM 136:20,25
3:27PM 137:1,5,10
3:28PM 137:15,20
3:29PM 137:25 138:1,5
3:30PM 138:10,15
3:31PM 138:20,25 139:1

3:32PM 139:5,10
3:33PM 139:15,20
3:34PM 139:25 140:1,5,10
3:35PM 140:15,20
3:36PM 140:25 141:1,5,10
3:37PM 141:15,20
3:38PM 141:25 142:1,5,10
3:39PM 142:15,20
3:40PM 142:25 143:1
3:41PM 143:5,10
3:42PM 143:15,20
3:43PM 143:25 144:1,5,10
3:44PM 144:15,20
3:45PM 144:25 145:1,5
3:46 145:21
3:46PM 145:10,15,20
3:57 145:25
3:57PM 145:25 146:1,5
3:58PM 146:10,15
3:59PM 146:20
30 79:9,10
301H 49:5,11 50:17 101:2 126:16 133:20
313 2:4
320 2:10
3200 2:7 176:4
35 119:20

4

4 3:7
4:00PM 146:25 147:1,5
4:01PM 147:10,15
4:02PM 147:20,25 148:1,5
4:03PM 148:10
4:04PM 148:15,20,25
4:05PM 149:1,5
4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ 1:9
4:06PM 149:10,15,20,25
4:07PM 150:1,5,10
4:08PM 150:15,20,25
4:09PM 151:1,5,10
4:10PM 151:15,20
4:11PM 151:25 152:1,5
4:12PM 152:10,15
4:13PM 152:20,25 153:1
4:14PM 153:5,10,15,20
4:15PM 153:25 154:1,5
4:16PM 154:10,15
4:17PM 154:20,25 155:1
4:18PM 155:5,10
4:19PM 155:15,20,25
4:20PM 156:1,5,10
4:21PM 156:15,20,25 157:1
4:22PM 157:5,10,15
4:23PM 157:20,25 158:1,5 158:10
4:24PM 158:15,20
4:25PM 158:25 159:1,5,10
4:26PM 159:15,20,25 160:1
4:27PM 160:5,10
4:28PM 160:15,20,25 161:1
4:29PM 161:5,10,15
4:30PM 161:20,25 162:1
4:31PM 162:5,10,15
4:32PM 162:20,25 163:1,5
4:33PM 163:10,15
4:34PM 163:20
4:35PM 163:25 164:1,5
4:36PM 164:10,15,20
4:37PM 164:25 165:1,5
4:38PM 165:10,15
4:39PM 165:20,25 166:1,5
4:40PM 166:10,15
4:41PM 166:20,25
4:42PM 167:1,5,10
4:43PM 167:15,20
4:44PM 167:25 168:1
4:45PM 168:5,10,15
4:46PM 168:20,25
4:47PM 169:1,5,10
4:48PM 169:15,20
4:49PM 169:25 170:1,5,10
4:50PM 170:15,20,25
4:51PM 171:1,5,10
4:52PM 171:15,20
4:53PM 171:25 172:1,5,10
4:54 172:17,19
4:54PM 172:15
40 79:10
43 148:24
45 116:3,6 117:15 120:17 121:3 122:5
46 116:3,7 117:15 120:17 121:3 122:6

5

5 171:18
50 79:10
50s 61:20

7

7:30 5:2
70s 15:5
700 2:11
71 124:24
72701 2:14
72702 2:18
73105 2:4
74103 2:11
75 122:16

8

80s 15:5 99:17 136:1
80203 2:8 176:5
81 99:19
82 99:19

9

9-1 6:13 164:1 165:7
9-10 98:6
9-5 15:8 16:3
9-9 49:24
9:10 4:2,5
9:10AM 4:5,10,15,20,25
9:11AM 5:1,5,10,15
9:12AM 5:20,25 6:1,5,10
9:13AM 6:15
9:14AM 6:20,25 7:1
9:15AM 7:5,10,15,20
9:16AM 7:25 8:1,5,10
9:17AM 8:15,20
9:18AM 8:25 9:1,5,10
9:19AM 9:15,20,25
9:20AM 10:1,5,10

9:21AM 10:15,20
9:22AM 10:25 11:1,5
9:23AM 11:10,15
9:24AM 11:20,25 12:1,5
9:25AM 12:10,15,20
9:26AM 12:25 13:1,5
9:27AM 13:10,15
9:28AM 13:20,25 14:1,5
9:29AM 14:10,15
9:30AM 14:20,25
9:31AM 15:1
9:32AM 15:5,10
9:33AM 15:15,20,25 16:1
9:34AM 16:5,10
9:35AM 16:15,20
9:36AM 16:25 17:1,5
9:37AM 17:10,15,20
9:38AM 17:25 18:1,5,10
9:39AM 18:15,20
9:40AM 18:25 19:1
9:41AM 19:5,10,15
9:42AM 19:20
9:43AM 19:25 20:1,5,10
9:44AM 20:15,20
9:45AM 20:25 21:1,5
9:46AM 21:10,15,20
9:47AM 21:25 22:1,5,10
9:48AM 22:15,20
9:49AM 22:25 23:1,5
9:50AM 23:10,15
9:51AM 23:20,25 24:1
9:52AM 24:5,10
9:53AM 24:15,20,25 25:1
9:54AM 25:5,10
9:55AM 25:15,20,25 26:1
9:56AM 26:5,10
9:57AM 26:15,20
9:58AM 26:25 27:1,5,10
9:59AM 27:15,20

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT, in his capacity as the TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,	)
Plaintiff,	)
vs.	)No. 4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ
TYSON FOODS, INC., et al,	)
Defendants.	)

- -

VOLUME II VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF THOMAS C. GINN, produced as a witness on behalf of the State, in the above styled and numbered cause, taken on the 16th day of April 2009, in the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, before me, Marlene Percefull, Certified Shorthand Reporter, duly certified under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Oklahoma.

A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Ms. Kelly Hunter Burch
Asst. Attorney General
313 N.E. 21st Street
Oklahoma City, OK 73105

FOR CARGILL: Ms. Melissa Collins
Attorney at Law
1700 Lincoln Street
Suite 3200
Denver, CO 80203
Mr. John Tucker
Attorney at Law
100 West 5th Street
Suite 400
Tulsa, OK 74103

FOR PETERSON FARMS: Mr. Craig Mirkes
Attorney at Law
320 S. Boston
Suite 700
Tulsa, OK 74103

ALSO PRESENT: Opveon, Derek Anderson

I N D E X

W I T N E S S P A G E

Thomas C. Ginn

Cont'd Direct Examination by Ms. Burch 178
Cross Examination by Ms. Collins 378
Redirect Examination by Ms. Burch 386
Signature Page 389
Reporter's Certificate 390

(Whereupon, the deposition began at 9:09 a.m.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record for Volume II deposition of Dr. Thomas Ginn. Today is April 16, 2009. The time is 9:09 a.m. Would counsel 9:09AM please identify themselves for the record?

MS. BURCH: Kelly Burch for the State of Oklahoma.

MS. COLLINS: Melissa Collins for the Cargill defendants and the witness. 9:09AM

MR. MIRKES: Craig Mirkes for Peterson Farms.

THOMAS GINN,

having first been duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: 9:09AM

CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. BURCH:

Q Would it be accurate to say that you're a limnologist?

A I don't describe myself primarily as a 9:09AM limnologist. I've certainly studied limnology, but I categorize myself generally as an ecotoxicologist, also an ecologist.

Q Does that include stream ecology, is that an area of your expertise? 9:09AM

A Yes, it is. 9:09AM

Q Are you an expert in chemistry?

A To the extent that chemistry is important in evaluation of toxicology, but I am not a chemist but I've had training and experience in chemistry as it 9:10AM relates to toxicology and ecology.

Q Have you ever conducted a fate and transport analysis using a water quality model?

A I'm trying recall. As far as fate and transport analyses, I've done those kinds of analyses using data, 9:10AM measured data on chemical concentrations. I cannot recall if I've been involved in a project that's actually used a model in evaluating fate and transport. I remember a project a number of years ago on the Poplar River in Montana where we used some modeling of 9:11AM both -- both water quality and atmospheric modeling and it involved long range transport in that river, but I would have limited experience in that.

Q Have you ever worked on a case involving pollution from an animal waste source? 9:11AM

A I don't believe so.

Q Have you ever done work on a watershed that had karst geology?

A Yes, I have.

Q Where was that? 9:12AM

A I've worked on a watershed in Missouri, two sites 9:12AM
in Missouri, actually. One of them near Neosho,
Missouri, a natural resource damage case; and another
natural resource damage case near Joplin, Missouri.
Both of these were karst geology. 9:12AM
Q What is it in terms of pollutant transport that's interesting about a watershed that's in a karst geology area?
A From a groundwater perspective, it's an important
consideration because the -- because of the flow, the 9:13AM
complexity of flow patterns in a karst geology are
different, for example, than if it was a, let's say, a
sand aquifer where there is a relatively -- there can
be a relatively uniform flow. In a karst geology,
there can be preferential flow pathways in other areas 9:13AM
where there's very little flow, so it's a more complex
situation as far as the hydrological flow patterns in a
karst situation.
Q Is there anything else about the way water moves in a karst system that is important when you're looking 9:14AM at fate and transport?
A I would not know all differences. I have a
general understanding of the water flow patterns.
Q Is groundwater more vulnerable to pollution from surface activities in a karst geology watershed? 9:14AM

A In many cases that I'm aware of, there is -- in a 9:14AM
karst situation, there can be an interaction of
groundwater and surface water through springs. Springs
seem to be a fairly common factor in karst situations
that I've worked on and so there's that -- I guess that 9:15AM
potential interaction that may be greater in a karst
geological situation than, let's say, a sand aquifer or
deeper aquifer that's not karst.
Q Is groundwater more vulnerable to pollution from surface management of waste in a karst geology area 9:15AM than in a non-karst area?
A I don't know if I could -- I can't answer that in
a general form. I don't know.
Q Do you know whether pollutants which are in groundwater in a karst geology area are more rapidly 9:15AM transported than in a sand-type aquifer through the aquifer itself?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A That also is difficult to answer from a very
general perspective, but I do know that there are -- in 9:16AM
karst geology, one of the possibilities is that there
could be, I think what I termed before, preferential
transport pathways where there is a -- there may be a
relatively rapid flow of water compared to the movement
in a -- you know, in a more dense uniform aquifer. So 9:16AM

there is a potential in certain areas for a fairly 9:16AM
rapid transport and then a very slow transport in other
areas.
Q Did you evaluate fate and transport in the Illinois River Watershed? 9:17AM
A No, I didn't.
Q Did you undertake to identify any particular sources of phosphorus in the Illinois River Watershed?
A No, that was not part of my -- my requested area
of work. 9:17AM
Q So I take it you didn't undertake to quantify the sources of pollution in the Illinois River Watershed either, is that correct?
A No, I did not.
Q When were you retained in this case? 9:17AM
A I was originally retained in September of 2005.
Q Who retained you?
A I was retained by Cargill.
Q Did you work at the direction of an attorney?
A Yes, I did. 9:18AM
Q Who was that?
A Well, the original direction would have been
primarily through Cargill's counsel, Faegre law firm
and it was Del Ehrich.
Q Did you work with any other attorneys? 9:18AM

A At Faegre are you asking? 9:18AM
Q In this case.
A Well, I did have contact with Mark, and I don't
recall his last name, but an attorney at Cargill. I
have had contact with Linda Rockwood at Faegre, with 9:18AM
Kristen Carney at Faegre, and, of course, Melissa
Collins.
Q Is that everyone that you've worked with, all the attorneys you've worked with on this case?
A Well, let me see. I don't recall any other names 9:19AM
at this time.
Q When you were retained, what were you asked specifically to do?
A The original request was to just evaluate the --
the information that was available for the Illinois 9:19AM
River Watershed and to provide -- I would characterize
it as general consulting advice to Cargill on -- on
what -- what those data said, what they looked like,
what was -- what kinds of data were available. It was
a very general request. 9:20AM
Q When you say "evaluating the information available," what do you mean by that? How did you identify -- is it all of the information on the Illinois River Watershed that exists or is it a subset?
A My -- the request to me from the beginning of my 9:20AM

retention was to focus on the biological data that was 9:20AM
available.
Q What did you do to gather up the biological data for the Illinois River Watershed?
A We did some searches through -- library searches, 9:20AM
online reviews, literature reviews, trying to track
down what might be available.
Q Did you contact -- did you gather up any information from the Oklahoma Water Resources Board?
A I don't remember. We may have had some contact, 9:21AM
when I say "we," the team I was working with, with some
state agencies but I'm not sure at this point whether
we actually contacted any state agencies to obtain
data.
Q Has all of the data that you gathered up as part 9:21AM of this evaluation been produced as part of your considered materials?
A I don't know. The data that -- as far as I know,
the data that were in our files were turned over to
counsel and I'm not absolutely sure that all of that 9:22AM
was produced. I just don't know.
MS. COLLINS: Let me just state on the record
that all materials that Dr. Ginn provided to us that
had any relationship to the facts or opinions in his
expert report have been disclosed. 9:22AM

MS. BURCH: Okay. I'm specifically asking 9:22AM
about any information he gathered. Has that all been
produced?
MS. COLLINS: Yes, yes.
MS. BURCH: All of the fish and biological 9:22AM
information he gathered as part of his initial
retention --
MS. COLLINS: Yes.
MS. BURCH: -- he's been describing, that's
all been produced. 9:22AM
MS. COLLINS: Yes, yes.
MS. BURCH: Okay.
MS. COLLINS: There was no independent data
gathering, if that helps.
MS. BURCH: That helps. 9:22AM
Q So on the 14th, which is the day before your deposition, some additional materials were produced to us that were identified as your considered materials. Do you know what was in those considered materials?
A I briefly looked through those materials that were 9:23AM
produced before my deposition.
Q Do you know why those weren't produced earlier?
A No, I don't.
Q What types of information was contained in those considered materials that were produced on the 14th? 9:23AM

A As I recall, there were a series of e-mails that 9:23AM
included transmissions of some attached files of data.
And there were also some -- there were copies of -- of
a presentation that I'd made to -- to the Cargill team
concerning some of the information that I had 9:24AM
collected.
Q Anything else?
A I don't -- I don't remember the specifics. I
looked through it very briefly.
Q Do you know how it came to be that that material 9:24AM was identified as needing to be produced?
A No, I don't. I turned over information that was
in my computer files and I don't know the process that
might have occurred.
Q Did -- is any of the information that you 9:24AM described in the e-mails or otherwise related to the opinions that are contained in your expert report?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, there was a -- there was a large amount of
information there. As I recall, a couple of binders, 9:25AM
and although I can't think of any specific items that
are directly related to my opinions, I don't think I
would be prepared to say that none of it is related to
my opinions. I would have to go through -- if you
wanted to ask me about specific items, I could make a 9:25AM

judgment, I think, on whether or not they were related. 9:25AM
MS. COLLINS: What do you mean by "related"?
Q Information that's, you know, related to his opinions. I don't know.
MS. COLLINS: Let me just state for the 9:25AM
record that all documents that Dr. Ginn relied upon,
considered for his actual opinions in his expert report
in this case, were produced at the time of his report.
The second set of documents relates to maybe some of
the same biological subjects but it was in no way 9:26AM
relied upon or supporting any opinions in his report.
It relates to the early consulting work that he did for
Cargill that happened generally on the biological
systems in the IRW and many of those documents either
are duplicative of and ended up in his report or are 9:26AM
literature that is probably duplicative of what we've
already produced and identified, but in the abundance
of caution and as a courtesy, we wanted to be sure and
reproduce everything that we feel was related
collaboratively, factually to the subject of his 9:27AM
reports even though it wasn't something he relied upon.
MS. BURCH: I guess -- I appreciate that and
did -- I guess the question that I'm trying to
determine is whether any of the information was
considered by him in issuing his expert report? 9:27AM

MS. COLLINS: Well, and considered, you know, 9:27AM
has many different meanings and especially in this
jurisdiction. None of the materials that were produced
on the 14th will be considered or relied upon by him
for any opinion he states in this case. Does that 9:27AM
answer your question? He may have looked at this stuff
at some point three or four years ago, but it is not
something he is relying upon for the opinions in his
report.
MS. BURCH: So all of the material in here, 9:28AM
unless it's been otherwise produced, is material that
he hasn't looked at for three or four years, is that
what you're saying?
MS. COLLINS: Exactly.
MS. BURCH: Okay. Thank you. 9:28AM
Q So after your initial retention when you were asked to evaluate the available information and generally consult on the data, were you able to reach any initial conclusions about biological conditions in the Illinois River? 9:28AM
A I had some conclusions, yes.
Q What were those?
A Well, let's see. I think from looking at some of
the available information at the time, I thought there
were some -- some open issues associated with the 9:29AM

status of biological communities that there had not 9:29AM
been a -- a really systematic survey and rigorous
survey of, for example, fish and benthic
macroinvertebrates throughout the watershed. There
were some pieces of information. There was information 9:29AM
on the presence of some communities, like, for example.
Fresh water mussels that I was able to look at. There
were some available data on -- on sediment toxicity
test results that I looked at. There were also --
there was some data -- some data on sediment chemistry 9:30AM
of metals that I looked at. I did review some
available information on hormones to see if there were
any site specific data but I don't recall finding any
at that time so that was -- that appeared to be a data
gap. I think that's -- that's mainly what I found. 9:30AM
Q Did you look at water quality parameters that have the potential to impact fish or macroinvertebrates?
A I was not -- as I indicated, my responsibilities,
as far as our retention, were during this what I would
call the consulting phase of our retention were 9:31AM
associated with the biological data. There were other
members of our team that were evaluating other aspects,
such as water quality information.
Q At any time during your retention in this matter did you evaluate water quality data that has the 9:31AM

potential to impact fish or macroinvertebrate 9:31AM populations in the Illinois River Watershed?
A I have -- I looked at some water quality data, but
as I said, it was not part of my -- my focus both
during the -- what I would call the consulting phase of 9:32AM
my retention and -- and then that became more -- more
focused when my retention changed to that of an expert
witness. My request for my services was to evaluate
the biological information specifically on benthic
macroinvertebrates and fishes. 9:32AM
Q Were you only evaluating whether such information existed or were you asked to draw some conclusions? I'm talking about in the consulting stage here. Were you just evaluating whether such sufficient information existed to be able to evaluate or were you also asked 9:33AM to give an indication about whether or not the existing information indicated impacts to fish or macroinvertebrate communities?
A I think if -- if there was conclusive -- if I
found conclusive evidence concerning impacts to -- to 9:33AM
macroinvertebrates or fish that, that I -- I -- I would
have expected that -- that that would have been part of
my charge, to be able to evaluate that information.
Q I'm not sure I understand the answer.
Were you asked to give an initial opinion 9:34AM

on whether there were any impacts to fish or 9:34AM macroinvertebrates in the Illinois River Watershed based on the available data?
A I do not recall being specifically asked to give
an initial opinion. Although I did summarize, I 9:34AM
recall, identifying one report by EPA on the Arkansas
part of the Illinois River. And I do recall
presenting, for example, the information in that report
and summarizing the findings of EPA in that regard.
Q Was that the EPA report that's referenced in your 9:35AM expert report?
A Yes, it is.
Q In terms of looking at potential impacts to fish or macroinvertebrate communities, what types of sources of impacts were at issue? 9:35AM
A The information I had at the time was -- was
reading the complaint, which I recall it's been some
time since I've looked at it, but had allegations about
a number of kinds of effects, including -- including
eutrophication, including the releases of certain 9:35AM
metals, and including what are called endocrine
disrupting substances or chemicals, EDCs and effects of
hormones.
Q Did you look into any existing data, literature, research, or studies regarding eutrophication or any 9:36AM

other type of issue that you just identified which were 9:36AM
identified in the complaint?
A I do recall looking into the issue of -- of
hormones and their potential effects in aquatic
environments and their potential sources, so that was 9:36AM
one area that I looked into. I also evaluated the --
and I think -- I think I may have summarized it to
Cargill that available information on -- on the
potential effects of metals in aquatic systems.
Q And what about nutrients, what did you do to look 9:37AM
at nutrients?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, I recall briefing -- briefing Cargill on the
potential -- in a very general sense, the potential
effects of nutrients but -- as far as biological 9:37AM
systems go, but as I indicated, that was the -- looking
at the nutrient dynamics in the system was not an area
during, during the consulting phase of my retention
that I personally was working on. It would have been
other members of our team. 9:38AM
Q How do you evaluate impacts of -- of nutrients on
fish and macroinvertebrates without looking at
nutrients?
A Well, it can be done by evaluating the communities
that are present and evaluating whether or not those 9:38AM

communities appear to be responding to nutrients or 9:38AM
not. And looking at the composition of those
communities and whether or not that species composition
and using the -- the presence of indicator species and
using indices and evaluating whether or not the 9:39AM
communities are responding because the response of
biological communities to nutrients as well as many
other substances is an integrative process. In other
words, it's -- they're not necessarily responding to
a -- a single point in time and as far as the nutrient 9:39AM
concentration at that point in time, but they are
integrating any potential effects of nutrients or other
substances over time and so you can look at those
communities to see if there's evidence of any adverse
effects. 9:39AM
Q So it's your opinion that you can evaluate effects
on fish and macroinvertebrates without understanding
anything about nutrient concentrations or algae
production in the watershed?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 9:40AM
A No, I'm not saying that. I'm not saying that at
all, but I think that looking at -- trying to infer any
potential effects by -- on benthic macroinvertebrates
or fishes based on nutrient concentrations alone is a
very uncertain process. And to me it -- it makes much 9:40AM

more sense to look at those communities themselves to 9:40AM
see whether or not they appear to be responding to
nutrients, how they compare to -- to reference
conditions, for example, and make conclusions based on
the biological characteristics rather than predicting 9:41AM
what might occur based on chemical concentrations.
Q Are phosphorus concentrations an important part of
that analysis?
A Well, phosphorus concentrations are important if
there -- if one is evaluating the potential causal 9:41AM
relationships in evaluating whether or not phosphorus
concentrations appear to be causing a particular
effect. That integration could be important there.
Q And what do you know about phosphorus
concentrations in the Illinois River Watershed? 9:42AM
A I have -- I'm aware of some of the phosphorus
concentration data but it's been a long time since I've
looked at it. I know that there are, I guess, compared
to very undeveloped systems, I would call them, more
pristine systems, there are some areas of elevated 9:42AM
phosphorus levels in the Illinois River.
Q Do you know anything else about phosphorus
concentrations in the Illinois River Watershed?
A Well, I don't -- as I sit here today, I don't have
all the data in mind and the concentrations in mind. 9:42AM

As I said, that was not a -- a primary focus of my 9:42AM
evaluation.
Q It wasn't a primary focus, but was it a focus at
all? Did you evaluate the phosphorus data relationship
to benthic macroinvertebrates or fish in the Illinois 9:43AM
River Watershed?
A No, I did not.
Q Did you evaluate any data on any other water
quality parameters that may impact fish or
macroinvertebrates in the Illinois River Watershed in 9:43AM
relation to the biological data on fish and
macroinvertebrates?
A I do recall looking at the available data on
sediment concentrations of metals. And then I looked
at, I believe it was, some of the State's data on 9:43AM
hormone concentrations that had been measured and
I've -- I think that was the -- those were the
chemistry data types that I looked at.
Q Can dissolved oxygen levels affect
macroinvertebrates, benthic macroinvertebrates and 9:44AM
fish?
A Yes, they can.
Q Did you evaluate the dissolved oxygen information
available in the Illinois River Watershed to determine
whether or not DO levels were affecting 9:44AM

macroinvertebrates or fish? 9:44AM
A No, I didn't look at it from that perspective.
Q Do you know whether any of the streams or Lake Tenkiller are designated as impaired by total phosphorus? 9:44AM
A I don't -- I don't recall precisely the nature of any of the terminology "impaired," but I do recall that there are areas where phosphorus was sufficiently high to -- to warrant a designation as a potential problem.
Q Do you know whether there are areas within the Illinois River Watershed in Oklahoma that are violating water quality standards for phosphorus? 9:45AM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A No, I don't.
Q Do you know whether any of the surface water in Oklahoma within the Illinois River Watershed exceed the total phosphorus criterion? 9:45AM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A When you say "the total phosphorus criterion," as far as the state standard or are you referring to the Scenic River criterion? 9:46AM
Q What's the difference between those two?
A I don't know. As I indicated before, but I -- I'm not sure the differences or the applicability.
Q So do you know whether the total phosphorus water 9:46AM

quality standard applicable to surface water in the Illinois River Watershed is exceeded at any location? 9:46AM
A No, I do not know.
Q Do you know whether dissolved oxygen water quality standards are exceeded in any location in the Illinois River Watershed? 9:46AM
A No, I don't.
Q Do you know whether any water quality standards are exceeded in the Illinois River Watershed?
A I have not evaluated any exceedances of water quality standards. 9:47AM
Q Earlier you indicated that in your initial consultation you had provided Cargill with some general information on impacts of nutrients on biological resources or potential impacts. Do you recall saying that? 9:47AM
A Yes.
Q What type of information did you provide Cargill?
A Some of it may have been -- may be part of a presentation that was produced that we were discussing earlier. I don't recall specifically if it's in that, in those produced materials or not, but I would have provided some general information on the kinds of changes that are typically seen in -- in biological communities if there is -- if there's eutrophication 9:47AM 9:48AM

that is to the degree that it's causing adverse effects. 9:48AM
Q Is there eutrophication in the Illinois River Watershed?
A Well, based on my evaluation of the data in the watershed, I think there are -- there are areas of the overall watershed that are eutrophic and even though I haven't done a precise evaluation of some of the parameters we've talked about, I have seen evidence of eutrophication myself in parts of the watershed. 9:48AM 9:49AM
Q Where did you see evidence of eutrophication?
A I have seen evidence of what appeared to be significant alga growth in some parts of the -- and I'm talking about algae, in this case phytoplankton, in some parts of the drainage system in Arkansas where there were -- where it was very low gradiant and the water seemed to be, essentially, pooled up. In some of those parts I noticed a distinctive green coloration to the water and fairly high turbidity, which may have been associated with both phytoplankton production and increased sediment load in the river. I've seen evidence in what I would call the -- the riverine, the upper portions of Lake Tenkiller, that just based on my observation and -- and some of the data I recall seeing would be most likely classified as eutrophic in that 9:49AM 9:50AM

area. 9:50AM
Q Are there any other areas that you recall that you've actually seen where you identified eutrophication?
A As I remember looking at parts of -- of either -- and I believe it may have been Osage Creek and one other creek in, in Arkansas I saw some areas there more near the -- the towns of -- of Springdale, I think it was, and I can't remember the name of the other town, where I saw some evidence of fairly abundant filamentous algae growing on some rocks in that area that indicated that there may be nutrient sources nearby. 9:50AM 9:51AM
Q Did you -- I noticed in your expert report you identified a number of streams in the Illinois River Watershed. Do you recall that part of your report, the list of streams? 9:51AM
A Yes, I do.
Q Were some of those streams located in Oklahoma?
A Yes, they were. 9:52AM
Q Did you go out and visit any of those streams?
A I visited the -- the drainage area on two occasions and I did visit a number of the streams that are listed in the report, not all of them.
Q Did you visit Flint Creek? 9:52AM

A Yes. 9:52AM
Q Did you see any evidence of eutrophication in Flint Creek?
A I don't recall. I don't recall.
Q Did you visit the Illinois River? 9:52AM
A The Illinois River I did.
Q We talked about the riverine portion of the Illinois River, did you visit upstream locations?
A Yes, I did.
Q Did you identify any eutrophication in the Illinois River? 9:53AM
A I didn't -- as I visited the sites, I didn't see -- just visually for where I visited, I didn't see any areas where there was obvious eutrophication, but that was based on my own observations. I saw areas 9:53AM where some areas where water transparency seemed to be reduced, but as I indicated before, it appeared to me that it -- I couldn't tell whether it was mainly a contribution of suspended sediments or possibly phytoplankton. So I didn't -- I can't say that I saw, 9:53AM with the exception of the riverine portions of Lake Tenkiller, any obvious evidence of eutrophic conditions.
Q What time of year were you visiting the Illinois River Watershed? 9:54AM

A The first visit would have been in September of 9:54AM 2005. It would have been in the late summer. And the second visit was May of 2006.
Q When did you observe the eutrophication in the riverine portion of Lake Tenkiller? 9:54AM
A I believe that would have been on the first trip I mentioned in September of 2005.
Q Do you recall any other locations during your site visit where you identified eutrophication?
A No, I don't. 9:55AM
Q Did you state earlier that you had also reviewed some literature that indicated eutrophication was an issue in the Illinois River Watershed?
A I don't know what you mean there.
Q Are there any other sources which you have reviewed, whether they be literature, research, government studies or reports, that indicate eutrophication is occurring in the Illinois River Watershed? 9:55AM
A Well, I do recall reading some -- reading reports 9:55AM and -- and I've seen references to -- to elevated phosphorous levels and some potential problems with eutrophication in the watershed. I recall reading some reports, although I don't remember the specifics, about nutrient sources in some of the sewage discharges in 9:56AM

the systems and potential elevated phosphorus levels 9:56AM and -- and eutrophic conditions.
Q Did you review any, any literature, reports, research, that indicate poultry waste application is contributing phosphorus to the Illinois River Watershed? 9:56AM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A No, that has not been something that I've been requested to evaluate.
Q Did you see any reference in your literature review to that issue? 9:57AM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, in my literature review, I was not concerned with that. That -- I can tell you that is part of our team effort during the consulting phase of our 9:57AM retention, that there were other members of the team looking at those issues. So I'm aware of that there were -- there were issues associated with poultry litter and there were individuals evaluating those data. 9:57AM
Q What do you mean you are aware that there were issues?
MS. COLLINS: I'm going to direct you not to answer this line of questions because it relates to the work product of a consulting expert. It has not been 9:58AM

disclosed in this case and we have no obligation to do 9:58AM so.
MS. BURCH: But he is a testifying expert in the case and he has had access to that information.
MS. COLLINS: It in no way was considered and 9:58AM relied upon for any opinion in this case.
MS. BURCH: He issues opinions about poultry contribution.
MS. COLLINS: It is in no way related, relied upon, or considered by him in any opinion he's offered 9:58AM in this case.
MS. BURCH: Yeah, okay. Well, I think I -- well, I'll think about this. We may have to get the judge on the phone for this one.
MS. COLLINS: Okay. 9:58AM
Q In your literature review, did you see any information regarding poultry waste application contributions to the Illinois River Watershed?
A As I indicated, that was just not -- that was not the subject of my review or my collection of 9:59AM information.
Q I understand that you -- there's a presentation which has been produced now to us which may set forth the information provided Cargill on the potential impacts of nutrients. Can you describe what the 10:00AM

potential impacts of nutrients in a eutrophic system 10:00AM
are on benthic macroinvertebrates and fish?
A Well, eutrophication can, if it's sufficiently
high, can affect the -- the kinds and abundances of
both macroinvertebrates and fish that inhabit an 10:00AM
aquatic system. It can result in community shifts to
organisms that are more tolerant of -- of low dissolved
oxygen, for example, that are more tolerant of the --
the enrichment of organic matter in sediments when
compared to an oligotrophic system, so it is -- it can 10:01AM
be a species shift when the effect of eutrophication
become pronounced severe, the community can shift to --
both macroinvertebrates and fishes can shift to one
that is dominated by a few tolerant species with a
central disappearance of intolerant species. 10:01AM
Q Anything else happen?
A Well, there could be secondary effects associated
with changes in -- in food supply. There can be very
primary effects associated with actual mortalities of
fishes, for example, which can change the fisheries 10:02AM
potential. At the extreme end, in what I would call
more mildly eutrophic there can actually be a
stimulation of growth in a biomass of certain
communities, enhanced growth because of enhanced food
supply without an actual change in species composition. 10:02AM

transparency and water color, that there appeared to be 10:05AM
a dramatic gradient as I moved down towards the dam
from that riverine portion, the water transparency was
much greater at the lower end of the reservoir than it
was at the upper riverine portion of the reservoir. So 10:05AM
to me, that's why I could not, just based on my
observations, make that generalized statement about the
overall eutrophic state of the reservoir.
Q Do you know what the eutrophic state of the
reservoir is? 10:05AM
A I've seen -- I've seen classifications where at
least -- at least parts of the reservoir are indicated
to be eutrophic and I seem to recall other information,
and I can't recall the specifics, where other parts of
the reservoir may be classified as mesotrophic and so I 10:06AM
don't know -- I don't have in mind any recollection of
some overall classification of the reservoir.
Q Would you have in mind a classification of parts
of the reservoir, the trophic status?
A Well, I seem to recall that -- that based on some 10:06AM
information that I had seen that certainly I think the
upper part was classified as eutrophic and the rest, I
don't have a good recollection of.
Q When you say "upper part," what do you mean?
A I mean more the riverine par, the end of the 10:07AM

So it's a continuum ranging from -- ranging from what I 10:03AM
would call moderate changes in productivity and growth
at one end to adverse effects as significant as
lethality at the other end.
Q When you say "it's a continuum," what do you mean 10:03AM
by that?
A Well, I mean that there is not an absolute change
but the -- if you were to look at a scale ranging from
oligotrophic to hypereutrophic, that range that we
talked about yesterday, and evaluate the biological 10:03AM
responses along that, that range of eutrophication,
that there's not an absolute line from a biological
perspective where you can say that bulleted point there
are no effects, above this point there are effects on
organisms, but the actual effects that are -- that may 10:04AM
be measured or observed in a system like that would
show a gradual change over that entire range.
Q Thinking about Lake Tenkiller as a reservoir, did
you indicate that you believed that Lake Tenkiller is a
eutrophic reservoir? 10:04AM
A No, I didn't say that. I said that based on my
observation in visiting Lake Tenkiller that there
appeared to be evidence of eutrophication in the -- the
upper end, the riverine portion. I did notice, based
on just my visual observations and looking at water 10:05AM

reservoir closest to where the Illinois River enters 10:07AM
the reservoir.
Q Do you know how far that riverine section that we
are discussing extends into the reservoir?
A No, I don't. I mean, it would be based on the -- 10:07AM
when the -- when that part of the reservoir starts to
broaden out more and widen out into what someone might
call the main reservoir, that would be the, I guess,
the transition from what I would term the riverine
portion of the reservoir that is a narrower channel and 10:07AM
more river like, so to speak, even though it's part of
the reservoir than the main body of the reservoir.
Q What source of data are you -- are you
referencing?
A I can't -- as I said, I can't recall. It just -- 10:08AM
I remember reading some information and I'm -- it's a
fairly vague recollection at this point but I do recall
seeing that.
Q Okay. Let's go ahead and take a break.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record, 10:08AM
10:08 a.m.
(Following a short recess, proceedings
continued on the record.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record,
10:36 a.m. 10:36AM

Q When you were retained as a consulting expert in 10:36AM this matter, did you work as a part of a team of consulting experts?
A Yes, I did.
Q Was that -- were the members of that team employed 10:37AM by Exponent?
A Yes, they were.
Q Do you supervise any of the team members?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A No, I do not. 10:37AM
Q Do they work with you in the Arizona office?
A No, they do not.
Q How was the team assembled?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. Can you just explain what you mean by "team" because there are 10:37AM different interpretations of that?
Q Well, I asked him if he was a part of a team and he said yes so --
MS. COLLINS: Do you mean his team of people who helped him or generally, like his equals? You know 10:38AM what I'm saying?
MS. BURCH: Everything, the whole team.
MS. COLLINS: Okay.
Q Do you remember the question?
A No, I don't now. Please. 10:38AM

Q Okay. How was the team assembled? 10:38AM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I think, as I recall, in 2005, when we started to understand some of the issues in the case, there were -- there were actually two what I call teams as 10:38AM part of those consulting efforts. There was a team associated more with the -- the transport fate source dynamics issues and that team was assembled with people that were addressing those kinds of issues. And then I had a team working with me that was biologists that 10:39AM were working with me on some of the biological issues that I have discussed.
Q Okay. Who was in charge of the fate -- transport fate source and dynamics part of the work?
MS. COLLINS: And I'm going to direct you not 10:39AM to answer that question because again it gets at the work of a consulting expert that is considered work product and privileged and not been disclosed and will not be disclosed in this case.
Q Are you refusing to answer? 10:40AM
A Well, I'm respecting the advice of counsel on that issue.
Q And not answering?
A And not answering, yes.
Q And who's in charge of the biologists? 10:40AM

A I was in charge of the biologists. 10:40AM
Q Who was the initial point of contact for the retention of Exponent in this matter?
A I was contacted initially, but I believe there was another individual contacted, too, on this matter. 10:40AM
Q Were you the first point of contact?
A I think the contacts were almost simultaneous.
Q And the other individual who was contacted initially in this matter, is that the same person that did the transport fate source and dynamics analysis? 10:41AM
MS. COLLINS: Again, I'm going to direct you not to answer that because it relates to the work of a consulting expert that's privileged.
A Upon advice of counsel I will not answer that.
Q Did you ever coordinate your work in this case 10:41AM with the individual who was responsible for the transport fate source and dynamics part of the analysis?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. Misstates testimony. 10:41AM
Q I'm just asking did you ever coordinate?
A I -- even though we were doing separate work elements, we did conduct joint presentations and meetings with the clients, so to the extent that that involves coordination, yes, we did. 10:42AM

Q Other than joint meetings, what other work did you 10:42AM do with the team responsible for transport fate source and dynamics?
A I was aware of what they were doing, although I was not participating in those analyses and any 10:42AM determinations or any activities they were doing, I was aware in a general sense of what they were doing, so to the extent that that would be coordination, then, yes, we were.
Q How were you aware of what they were doing? 10:42AM
A I was the overall project manager at the time for the project, so even though I had a clearly defined area of responsibility and we were essentially working as independent consulting experts with our teams, just by the nature of being the project manager, I was aware 10:43AM of the activities that were ongoing.
Q Did you have any participation in the selection of the team members for the transport fate source and dynamics part?
A Yes. 10:43AM
Q And what was your -- what were your responsibilities in that regard?
A It was only voicing my approval for the team members that were -- the personnel that were a part of that team upon the suggestion of the team leader. 10:44AM

Q Were you involved in any way in either identifying 10:44AM or approving the work that the team was responsible for carrying out?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. Vague.
A Yeah, in any way. I don't recall being involved 10:45AM in any approval or any of those activities. I -- although when you say "in any way," it's hard for me to answer, but I can tell you that the work that that particular team was doing, the discussions were between that team leader and the client as far as the work that 10:45AM they were doing and it was not under my purview to, to approve it.
Q Stepping back and being more general, you're the project manager, is that correct?
A Yes. 10:45AM
Q Did you have any responsibility for managing that project?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. Vague.
A For managing it, yes. For -- I had responsibility to see that we were on time, within budget, that we had 10:46AM appropriate personnel assigned to the project and that was pretty much the extent of my management responsibilities.
Q Did you have any idea what they were working on?
A Yes, I did. 10:46AM

Q Were there reports to you, status reports to you 10:46AM on what they were doing?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Yes, there were.
Q Did you receive any preliminary results from their 10:46AM analysis?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. Can you be more specific about "receive"?
MS. BURCH: I don't think so.
Q Do you understand the question? 10:47AM
A Well, I'll do my best. I was aware of -- of preliminary results that they had developed based on, on meetings and presentation of some of those results.
Q Was there a scope of work for the project?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. If you're 10:47AM asking for a scope of work as to the -- the part of the project that was not related to the biological aspects that Dr. Ginn was responsible for, then you are seeking privileged information. And to that extent, I'd direct the witness not to answer. 10:48AM
A Could you repeat that statement, please? I'm talking about the most recent statement.
(Whereupon, the court reporter read back the previous statement.)
MS. COLLINS: I think she asked about the 10:48AM

scope of work was the question. 10:49AM
A I did. I'm getting confused here, but I was requesting --
MS. COLLINS: You requested my --
A -- what you had said. 10:49AM
MS. COLLINS: Okay.
A I cannot recall if we had a scope of work or not. I just -- I just do not remember that specifically.
Q Were you involved at all in developing the scope of the work that the transport fate source and dynamics 10:49AM team conducted?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't recall being -- if there was a scope developed. And as I -- as I stated, I don't -- I cannot recall a scope of work. And if there was one, 10:50AM it was -- it would have been the product of that team, although if there was one, I would have been involved, most likely, in -- in reviewing it and assembling an overall scope with my activities involved.
Q And really what I'm trying to understand with this 10:50AM question in particular is without understanding what the team, what work they were going to do, how would you be involved in selection of the team members?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A As I indicated before, only by approving a 10:51AM

recommendation as part of -- on the part of the team 10:51AM leader for possible members of that team.
Q And you had no idea what work they would be performing, is that correct?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form, misstates 10:51AM testimony.
A No. I -- that's not true. I knew the general issues that they would be dealing with so I knew what kinds of -- of specific expertise might be needed in that area. 10:51AM
Q Are you willing to answer questions about the analysis of the transport fate source and dynamic team's work?
MS. COLLINS: Same objection. I direct the witness not to answer any questions in that subject 10:52AM area or any other subject of the work of a consulting expert beyond the subjects that are stated as Dr. Ginn's opinions in the expert report that was disclosed in this case.
A Based on advice of counsel then I would not be 10:52AM willing to respond to those questions.
Q Did you do work with any other consulting experts in this case?
MS. COLLINS: Again, I direct you not to answer any questions related to the work of consulting 10:53AM

experts, any consulting experts in this case on the 10:53AM
grounds that it is privileged.
A Upon advice of counsel, I will not answer that
question.
Q How were you able, in preparing your expert report 10:53AM
in this case, to segregate out what you learned from
the work of the other consulting experts in this case
from what you knew in preparing your expert report?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, my retention as an expert consultant, 10:53AM
testifying expert, was based on a defined set of -- of
goals and a defined piece of work in looking at the
biological communities, specifically benthic
macroinvertebrates and fishes and so I -- that's what I
dealt with. I did not -- I restricted my evaluations 10:54AM
and the development of my expert report to those --
those issues and I did not consider any of those
other -- any of those other issues and analyses that
you mentioned.
Q Do you offer any opinions in your report about the 10:54AM
impacts of poultry litter application in the watershed?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A In my report, I do discuss some analyses I did as
far as the -- the density of upstream poultry houses
and the relationship to fish communities so to that 10:55AM

extent, I am -- I am doing some of those analyses. 10:55AM
Q Do you offer any opinions in your report regarding
the impacts of urban influences on the Illinois River
Watershed?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form, vague. 10:55AM
A I do, in that I do have some analyses of the
relationships of -- of the percent of urban land use in
subbasins and whether or not there are correlations
with biological communities.
Q And is it -- is it your testimony that, that those 10:55AM
potential sources, urban and poultry, were not in any
way dealt with by the consulting experts?
MS. COLLINS: Hold on. Object to form and
I'm directing the witness not to answer because again
you are asking him questions about the subject matter 10:56AM
of the work product of consulting experts in this case.
A Upon advice of counsel, I will not answer that
question.
Q I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as
Exhibit 2 to your deposition. Have you ever seen this 10:56AM
document before?
A Yes, I have.
Q When did you see it?
A I saw this document just before -- during our last
break and before I came in here. 10:57AM

Q So you hadn't seen it before today? 10:57AM
A I think I saw it very briefly two days ago, but I
have not had the opportunity to study it or evaluate
its contents.
Q You see at the top of it that it is labeled as a 10:57AM
consulting expert redaction log?
A Yes, I do.
Q Are you a consulting expert in this case?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I was during -- during a certain period of my 10:58AM
retention.
Q When did you no longer -- when did you become a
testifying expert in the case?
A That transition occurred, as I recall, at the time
of the release of the state's expert reports. 10:58AM
Q Do you recall when that was?
A That would have been, I think, about May of 2008.
Q I believe earlier when we were discussing the
subject matter contained within the production that
occurred on April 14th of this year, the day before 10:59AM
your deposition, and actually the production
occurred -- I received it on the morning of the 15th at
7:30 in the morning, but do you know which documents
I'm talking about?
A Yes, I do. 10:59AM

Q Okay. Do you understand that this redaction log 10:59AM
that is attached, I mean, that is labeled as Exhibit
No. 2 is a redaction log for that production?
A Yes, that's my understanding.
Q Is it your belief that all of the material 10:59AM
produced on April 15th was material which you had not
considered in any way for three or four years?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. It was
produced on the 14th, but go ahead and answer the
question. 11:00AM
A I can't say that it was information I had not
considered. I may have considered it. It was not
information, based on my fairly quick review of it,
that I was relying on for the preparation of my expert
report. 11:00AM
Q Would you turn to Page 2 of the redaction log?
Would you look at the line that starts Ginn 007038?
A Yes.
Q Who is Kristen Shults Carney?
A She was formerly an attorney working with the 11:00AM
Faegre law firm.
Q It appears that she is an author of an e-mail to
you, is that correct?
A Yes.
Q And that was in June of 2007? 11:01AM

A Yes. 11:01AM
Q The title of that e-mail appears to be the 2005 BUMPs report, is that correct?
A Yes, it is.
Q Does it look like there is an attachment? 11:01AM
A It does appear that way.
Q Does the attachment appear to be 2005 BUMP data?
A That's correct.
Q Did you consider the BUMP data in your expert report? 11:01AM
A I considered the BUMPs report. The actual data I don't have a recollection of what that -- what that particular data is.
Q Would you look at Ginn 007053?
A Yes. 11:02AM
Q Do you see that that was an e-mail sent to you from Sheryl Law?
A Yes.
Q Who is Sheryl Law?
A Sheryl Law is a -- the scientist that works for 11:02AM Exponent at the Belview, Washington, office.
Q The scientist?
A A scientist.
Q A scientist?
A Yes. 11:02AM

Q Did she work as part of your team? 11:02AM
A Yes, she did.
Q Is she an attorney?
A No, she's not.
Q What are her responsibilities? 11:02AM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. Vague.
Q If it helps, what are her responsibilities in this case?
A In this case, okay.
MS. COLLINS: Now, again, I'm going to direct 11:03AM the witness not to answer to the extent that -- of any information that relates to work, other than that that you are responsible for as either the opinions that are ultimately disclosed in your expert report or as your role as a project manager as you described earlier in 11:03AM approving the general allocation of team members for other consulting experts.
A Ms. Law pretty much throughout this project has provided support to me in reviewing information and collecting information for me on various aspects of, 11:04AM of -- of my activities and has been a -- more of a general support environmental scientist in those areas.
Q Did she do any data analysis for you?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A She may have done some data compilations but she 11:04AM

did not do statistical analyses, as I recall. 11:04AM
Q The subject, the title of this e-mail is called a reference lake, is that correct?
A Yes, it is.
Q And it looks like there's an attachment to that 11:05AM e-mail that's called reservoir sampling SOP, is that correct?
A That's correct.
Q Did you look at reference lakes as part of your analysis and opinions in this case? 11:05AM
A Only to the extent of looking at the -- the reference lake that was used by the state in the comparisons with Lake Tenkiller.
Q Did you look at the state's reservoir sampling SOP? 11:05AM
A I -- I think I did look at it. I don't recall any specifics of that SOP today. But I -- it was sent to me by Ms. Law and I would assume I looked at the SOP.
Q Is the subject reference lake and reservoir sampling SOP not relevant to your opinions in this 11:06AM case?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A No, I'm not saying that, I'm just saying that I don't recall the specifics of that SOP at this time.
Q Would you look at Ginn 7037? Do you see that? 11:06AM

A Yes, I do. 11:06AM
Q Is that another e-mail from Sheryl Law in 2007?
A Yes, it is.
Q And it's an e-mail to you?
A Yes, it is. 11:06AM
Q Do you see the title of that e-mail is called phytoplankton and BMI?
A Yes, I do.
Q Did you, in the course of your expert opinion in this case, render any opinion about either 11:06AM phytoplankton or BMI?
A I did not develop any opinions with regard to phytoplankton. BMI, I assume, stands for benthic macroinvertebrates and they were a part of my -- my work in this case. 11:07AM
Q Would you look at Ginn 7033. Do you see that one?
A Yes, I do.
Q Is that an e-mail in 2006 from Sheryl Law to you?
A Yes, it is.
Q And what is the title of that e-mail? 11:07AM
A Number of poultry houses and flocks.
Q Did you look at the number of poultry houses in the Illinois River Watershed as part of the preparation of your expert report?
A I did as I looked at the -- the density of poultry 11:07AM

houses and various subbasins of Illinois River 11:08AM
Watershed.
Q Would you look at Ginn 007030, the top of that second page?
A Yes, I see it. 11:08AM
Q Is that an e-mail -- is that an e-mail in June of 2006 between -- from Kristen Carney to you?
A Yes, it is.
Q Does it appear to relate to a list of data collected by the State? 11:08AM
A Yes, it does.
Q Did you review any data collected by the State as part of issuing your expert report in this case?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Yes, I did. 11:09AM
Q Would you look at Ginn 007003, which is on the first page about four lines down?
A Yes, I see it is.
Q Who is Linda Ziccardi?
A Linda Ziccardi is a biologist in the Boulder, 11:09AM
Colorado, office of Exponent.
Q And did she work as part of your team in this case?
A She did during the consulting phase of my
retention. 11:09AM

Q And what did she do as part of that team? 11:09AM
A She was assisting me in collecting available
information in various activities, but just working as
a -- as a team member on assembling and presenting
biological information. 11:10AM
Q What do you mean by "presenting biological information"?
A Developing summaries or presentations based on
what information we were able to find.
Q Did Linda Ziccardi prepare the PowerPoint which is 11:10AM attached to this particular e-mail called Eco Cargill?
A She worked with me in the preparation of that
presentation.
Q Is she an attorney?
A No, she's not. 11:10AM
Q Is the PowerPoint presentation Eco Cargill.ppt the presentation that you have produced to this date in this matter?
A I believe that is the presentation that I saw as
far as the compilation of produced materials. 11:11AM
Q Who is Sonja Beamon, referenced on Ginn 007005?
A I don't know. I don't recall.
Q When the title of this e-mail is exhibits, do you know what those exhibits are?
A No, I don't. 11:11AM

Q Who is Brad Bessinger referenced on Ginn 007007? 11:12AM
A Mr. Bessinger was a former employee of Exponent in
its Portland, Oregon, office.
Q Was he a part of your team?
A No, he was not. 11:12AM
Q Did he work on this case?
A Yes, he did.
Q What did he do?
MS. COLLINS: Again, I direct you not to
answer to the extent the question calls for information 11:12AM
related to the work of a consulting expert and
unrelated to your opinions disclosed in your expert
report in this case.
A Upon advice of counsel, I will not answer that
question. 11:13AM
Q It looks like this e-mail was an e-mail to you on March 2nd, 2006, is that correct?
A That is correct.
Q And it was copied to Brooke Redding, is that correct? 11:13AM
A Yes.
Q Who is Brooke Redding?
A Brooke Redding was a former employee of Exponent
at our Boulder, Colorado, office.
Q Did she do any work on this case? 11:13AM

A Yes, she did. 11:13AM
Q What did she do?
MS. COLLINS: Again, I direct you not to
answer to the extent that the question calls for
information related to the work of a consulting expert 11:13AM
and not related to your work in the opinions that were
disclosed in your expert report in this case.
A Based on advice of counsel, I will not answer that
question.
Q The title of this e-mail is called Cargill, is 11:14AM that correct?
A Yes.
Q And there's an attachment called Tables.doc?
A That is correct.
Q Do you know what the subject matter of this e-mail 11:14AM was or what the -- what is in the Tables?
A No, I do not.
Q Would you look at Ginn 007015?
A Yes, I see it.
Q Is that an e-mail from Kristen Carney in 2006 to 11:14AM you?
A It is.
Q Is the subject matter of that e-mail the title of the e-mail Cargill/Status Report Deadline?
A Yes, it is. 11:15AM

Q Did you do regular status reports to Cargill in 11:15AM this matter?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Yes, yes, we did.
Q And when you did status reports, did you, as the 11:15AM project manager, do reports on both the biology work as well as the transport fate source and dynamics work?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. I'm sorry. Can we read back the question?
(Whereupon, the court reporter read 11:15AM back the previous question.)
MS. COLLINS: I'll direct you in the same way to the extent that the question calls for information about work conducted by consulting experts, don't answer the question as privileged information. 11:16AM
A And could you repeat the question, please?
Q When you did status reports, and by "you," I mean you. Did you do reports to Cargill on the biology work as well as the transport fate and source and dynamics work. 11:17AM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form, vague.
A Well, upon advice of counsel, I don't think I can answer that question.
Q As the project manager, did you give status reports to Cargill on the entire project that Exponent 11:17AM

was doing for Cargill? 11:17AM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Yes, I did.
Q And did those reports include information on the status of the work for the transport fate source and 11:17AM dynamics portion of the analysis?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Yes, it did.
Q Did those reports contain substantive information about the project? 11:18AM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. Which project?
MS. BURCH: The project he was responsible for managing.
A We're referring to the -- what I termed the consulting phase of our retention on this case. The 11:18AM reports that were submitted on a monthly basis, as I remember, with the invoices, contained a list of the -- the activities that had been conducted during the prior month.
Q And that would be all the work done by Exponent in 11:18AM the case?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I couldn't say that it's all the work. It was intended to be a highlight of the activities, but it certainly was not intended to describe all of the work. 11:19AM

Q Was it intended to describe part of the work of 11:19AM the transport fate source and dynamics team?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. You can answer.
A It included the highlights of the work conducted 11:19AM by both teams working during the consulting phase of this project.
Q Did you produce all of the status reports that you prepared as part of your work in this case?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 11:19AM
A I don't know.
Q Did you provide them to counsel as part of your production to the State?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't know if they were provided to counsel or 11:19AM not.
Q Would you look at Ginn 007017? Is that an e-mail from Kristen Carney to you in 2006?
A Yes, it is.
Q The title of that e-mail, Data Collected by the 11:20AM State NRD Case?
A Yes, it is.
Q Do you know what this e-mail is in reference to?
A Not other than what its title says.
Q Did you consider any data collected by the State 11:21AM

as part of it's NRD case in issuing your opinions in 11:21AM this case?
A Yes, I did.
Q Would you look at Ginn 007022?
A Yes. 11:21AM
Q Is that an e-mail from Kristen Carney to you in 2006?
A It is.
Q And can you help me with the pronunciation of the person's name who is listed under copyees? 11:21AM
A I believe there's a misspelling there. As I recall, that person's name is Quynh Sperrazza, but that's my recollection of her name.
Q And who is Quynh Sperrazza?
A I think she was -- she was an employee of the 11:21AM Faegre law firm at the Minneapolis office.
Q Is the title of this e-mail Work Plans?
A Yes, it is.
Q What work plans did you produce in this case?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 11:22AM
A I don't recall work plans and I don't know specifically what -- what this e-mail is referring to.
Q Did counsel prepare any work plans to guide your work in this matter?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 11:22AM

A I don't recall that they did. 11:22AM
Q And did you prepare any work plans to guide your work in this matter?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't recall, as I sit here, the preparation of 11:22AM work plans.
Q Would you look at Ginn 007027, please?
A Yes.
Q Is that an e-mail from Rick Nelson to you in 2006?
A Yes, it is. 11:23AM
Q Who is Rick Nelson?
A Rick Nelson is an editor in our Boulder, Colorado, office, the Exponent Boulder, Colorado, office.
Q What does an editor do?
A An editor compiles and formats written documents 11:23AM and conducts a review to determine the -- whether the document meets our editorial standards as far as is it clear, is it -- does it present an appropriate flow of thoughts, that they provide advice back to authors concerning potential revisions to the document based on 11:24AM their editorial comments.
Q And did he do that type of work for you in this matter?
A Yes.
Q Did he do that type of work on your expert report? 11:24AM

A No, he did not. 11:24AM
Q Did someone else?
A Yes.
Q And who is that?
A The work -- editorial work for me was done by Ms. 11:24AM Patti, P-A-T-T-I, Warden, W-A-R-D-E-N.
Q Did Patti Warden make any substantive changes to your expert report?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Not that I recall. They were relatively minor 11:24AM editorial comments.
Q Would you look at -- well, I have one more question about that particular e-mail, Ginn 007027. What is a biological investigation SAP?
A SAP is a sampling and analysis plan, which would 11:25AM be an attachment to -- typically to a description of a -- of a field or a laboratory effort that would provide a -- a description of what that -- what that sampling or that investigation would look like.
Q Did you, as part of your work in this case, 11:26AM prepare a biological investigation SAP?
A Yes. We were requested by the client to -- to provide a description if the studies were to be conducted in the Illinois River Watershed with regard to this case, what would -- how would we envision -- 11:26AM

what would we envision those studies looking like. And 11:26AM I think that that document is what's being referenced here.
Q Did you actually conduct the biological investigation, any biological investigation in the 11:26AM Illinois River Watershed?
A No, we did not.
Q Why not?
A I was not requested by the client to do any such investigation. 11:27AM
Q Was there a discussion about whether or not you should do the biological investigation described in the SAP?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't recall any discussion. I recall that we 11:27AM were requested to produce the document that I've described. It was not intended to be something that we were necessarily planning on doing. It was as I described. The client asked us if something were to be done, a sampling program, what do you think it would 11:27AM look like. We submitted it and submitted the document, and as I recall, there was no response to it -- to me.
Q Why would you do additional biological investigation in this watershed?
A At the time in 2005, 2006, my assessment was that 11:28AM

there were significant data gaps as far as the 11:28AM available information on the Illinois River Watershed. At that time, I was not aware of the nature of the studies being conducted by the State. I don't recall being aware of them. And so I think that this document 11:29AM was mainly developed just then what kinds of studies might be done to fill those data gaps.
Q What kinds of studies did you identify?
A It's been a long time since I've looked at that and I think that it -- if I could see it I could walk 11:29AM you through it, but I do -- I seem to recall it involved benthic macroinvertebrate studies. It may have involved sediment toxicity tests, and other aspects but I don't remember the details of it.
Q Did you set out any requirements for reference -- 11:30AM reference sampling locations?
A I don't remember.
Q Do you remember if you proposed any fish collection?
A I just -- I don't remember that, if fish were a 11:30AM part of it.
Q Why would you consider doing sediment toxicity sampling?
A Because based on my recollection of the complaint in this matter, there were allegations, I believe, 11:30AM

about potential releases of metals and some metals 11:30AM
being of a high affinity for sediment particles and
accumulates in the sediments. And so based on -- and
based on a lack of available information and based on
that potential issue in the case, I think I may have 11:31AM
had sediment toxicity tests. As I said, I can't
remember for sure but if sediment toxicity tests were
part of that, that would have been the reason.
Q Okay. Would you look at Ginn 007029?
A Yes. 11:31AM
Q Is that an e-mail from Sheryl Law to you in 2006?
A It is.
Q And is the title of that document Peacheater Creek?
A Yes, it is. 11:31AM
Q Did you do any analysis pertaining to Peacheater Creek in your expert report?
A I believe there was -- there was one sampling
station by the State and I don't recall whether it was
fish or macroinvertebrates that was located on 11:32AM
Peacheater Creek.
Q And did you analyze that as part of your preparation of your expert report in this case?
A Yes, I did.
MS. BURCH: This is a question for counsel. 11:32AM

It appears to me there are a number of e-mails on here 11:32AM
which have been redacted which relate to his work that
he did for his expert report. It also appears to me
that there are some of the e-mails that relate to
his -- to his work as the project manager at Exponent 11:33AM
for their work in this case. I don't -- I don't think
there's a valid basis to claim work product or
attorney/client privilege on a number of these e-mails
and I'd be willing to confer with you on it but, you
know? 11:33AM
MS. COLLINS: Okay. This is not intended to
reflect that we withheld these documents. In fact, all
of these attachments and e-mails have been produced.
There's just certain information on them that was
redacted that is not related in any way to his expert 11:33AM
report or opinions in this case. You know, I'm happy
to go through those with you. And the reason that we
determined to produce this now is because the subject
matter is related, related to the opinions in his
expert report. However, it is our position that none 11:34AM
of the information produced in connection with this
redaction log was considered by or relied upon by Dr.
Ginn in forming his opinions as a testifying expert.
This reflects early considerations by him as a
consulting expert. 11:34AM

MS. BURCH: Would there be a point in 11:34AM
conferring more on this?
MS. COLLINS: Absolutely.
MS. BURCH: Okay. Well, the problem is,
obviously, we're in the middle of a deposition and you 11:34AM
guys have produced this redaction log and the material
on this to me on the day of, the first day of the
deposition. And so, you know, I'm going to reserve the
right to seek a ruling from the court on this and
potentially examine the witness again, if necessary. 11:35AM
The same -- the same issue with regard to not answering
questions relating to his work as a project manager for
Exponent work on this case, specifically related to any
analysis done on fate and transport or source or
dynamics. It seems to me that he oversaw those 11:35AM
projects. I mean, he was the project manager and he
did status reports on them. I believe that we're
entitled to discover the substance of that work. I
just -- there's no privilege attached to it. Is there
any point on conferring on that further? 11:35AM
MS. COLLINS: Well, I think when you -- your
use of the term project manager is perhaps different
from what Dr. Ginn means as a project manager. He was
the project manager in the sense that he was in charge
of billing and a point of contact and the one 11:36AM

responsible for, as he stated earlier, making sure that 11:36AM
the -- that they were within budget and those aspects.
He was not responsible as a manager of the work, the
actual work product of any other consulting experts at
Exponent. 11:36AM
MS. BURCH: And I think that -- I think that
he was provided with the results of the analysis and
was very -- he was the project manager. He oversaw the
work of these people and did regular status reports to
Cargill based on their work and I think we're entitled 11:36AM
to discovery of that information.
MS. COLLINS: And I don't, so.
MS. BURCH: Okay. I will seek relief from
the court on this --
MS. COLLINS: That's fine. 11:36AM
MS. BURCH: On this issue and reserve the
right to come back and take additional deposition
testimony on the subject matter on that as well.
MS. COLLINS: I very much understand that.
MS. BURCH: Let's go ahead and take a break. 11:36AM
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record,
11:37 a.m.
(Following a short recess, proceedings
continued on the record.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record, 11:51AM

11:51 a.m. 11:51AM
Q Would you identify for me all of the members of the team that you worked with in doing the biological analysis?
A And are you -- when you refer to that team, are 11:51AM you distinguishing between the phase as a consulting versus the phase of testifying expert?
Q I'm not.
A Okay. That team would be Linda Ziccardi, Z-I-C-C-A-R-D-I, that I think we've already discussed, 11:52AM with regard to the redaction log. Sheryl Law, L-A-W, who we've also discussed with regard to the redaction log. Randy O'Boyle, O apostrophe B-O-Y-L-E, Jane Ma, M-A, Melanie Edwards, Katy Palmquist, P-A-L-M-Q-U-I-S-T, Michael Kierski, K-I-E-R-S-K-I. 11:52AM Betty Dowd, D-O-W-D, Patti Warden, who we've already discussed, W-A-R-D-E-N. I'm thinking of another person and I'm -- I can't remember her last name.
Q Do you remember the first name?
A First name is Kristi, K-R-I-S-T-I. 11:54AM
Q Anyone else that you can recall?
A I can't remember anymore at this time.
Q Did any of the people that you've just identified do any work on anything other than the biological analysis? 11:54AM

A Yes. 11:54AM
Q And who is that?
A Gosh. I believe that Sheryl Law would fall under that category, Betty Dowd, Patti Warden most likely did, Melanie Ward. Would you be able to read the 11:55AM remaining ones back to me just so I can do a check?
Q Absolutely. Linda Ziccardi?
A I don't believe so.
Q Randy O'Boyle?
A Yes. 11:56AM
Q Jane Ma?
A Yes.
Q Michael Kierski?
A No.
Q Kristi? 11:56AM
A Yes.
MS. COLLINS: Is that Kristi Kaesler?
A I believe it is. I'm embarrassed that I can't remember her last name.
Q Do you believe it is Kristi Kaesler? 11:56AM
A Yes. K-A-E-S-L-E-R, I think.
Q What did Randy O'Boyle do in this matter?
A Randy O'Boyle is a GIS specialist and he is -- his work involves the development of geographic data bases and the production of maps based on that kind of 11:57AM

information. 11:57AM
Q Was he involved in the production of the -- the maps, analysis of GIS information in preparation of your report?
A Yes, he was. 11:57AM
Q What did -- did he do anything else besides that?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. Do you mean in relation to the report or generally?
Q Did he do anything besides the GIS work that you described? 11:57AM
A I don't believe so.
Q What did Jane Ma do?
A Jane Ma is also a GIS specialist that works for Randy and would have helped with preparation of maps.
Q What did Melanie Edwards do in this case? 11:57AM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. Do you mean in regards to Dr. Ginn's report or generally?
Q Generally.
MS. COLLINS: To the extent the question calls for answers that relate to subjects other than 11:58AM your work as an expert in this case for Cargill and the opinions stated in your report, I direct you not to answer.
A Upon advice of counsel, I don't think I can answer that question. I can respond to what an individual did 11:58AM

as part of my team or teams, but not -- but I don't 11:58AM believe I can go beyond that.
Q Okay. Answer what you feel you can answer.
A Okay. We're talking about Melanie Edwards?
Q Mm-hmm. 11:58AM
A Melanie is a statistician and conducted statistical analyses of data.
Q Did she conduct any of the statistical analysis in your expert report?
A Yes, she did. 11:59AM
Q Did she conduct all of the statistical analysis in your report?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I believe that she did.
Q Did she do any other work that you can identify? 11:59AM
A I don't think under advice of counsel that I could identify anything else.
Q What did Katy Dalmquist (sic) do?
A That's Palmquist.
Q Ah. 11:59AM
A Katy is a benthic ecotoxicologist and worked with me in evaluating the benthic macroinvertebrate data for my report.
Q And when you say she worked with you, what specifically did she do? 12:00PM

A She assisted me in assembling information and in 12:00PM
drafting report sections and in evaluating the
interpretation of data.
Q Would that all be related to the BMI analysis that
you did in your expert report? 12:00PM
A That's correct.
Q Was she involved in analyzing the spatial patterns
of the BMI community characteristics?
A Yes, she was.
Q What did she do in that regard? 12:01PM
A Well, she all -- in all the topical matters under
the benthic macroinvertebrate sections, she worked with
me, as I said, in assembling information in -- in
evaluating what that information meant and in the
initial drafting of report sections. 12:01PM
Q Did she do the actual analysis of the data?
A The statistical analysis, most, if not all, of
that was done by Melanie Edwards. Other compilations
and analyses were most likely done by Ms. Palmquist.
Q Let's take, for example, the Shannon entities for 12:02PM
Illinois River system BMI communities, did she
calculate those?
A I believe she did, yes, although she would have
been working closely with both Melanie Edwards and --
and Kristi Kaesler, a database manager in that work. 12:02PM

Q Did she calculate the -- the total abundance for 12:03PM
BMI data in the Illinois River system?
A Yes. She was responsible for that task, but as I
indicated on the other area for the diversity indices,
she may very well have been assisted by other 12:03PM
individuals.
Q Did she do the analysis of the Hilsenhoff Biotic
Index?
A She was responsible for that task, yes.
Q Have you ever worked with the Hilsenhoff Biotic 12:03PM
Index before?
A Yes, I have. I don't recall the exact cases that
I have, but I have been familiar with it.
Q Do you know where it was developed?
A It was developed, as I recall, in Wisconsin. 12:04PM
Q Was it developed on Wisconsin streams?
A Yes, it was.
Q Have you -- are you aware of any research that
indicates that a biotic index developed based on
Wisconsin streams would be an appropriate index to 12:04PM
apply to Ozark streams?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I think that the Hilsenhoff Index, as developed,
there is some uncertainty as far as it's applicability
to -- to many other areas and I think there's -- 12:04PM

there's some -- there's some judgment on the part of 12:04PM
the original author as far as the breakdown of
categories of the indexes reflecting certain kinds of
water quality. But the -- the tolerance values
developed originally by Hilsenhoff and subsequently 12:05PM
supplemented by other authors do represent a certain
attempt at categorizing the tolerances of benthic
macroinvertebrates to water quality changes.
Q To your knowledge, has it ever been applied to an
Ozark stream system? 12:05PM
A I seem to have a vague recollection about -- about
a publication there, but I can't -- I can't lay my
hands on it right now.
Q Do you know whether there's a difference between
Wisconsin streams and streams found in the Ozark 12:06PM
region?
A Geographically, yeah, there is a difference, yes.
Q Is that the only difference that would be of
importance to biological communities?
A Well, there's -- there's a fundamental difference 12:06PM
in the -- in the nature of the streams as far as just
the -- the underlying geology. There are -- there are
differences in taxa present, although many taxa may be
the same. There's, most likely, some different taxa
present in -- in Ozark streams than in Wisconsin. 12:07PM

Q Is that the only differences of importance to the 12:07PM
biological communities?
A I'm not sure that's the only, but that's what
occurs to me right now.
Q Where were these nutrient biotic indices that you 12:07PM
employ on Page 5-17 of your report developed?
A I have -- I don't recall from that paper where
they were developed.
Q Are you aware of any instance when those indices
have been applied in Ozark stream systems? 12:07PM
A No, I'm not.
Q Did Katy Palmquist do the analysis of the nutrient
biotic indices on Page 5-17?
A Yes, she did.
Q I think on Page 5-18 of your report you say 12:08PM
sampling sites located within reference areas collected
by the State are presumed to be minimally disturbed and
provide a frame of reference for valuating community
metrics to potentially impacted sites. What do you
mean they were "presumed to be minimally disturbed"? 12:08PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I think that I made that statement based on what I
gained from reading. It may have been some of the
State's expert reports or statements that I saw about
the selection of reference areas that were intended to 12:09PM

1 represent those kinds of conditions. 12:09PM
2 **Q Can you identify where you read statements like**
3 **that?**
4 A I can't recall at this point.
5 **Q Why do you use the word "presumed"? 12:09PM**
6 A Well, I guess I was using it as -- that was my
7 presumption based on the information that I had read
8 and what I had concluded about, for example, looking at
9 Little Lee Creek and the development in that watershed.
10 **Q Based on looking at Little Lee Creek, did you 12:10PM**
11 **believe that the reference area was minimally**
12 **disturbed?**
13 A When compared to -- when compared to the Illinois
14 River Watershed, yes.
15 **Q Did you look at data on Little Lee Creek or visit 12:10PM**
16 **Little Lee Creek to confirm that?**
17 A I did not visit it, but I remember reading some
18 information on Little Lee Creek at the time.
19 **Q Do you recall what that information was?**
20 A No, I don't. 12:10PM
21 **Q Is there a reference for that in your report**
22 **somewhere?**
23 A I don't believe I cited anything.
24 **Q What do you mean by "minimally disturbed"?**
25 A I mean as far as both the -- the nature of the 12:10PM

1 habitat, the riparian areas, the documentation of known 12:11PM
2 point sources of pollutants, the occurrence of urban
3 areas that I think Little Lee Creek and all of those
4 categories was significantly lower on a potentially
5 affected scale than the Illinois River Watershed would 12:11PM
6 be.
7 **Q Did you have habitat data available for that site?**
8 A No, not for the specific site. There may have
9 been some limited habitat data collected in 2005 for
10 one of the two sites on Little Lee Creek, but that 12:12PM
11 would have been all that was available, I think.
12 **Q Did you review that data to confirm that the sites**
13 **that you're -- that you're comparing here were**
14 **minimally disturbed habitat?**
15 A No. I was speaking more -- I do recall looking at 12:12PM
16 that data but I was speaking here more from a
17 perspective of the watershed in general, rather than
18 the -- that actual site or sites where reference data
19 were collected.
20 **Q Was there any sampling on Little Lee Creek in 2006 12:12PM**
21 **or 2007?**
22 A Yes, there was.
23 **Q Did you evaluate any habitat data for those years?**
24 A I don't recall ever being able the find habitat
25 data for the sites on Little Lee Creek in 2006 and 12:13PM

2007. 12:13PM
Q Is it your belief that habitat characterization
did not occur in 2006 and 2007?
A I don't know. All I know is that for the
information that -- that I had, I could find no -- I 12:13PM
could find no indication of habitat measurements
conducted at those sites in 2006 and 2007.
Q Is habitat data important to the type of analysis
that you conducted?
A It is. 12:13PM
Q Why is that?
A Because the organisms at any point are responding
to -- to habitat variables and specifically benthic
macroinvertebrates are sensitive to sediment particle
size, to the organic content of sediments, to just the 12:14PM
physical nature of the sediments, the degree of
embeddedness. It's important they respond to the
general stream characteristics, the degree of -- of
bank erosion, let's say, the degree of riparian
vegetation that is present, and fish in a similar 12:14PM
manner so the habitat variables are very important.
Q How many reference areas did you have to compare
sampling sites to?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A In the 2006 and 2007 data sets, there were only 12:15PM

two, the two sites on Little Lee Creek. 12:15PM
Q And what about the 2005 data?
A 2005, I seem to recall that there were three
reference sites sampled. One on Little Lee Creek, one
on Spring Creek and one on Dry Creek, I believe. 12:15PM
Q Did you have an adequate number of reference areas
for the analysis that you did to be comfortable with
the comparisons?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, I -- and I think I, in the report, qualified 12:15PM
this. I do not believe that the reference sites that
were sampled on Little Lee Creek serve as a -- as
rigorous and valid reference sites for all of the
different sampling stations in the Illinois River
Watershed, so that any interpretation of the data needs 12:16PM
to take into account that that qualification -- that
the reference site characterization was very limited
relative to the diversity of habitats and streams that
were sampled in the Illinois River Watershed itself.
Q And what's the importance of that to your 12:16PM
analysis?
A The importance is that -- that the results of any
analysis of those data have to be -- that has to be
taken into consideration, that it is -- that you're
looking at an approximation on a comparative basis of 12:17PM

one particular stream that I don't believe was an 12:17PM
adequate reference stream for the entire data set, and
so that any analyses need to consider that -- that
those reference areas were very limited, especially
limited in the -- in getting at the central question as 12:17PM
far as the -- any potential effects of any releases of
nutrients that could occur from poultry litter
applications. To me, an appropriate reference area for
the Illinois River Watershed to address that question
would involve reference areas that were not only 12:18PM
similar to the Illinois River Watershed stations in
stream size, subbasin area, general hydrographic
conditions, but that all other inputs of phosphorus and
all other modifications to habitat should be the same
as the assessment area stations, but for any releases 12:18PM
of phosphorus as a result of poultry litter
applications.
Q Where would you find a watershed like that?
A I don't know.
Q You would say an appropriate reference condition 12:19PM
would be a watershed of the same size as the Illinois
River?
A The -- not necessarily the same size as the whole
watershed but the subbasin size, for example, when
dealing with fish for a particular sampling point 12:19PM

should be approximately the same at a reference area as 12:19PM
it is in the assessment area.
Q I see. And it should have the same pollutant
sources except for the poultry?
A Yes, it should, because if you're going to factor 12:19PM
out any incremental effect of any releases that might
occur from poultry, it's important to be able to look
at that differential and try as best possible to match
the other factors.
Q And should those pollutant sources be contributing 12:20PM
the same amount of pollution to the subbasin that
you're evaluating?
A Well, not necessarily the same amounts, but the
contributions as far as -- as concentrations that the
organisms are seeing from those other sources should be 12:20PM
approximately similar. That's the only way that you
can factor out that incremental change.
Q Are you sure it's the only way you can do it?
A Well, from -- I meant only way with regard to the
use of reference areas. I didn't mean to restrict it 12:20PM
to the universe, apply it to the universe, but on our
subject matter we're talking about on the use of
reference areas, that is the way that I believe it
should be done.
Q And do you believe that Dr. Stevenson established 12:21PM

and used reference areas the same way that you used the 12:21PM
reference areas?
A No, I don't think that he did.
Q How did he establish reference areas?
A As I recall, he -- he included, at least in some 12:21PM
of his analyses, the reference areas that were sampled
by the State into his data sets. He did not conduct
any direct comparisons of conditions within the
Illinois River Watershed and those reference areas.
Q When you're doing direct comparisons, how -- is 12:21PM
that what you did in this case, direct comparisons?
A Yeah, and I did a qualified comparison because, as
I indicated, I -- I did not mean to endorse those as
valid reference areas. I think there are significant
problems with using those stations as reference areas, 12:22PM
but for comparative purposes, I looked at the
communities that were found there versus the
communities in the Illinois River Watershed.
Q And in doing direct comparisons to reference
areas, how many reference site locations do you 12:22PM
typically have?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A That I really can't answer from a typical
standpoint because it depends on a number of factors,
including how variable the assessment habitat is, how 12:22PM

the sampling stations are selected in the assessment 12:23PM
area, what the range of -- of hydrologic and water
quality and/or biological conditions may be within that
assessment area, and it's a matter of professional
judgment then to select reference areas that would be 12:23PM
representative of that range of areas. In some cases
it would be matched to categories of, of -- in the case
of rivers and streams of certain subunits that are
being assessed. Typically my experience has been that
for most situations involving relatively complex 12:23PM
assessment areas, that there may be many reference
areas required to develop this concept of -- I've heard
it referred to as an envelope of conditions that would
describe the variable conditions occurring in an
assessment area. 12:24PM
Q To do a direct comparison in the Illinois River
Watershed, do you have any opinion about how many
reference sampling sites you would select, you would
like to have?
A I did not develop that opinion, no. 12:24PM
Q Would it be more than two?
A It would be.
Q Do you think it would be closer to 20?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A It would be closer 20 than two. 12:24PM

Q How variable is the habitat in the Illinois River 12:24PM
Watershed that was sampled?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I think it's -- well, it's quite variable from
just based on the -- the stream size itself, the sample 12:25PM
area varied from relatively small essentially headwater
streams to the main stem of the Illinois River. So in
the characterization of stream order, probably streams
in the first or second order streams up to fifth or six
order streams probably. 12:25PM
Q And when we're talking about habitat in this
context, was your answer limited to benthic
macroinvertebrate habitat or were you speaking more
broadly?
A I'm speaking more broadly. 12:25PM
Q And how variable is the benthic macroinvertebrate
habitat in the Illinois River Watershed?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A From my observations, it's quite variable.
Benthic macroinvertebrates, as I indicated, are very 12:26PM
sensitive to changes in -- in the nature of the
substrate that they inhabit. And, for example, benthic
macroinvertebrate communities that inhabit a relatively
small gravel substrate, all other conditions being
equal, may be quite different than benthic 12:26PM

macroinvertebrates that inhabit a larger cobble-type 12:26PM
environment that may have considerable amounts of finer
materials embedded in between it. And the organisms
have very specific preferences and habitat requirements
so they -- the communities would vary according to the 12:26PM
nature of those substrate materials.
MS. COLLINS: I don't mean to interrupt your
flow but we need to break at 12:30 because Dr. Ginn has
a call and so do I.
MS. BURCH: Okay. I believe -- what time is 12:27PM
it?
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 12:27.
MS. BURCH: Okay. I am -- we need to do any
conferring that we can do on the -- the redaction log.
I would like to take the time to ask Magistrate Cleary 12:27PM
to rule on these issues. I'm going to seek additional
time to depose the witness --
MS. COLLINS: Okay.
MS. BURCH: -- as well. I'm spending
substantial amounts of time trying to talk about things 12:27PM
which I haven't seen yet in this deposition and would
like to spend more time on this today, but I guess
we'll take the time and do that when we get back from
lunch if that's okay with you.
MS. COLLINS: I'm not sure I understand the 12:28PM

gist of your request. 12:28PM
MS. BURCH: I would like to see if we can get
a hearing before Magistrate Cleary when we get back
from lunch on the issues of the redaction log.
MS. COLLINS: Okay. 12:28PM
MS. BURCH: And the production of the -- the
consulting expert material and the refusal to answer
questions related to his work.
MS. COLLINS: Okay.
MS. BURCH: So could I finish this line of 12:28PM
questioning? I'm trying to go quickly. I have one
more question, I think.
MS. COLLINS: Okay, sure.
MS. BURCH: But who knows, but I'll try to go
fast. 12:28PM
Q Did you evaluate the habitat at any of the
sampling sites, the benthic macroinvertebrate sites?
A No, I did not.
Q Do you know whether they differ?
A I've been to some of the sites and I have viewed 12:29PM
many of the areas, certainly not all, in the watershed,
and I have observed in just walking some of the streams
and wading parts of the streams and digging around in
the substrate a little bit some significant differences
of -- of substrate habitat type and of riparian habitat 12:29PM

type throughout the system. 12:29PM
Q Was the sampling locations that you evaluated were
those all done in riffle habitat?
A Yes, they were.
Q And did you do any evaluations that would document 12:29PM
differences in riffle habitat in the Illinois River
Watershed?
A Only my observations that even in riffle areas
there can be significant differences in the nature of
the substrate within that riffle habitat. 12:30PM
Q There can be. Did you document any differences?
A Only by my observations. I did not conduct
measurements of those differences.
Q Do you have any notes of your field observations
or any analysis of your field observations that we 12:30PM
could look at?
A No, I do not.
Q Okay. Thank you. Go ahead and break.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record,
12:30 p.m. 12:30PM
(Whereupon, the following was heard not
on videotape.)
THE CLERK: This is Case No.
05-CV-329-CKS-TJC, The State of Oklahoma versus Tyson
Foods, et al. Counsel, please state your appearances. 1:32PM

MS. BURCH: Kelly Burch for the State of 1:33PM
Oklahoma.
MR. TUCKER: This is John Tucker and Melissa
Collins for the Cargill defendants.
MR. MIRKES: Craig Mirkes for Peterson Farms. 1:33PM
THE COURT: All right. Is that it?
MS. BURCH: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. What is the issue or
what's happening at this deposition that is creating a
problem. 1:33PM
MS. BURCH: This is Kelly Burch.
THE COURT: Mm-hmm.
MS. BURCH: I'm here taking the deposition of
one of the defendants' experts, Dr. Thomas Ginn, and
this deposition convened on yesterday morning. The day 1:33PM
prior to the deposition convening, I was notified by
e-mail that there was some additional material that
they were going to produce for Dr. Ginn and I received
those materials the next morning around 7:30. With
those materials was a redaction log for certain 1:34PM
documents that were in the production. And upon
examination today, I discovered that Dr. Ginn
previously served as a consulting expert in this case
for the Cargill defendants at Exponent, a consulting
company, and that he was the project manager for the 1:34PM

consultation and, basically, that it was -- the 1:34PM
consultation was separated into two distinct areas, one
biological resources and the other, what he described
as, fate transport source and dynamics analysis. He
was the project manager for both of those exercises and 1:34PM
did -- participated in the selection of the team
numbers for the fate and transport portion, as well as
provided status reports to the Cargill defendants on
the work for both of those projects. Today during the
deposition, he was directed not to answer questions 1:35PM
related to the fate transport source and dynamics
portion of the work, and also the materials related to
that work, even though they are in -- have been in his
possession and reviewed by him. And he's been at
meetings where there have been presentations on that 1:35PM
topic. Those materials have not been produced. That
some subset of those materials related to the
biological analysis were produced but even then we have
a redaction log. I'm not sure of the basis for the
redactions on those, although counsel acknowledged that 1:35PM
the subject matter on the redaction logs is related to
his actual expert report in this case. And so I guess
I'm here seeking the opportunity to review the
materials related to his project management at Exponent
and to be able to question him about that subject 1:36PM

matter of that work that he did on the case, as well as 1:36PM
be able to challenge a redaction log for a production
related to an expert report that was produced by him in
the case.
THE COURT: Okay. Who wants to respond? 1:36PM
Mr. Tucker, is this your, your issue?
MR. TUCKER: Your Honor, I'd like to ask
Ms. Collins to respond, if I might, as she is
presenting the witness for the deposition and is in the
best position to articulate our views. 1:36PM
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. COLLINS: Yes, Your Honor, this is
Melissa Collins. Essentially by way of background --
THE COURT: Mm-hmm.
MS. COLLINS: -- Cargill had a consulting 1:37PM
expert also as a firm called Exponent, which is where
the witness today, Dr. Ginn, who is a testifying expert
also worked. Dr. Ginn's role was essentially to be in
charge of billing as a project manager. He did not in
any way run or influence the project that is the 1:37PM
subject of this separate consulting expert. We
understood through your guidance in B.H. versus
Goldfield's Mining and in J.B versus Ensarto
(phonetic), that even though Dr. Ginn was a consulting
expert prior to essentially May of 2008, that we still 1:37PM

had an obligation to produce any records in his 1:37PM
materials that were factually related to facts or
opinions in his ultimate report in this case. We have
done that. However, because the consulting expert and
Dr. Ginn worked in the same offices and because Dr. 1:38PM
Ginn was responsible for billing, some of the materials
of this other consulting expert were in his possession.
They were not relied upon or considered by him in any
way in forming his opinions in his expert report.
Therefore, in order to protect the privilege of the 1:38PM
work of a separate consulting expert, we generated a
two-page redaction log that reflects that certain parts
of e-mails and documents that were unrelated to Dr.
Ginn's expert report have been redacted.
THE COURT: Mm-hmm. 1:38PM
MS. COLLINS: The parts that are related
factually or otherwise to his opinions in his report
have been produced. I have directed Dr. Ginn not to
answer questions that are not related to the opinions
he ultimately formed and disclosed in this case. 1:38PM
THE COURT: All right. And basis for not
answering those questions is what?
MS. COLLINS: Because it would reveal the
work products of a consulting expert.
THE COURT: Okay. 1:39PM

MS. COLLINS: And because it was not related 1:39PM
to the facts or opinions in his expert report.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. COLLINS: Nor considered by him in
forming those opinions. 1:39PM
THE COURT: Okay. Well, it's going to be
very difficult to assess this particular situation and
argument in a phone call, I'm afraid. I think I'm
going to have to see some briefing on this issue. I
think maybe the safest course of action is to allow 1:39PM
Dr. Ginn not to answer for purposes of this deposition
until we get through a cycle of briefing on the
questions you're posing here. And then if the Court
decides that -- that he, in fact, needs to answer the
questions then we're going to have to -- to provide Ms. 1:40PM
Burch with an opportunity to come back and do that. On
the other hand, if the Court finds that the materials
involved are not subject to inquiry, then you'll, I
guess, stand on the deposition as it concludes. I'm
trying to figure out. When can you have some sort of a 1:40PM
brief to me? And I suppose if there's a two-page
redaction log, it might be just as easy to submit the
documents for in camera review at the same time and let
me take a look at them and get an idea on what --
what's here. Now, Dr. Ginn -- has Dr. Ginn been a 1:41PM

testifying expert all along? 1:41PM
MS. COLLINS: No. He was initially retained
as a consulting expert and it wasn't until the time
that the State disclosed their expert opinions in this
case that -- that Cargill made the decision to retain 1:41PM
him as a testifying expert and produce opinions in our
report.
THE COURT: Okay. I mean, that does muddy
the waters on, you know, what he saw and when he saw it
and -- and I know I'm anticipating to some degree what 1:41PM
the issue might be here. I've started looking at some
cases on this and it just becomes clearer that some
courts have held that once the witness switches hats
from a consulting to a testifying expert, that may very
well throw the door open, but before I make any 1:42PM
determination on that, I'd like to -- I'd like to see
your briefing on it and see what specifically we're
talking about. This just isn't the sort of issue that
I think can be handled in a phone call. So when --
Ms. Burch, when can you file a short brief outlining 1:42PM
your position on the matter?
MS. BURCH: I would try to get it by Monday
but would like to maybe have until Tuesday.
THE COURT: All right. Tuesday. And that --
that would be, what, the 21st, is that right? Yeah, 1:42PM

that would be the 21st. And Ms. Collins, when do you 1:42PM
think you could respond to that and then provide -- I'm
assuming that if the redaction log is only a couple
pages, and maybe this is the wrong assumption, but what
is the volume of material associated with that 1:43PM
redaction log that the Court would have to look at?
MS. COLLINS: Well, the redaction log is two
pages and they're essentially e-mails. Now, there are
attachments to some of those e-mails. None of the
attachments have been redacted and the attachments are 1:43PM
generally literature and data and exhibits and pleading
from this case. So if you included the entire
collection, I understand that to be about 2,600 pages.
However, the documents that have actually been redacted
are e-mails themselves. 1:43PM
THE COURT: Mm-hmm.
MS. COLLINS: And that collection, you know,
fits in two, three small three-ring binders, so I'm not
sure how many pages it is.
THE COURT: On the attachments, do you know 1:43PM
whether those attachments have been produced to the
other side via some other pathway?
MS. COLLINS: They have also been produced in
this collection and some of them are the State's own
documents and also literature that has been previously 1:44PM

produced. 1:44PM
THE COURT: Okay. So the attachments,
although they may be referred to in the e-mail, the
attachments themselves in your view are not an issue in
terms of -- of production because the other side 1:44PM
already has all of that.
MS. COLLINS: No, no. To be clear, Your
Honor, the State has not before had all of the
attachments. And to be clear as well, some of the
attachments may inform the state as to the e-mail that 1:44PM
they are attached to it, so I wouldn't want to
characterize it that way.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. BURCH: Some of the attachments, Your
Honor, we just received on the morning of the 1:44PM
deposition yesterday.
THE COURT: Right.
MS. BURCH: And I'll raise this in the brief
as well, I would like the opportunity, depending on
what these documents are once I get a chance to really 1:45PM
look at them, for you -- to potentially be able to
examine him about them.
THE COURT: Right.
MS. BURCH: So that's sort of a related
issue. 1:45PM

THE COURT: Right. I understand. And if 1:45PM
you're getting expert papers the day of the deposition,
that, I think does create a bit of a fairness issue in
terms of having some time to prepare. All right.
Well, why don't -- Ms. Burch, why don't you file your 1:45PM
brief by Tuesday, the 21st, and then Ms. Collins, how
much time do you want to respond to that?
MS. COLLINS: Well, I can do the same thing,
within three days. I think that's essentially --
THE COURT: Okay. Do you think you can get 1:45PM
it done by Friday of next week? That would be the 24th
or do you want until the following Monday?
MS. COLLINS: Why don't we go with the
following Monday, if you don't mind?
THE COURT: Okay. 1:46PM
MS. COLLINS: Will the issue of the State's
request for additional time also be included in the
subject of these briefs?
THE COURT: I think might as well. We might
as well get it all out on the table and try to address 1:46PM
it. I do think that it's awfully difficult to -- to --
depending on the nature of the materials, you know, but
it does seem to me that it is going to raise an issue
when somebody gets materials handed to them essentially
the morning of the deposition to take the deposition 1:46PM

and review the documents at the same time. 1:46PM
MS. COLLINS: Sure.
THE COURT: Depending on what they are. If
they're things that everybody was pretty much aware of
then maybe it's no harm, no foul, but I still think 1:46PM
that a party ought to be given some reasonable
opportunity to look over new materials before having to
lock itself in with a deposition.
MS. COLLINS: Sure. And just to be clear,
Your Honor, the initial -- this was a supplemental 1:46PM
production of materials. The larger volume of
materials that support and were considered by Dr. Ginn
in the forming of his opinions were produced earlier.
THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Well, why don't you
go ahead and brief on that basis. Reserve these 1:47PM
questions of Dr. Ginn. In other words, let him decline
to answer at this point and then once the Court makes a
ruling, you know, it may mean that Dr. Ginn has to make
himself available for a follow-up deposition. Okay?
MS. BURCH: All right. Thank you, Your 1:47PM
Honor. Can I confirm that the transcript will be ready
in time for me to get the brief done on Tuesday before
I finalize that date because I can't do it without the
transcript?
THE COURT: On the questions you need. 1:48PM

MS. BURCH: Yes. She's sitting right here 1:48PM
so.
THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you go ahead and
see how long that's going to take?
(Whereupon, a discussion was held off 1:48PM
the record.)
MS. BURCH: Well, the transcript won't be
ready until Wednesday. I apologize, I should have
checked that before I agreed to that time. So I hate
to slow it down but could I have until the Monday after 1:48PM
to do --
THE COURT: You will be looking at the 27th I
think that's right. Because we were originally going
to give you the 21st, but now the transcript is not
going to be ready until the 22nd, right? 1:48PM
MS. BURCH: That's right.
THE COURT: So if you would get the
transcript on Wednesday and you would then have
Thursday, Friday and file your brief on the 27th, the
following -- that Monday? 1:49PM
MS. BURCH: Yes.
THE COURT: And then Ms. Collins, you were
saying three or four days after that, so now we're
talking about maybe -- the 27th is a Monday. Could you
be ready by the 30th? 1:49PM

MS. COLLINS: Yes, Your Honor. 1:49PM
THE COURT: Okay. All right. So the 27th
for Ms. Burch and the 30th for Ms. Collins. And we'll
try to jump on these things quickly so that we can try
and keep you on some sort of a schedule. 1:49PM
MS. COLLINS: Your Honor, do you want for in
camera inspection, do you want us to show you or
produce those documents under seal on April 30th along
with the unredacted versions?
THE COURT: You might as well. Might as 1:49PM
well. You know, I don't know how long it's going to
take me to wade through all that stuff, but I might as
well have it and maybe I can -- maybe I can peruse it
quickly and see what we're talking about. But I'm
afraid -- I'm afraid that without looking at the 1:50PM
documents I'm going to be as much in the dark as I am
right now.
MS. COLLINS: Okay.
THE COURT: All right.
MS. BURCH: Thank you very much, Your Honor. 1:50PM
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. COLLINS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Bye.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record.
The time is 1:59 p.m. 1:59PM

(Whereupon, the deposition continued on 1:59PM
the record.)
Q Did the team that was looking at transport fate source and dynamics conduct any modeling work in the watershed? 2:00PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form and direct the witness not to answer. You're inquiring directly into the subject matter, the work product of a consulting expert which the magistrate just directed this witness did not have to answer those questions at this time. 2:00PM
MS. BURCH: And for the record, I'm answering -- I'm asking these questions with that understanding, but with the direction that I ask the questions that I want answered and --
MS. COLLINS: Okay. 2:00PM
MS. BURCH: -- and he will rule on those issues.
A -- so based on the recommendation of counsel, I will not answer that question.
Q Did that team reach any conclusions about the contributions of poultry or any other source of phosphorus or any other contaminants to the waters of the Illinois River Watershed? 2:00PM
MS. COLLINS: I'm instructing the witness not to answer on the same basis. 2:00PM

A And based on the direction of counsel, I will not 2:01PM
answer that question.
Q Did the team do any analysis of fate and transport of any pollutant, including phosphorous or bacteria or any other contaminant to the waters of the Illinois River Watershed? 2:01PM
MS. COLLINS: I'm directing the witness not answer on the same basis and also, for the record, it's your choice to spend the rest of this afternoon if you are waiving your opportunity to ask questions on the 2:01PM
underlying report, that has been disclosed and that is your choice. However, we will not consent to providing any additional time on the subject matters that should have been covered in this deposition.
Q Okay. 2:01PM
A Based on the direction from counsel, I will not answer that question.
MS. BURCH: Would counsel like to simplify the process by agreeing that anything related to the work of this fate and transport source dynamic team or 2:02PM
any other consulting team that he worked with I'm not going to be able to ask any questions about that work?
MS. COLLINS: I -- yes. I believe the guidance we just received from the Magistrate was that the witness would not be required the answer those 2:02PM

questions today and that that would be saved for a 2:02PM
later date, so if we can stick with that, yes.
MS. BURCH: Okay, thank you.
Q I believe we were talking last about the work of Katy Palmquist, is that the right name? 2:02PM
A Yes, it is.
Q And did Katy Palmquist do any work on anything other than the BMI opinions contained in the report?
A She did some work, but it was very limited work with Mike Kierski, who was working on the fish section 2:03PM
of the report.
Q Now, did you -- with regard to Katy Palmquist's work, did you review all of the analysis and the underlying data that she used in conducting her analysis? 2:03PM
A No, I wouldn't say that I reviewed all of the underlying data, no.
Q Did she create -- did she provide you with all of the materials she created in conducting her analysis?
A That is difficult for me to answer because it 2:04PM
would -- I don't know all the materials she might have created or the intermediate steps that she may have had in compiling data, so I can't say that.
Q Well, the BMI data that she reviewed is the data from the state's database, is that correct? 2:04PM

A That's correct. 2:04PM
Q Did you review all of that data?
A I looked at it but I didn't review every data point in it.
Q Did you do -- did you do the -- any of the BMI analysis yourself? 2:04PM
A No, I did not.
Q What -- how were the results of her analysis provided to you?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 2:05PM
A They were provided in -- in summary form as presented in -- in the report to be used in drafting sections of the report.
Q Would she have additional documents in her possession beyond just the results related to the BMI analysis? 2:05PM
A I don't know.
Q Did you see anything else besides that?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't recall seeing anything additional. 2:06PM
Q How did you collect her analysis to make sure it was done properly?
A I did not. It's my understanding that she had -- she did some other checks on it, other independent checks, but I did not actually check her computations 2:06PM

or analysis. 2:06PM
Q Would there be spreadsheets associated with her calculations?
A There may be.
Q Would any of that type of underlying information 2:06PM for these analyses have been provided to us, the State of Oklahoma?
A I don't know.
Q Did you provide it to us?
A I did not. 2:06PM
Q What information in your considered material is there that would allow me to understand and check how these calculations and analyses were done?
A I don't -- I don't believe that is there where you could see the -- in anything provided or considered 2:07PM materials, I don't believe that that information would be there.
Q Do you know whether all of the available data from the state's database was actually included in the calculations or analysis that she did for the BMI data? 2:07PM
A I don't know whether all of it is included. My understanding was that if we had data for a particular station we were -- we were going to use all of the data for that station.
Q How did you come to that understanding? 2:08PM

A It was only in my discussions with Ms. Palmquist. 2:08PM
Q Did she tell you that she didn't reject any of the data?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't recall her saying that she had rejected 2:08PM any data.
Q Did she affirmatively say that she did not reject?
A I don't recall that either.
Q Did she do a QAQC on any of the data?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 2:08PM
A It's my understanding that she did.
Q What were the results of that analysis?
A I don't -- I don't know the results.
Q Can I -- can I see her analysis of the QAQC in any of the materials you've provided to us in this case? 2:09PM
A I don't believe any materials related to -- to those evaluations have been provided.
Q How much of this text in your reports related to BMI did you write yourself?
A I couldn't say exactly. I think that Dr. 2:09PM Palmquist did an initial draft and then I worked with her on -- on redrafting and rewriting. I did not draft any of the original language, but I supplemented it and revised it with her to -- to represent my interpretations of the data. 2:10PM

Q Did you change any of the conclusions? 2:10PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't recall changing any conclusions that were in the original draft.
Q So, for example, if you were to ask you a question 2:10PM like the one I'm getting ready to regarding the taxa anomic level analysis conducted on -- and reflected on Page 5-11, could you tell me how -- let's go there. I want to ask you a couple of questions about it.
A Okay. 2:11PM
Q In the first paragraph it indicates there's some standard operating procedures included in the Darren Brown expert report, correct?
A Yes.
Q Then the second paragraph there's a conclusion 2:11PM that, "Of the total taxa identified in 2005, 4.2 percent were identified to the species level and 70.5 percent to the genus level with the remaining specimens identified to the familial level or higher." How was that 4.2 percent of taxa identified for the species 2:12PM level calculated?
A It was my understanding that she did that at my direction, that -- that she evaluated the total number of taxa collected during that event and then just went through the list and determined the number of taxa from 2:12PM

that -- from the total list that were identified to the 2:12PM species level and reported that as a percentage.
Q Did you check whether she did that correctly?
A No, I didn't.
Q How was taxa richness calculated? 2:13PM
A Taxa richness?
Q Mm-hmm.
A Was the total number of species occurring -- total number of taxa occurring in a particular sample.
Q Did you check any of that analysis to see if that 2:13PM was done correctly?
A No, I didn't.
Q How were the Shannon diversity index numbers calculated?
A Those were calculated by, um, determining the 2:14PM proportion of each -- the proportion of the total numbers of organisms in each sample for each species and summing -- summing those and then multiplying that times the natural logarithm of that portion of -- proportion of the total taxa comprised of each of the 2:15PM taxa in the sample. And actually it's the negative summation because of the logarithm in there results in a negative number.
Q And so in the -- on Page 5-14 of your report, it indicates that Shannon indices for the Illinois River, 2:15PM

some BMI communities sampled in the summer of 2005 2:15PM ranged between 1.74 and 2.97. Where -- which location had a Shannon indices of 174?
A I don't know.
Q How can I find out? 2:16PM
A Well, that would require a -- a table of the individual Shannon-Wiener calculations.
Q Does that exist?
A I believe it does.
Q Where? 2:16PM
A I believe it would exist -- if it exists now, it would be in Dr. Palmquist's files.
Q But how can I determine that location? Do I have Dr. Palmquist's files?
A No, I don't believe you do. 2:16PM
Q Can I tell from any of the terms you provided to us from -- what the values in between 1.74 and 2.97 for the 2005 BMI communities actually are?
A No, I don't believe you can.
MS. COLLINS: If you would like to make a 2:17PM request for those materials, I will undertake to find them for you to the extent that they exist and I imagine that they should. Save you the time of asking each of those questions.
MS. BURCH: Were you going to do an 2:17PM

additional deposition on those, I don't have them now 2:17PM when I'm here so --
MS. COLLINS: I do not.
MS. BURCH: So do you want to propose something with regard to that? 2:17PM
MS. COLLINS: I would like to see what material would be available before I would propose anything.
MS. BURCH: Okay. Well, given that, I think I need to identify where I do and don't have the 2:17PM information we need, unfortunately.
Q Was abundance calculated for each of the sampling sites in each year in the Illinois River Watershed?
A I believe it was, yes.
Q Are the results of that reported in your expert 2:18PM report?
A They are in -- on the paragraph, bottom paragraph of 5-14, as well as in Table 5-4.
Q So on 5-14, I see average abundances, is that correct? 2:19PM
A Yes, that's correct.
Q Come look at Table 5-4. These look like averages, minimums, maximums and medians for 2005 and 2006, is that correct?
A Yes. Yeah, it's a summary of the -- of the 2:19PM

results. It's a summary table. 2:20PM
Q It looks like on the next page there was 2007 data?
A That's correct.
Q Can I identify the abundance at any particular 2:20PM site by either information at Table 5.4 or 5-14?
A Not from this table nor the text.
Q How do I check whether those were -- those averages and maximum, minimums, and medians were calculated without having access to the individual 2:20PM values?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't think you could without having the individual values.
Q Are those provided someplace else in your report 2:20PM or considered materials?
A No, they're not.
Q If I ask you similar questions related to relative PPT, diphtherin abundance, would I get the same answer in terms of where I would be able to locate the 2:21PM individual sampling site values?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A That's correct.
Q Are there any individual values for the benthic indicator species analysis that you did on 5-16? 2:21PM

A There's not a breakdown of all the analyses. 2:21PM Results presented here are a summary analysis of -- summary presentation of those data.
Q Is the underlying information provided in your considered materials or any place else in your report? 2:22PM
A Not to my knowledge.
Q Where are the calculations that -- that led to the HBI values that are presented in summary form on 5-16 and 5-17 in your materials?
A It would be a similar situation with the others, 2:22PM that Dr. Palmquist calculated those HBI scores.
Q And it's accurate to say I don't have access to the underlying information or analysis?
A Well, the underlying data were the -- the files of the State's results but the intermediate calculations 2:23PM to arrive at this, I don't believe you have.
Q Do you know whether all of the State's data was used for these HBI calculations?
A I did not do a sample by sample check to confirm that, no. 2:23PM
Q Would the situation be the same with the phosphorus specific nutrient biotic indices?
A That's correct.
Q Who did the relationship to subbasin size section that starts on 5.18? 2:23PM

A That was done by Dr. Palmquist with -- working in 2:23PM
conjunction with Mr. O'Boyle.
Q Who did the comparisons to urban land use?
A The answer would be the same, the same two
individuals. 2:24PM
Q How were urban land uses identified?
A I don't recall the, the data set we used. We
independently accessed some estimates and, as I recall,
they compared closely with the same percentages of
urban land use that Dr. Stevenson had used. I don't 2:24PM
recall as I sit here today where -- where those
estimates came from.
Q Were actual urban sites identified in the
watershed?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 2:25PM
A As I recall, the information that we accessed
there, it just estimated the -- the total area of land
that was of an urban nature.
Q How do you define urban?
A We did not independently define it. As I recall, 2:25PM
we accessed the source of data but I can't remember
what it was that -- where urban land use, urban areas
were identified as a proportion of -- of the available
land along with other categories.
Q Is that data source provided in your considered 2:25PM

materials? 2:25PM
A I don't know. I would have to check on that.
Q And this analysis was done by Dr. Palmquist as
well, is that correct?
A Yes, it was. 2:26PM
Q Who did the analysis that was contained on 5-20 of
your report that is entitled BMI communities in the
main stem of the Illinois River?
A That section was also worked on primarily by -- in
fact, I think entirely by Dr. Palmquist. 2:26PM
Q How long has Dr. Palmquist work at Exponent?
A I think it's a little over two years. Two, maybe
two and a half, approaching two and a half years.
Q Where did she work before that?
A She was working on her Ph.D. at Oregon State 2:27PM
University.
Q Do you know whether Dr. Palmquist had ever
conducted an analysis like she did here in any other
watershed in the United States?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 2:27PM
A I do know that in her graduate work she was
working with benthic macroinvertebrates in stream
systems in Oregon. I'm not familiar -- I don't recall
her working on any other systems with benthic
macroinvertebrates. 2:28PM

Q Do you know whether she had ever worked with a 2:28PM
system impacted by nutrients and streams which are of
the character of Ozark streams?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't know. 2:28PM
Q On Page 5-22 under summary, it says overall BMI
communities sampled in the Illinois River System are
indicative of a healthy viable ecosystem and do not
suggest evidence of degradation or stress resulting
from nutrient enrichment within the basin, is that 2:29PM
correct?
A Where -- could you direct me to that again on 5.
Q 5-22?
A Oh, mm-hmm.
Q Did I read that correctly? 2:29PM
A Yes.
Q What should a healthy viable ecosystem look like
in the Illinois River Watershed?
A In this case, I'm making a statement about the use
of BMIs -- BMI communities as being indicative of an 2:29PM
ecosystem. In other words, that particular group that
is sensitive to degradation or stress resulting from
nutrient enrichment appears to be -- it appears to be
diverse, it has many sensitive species, it has very
important indicator taxa, like -- that are referred to 2:30PM

as EPT taxa and it does not display the characteristics 2:30PM
that would be associated with a -- with a stressed or
degraded system.
Q When you're making that statement, are you
comparing it to other Ozark region streams or are you 2:30PM
comparing it to streams that exist in the world?
A I'm comparing it -- as I indicated, there's --
there are very limited comparisons available with the
designated reference streams that were part of the data
set, but that's why I think it was important to also 2:31PM
just look at the communities in general, to step back
and look at the presence or absence of certain kinds of
indicator species and take a broader look at the
communities that exist in the Illinois River system.
Q I guess my question is: When you're saying it 2:31PM
looks like a good healthy system based on the benthic
macroinvertebrate populations, are you comparing the
populations which you saw to what should be in an Ozark
system or what should be in any stream in the world?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 2:31PM
A The taxa benthic macroinvertebrates that occur in
this area have been studied elsewhere as far as their
responses to stress -- habitat stress and water quality
stress. And so I was looking at a broader context at
the taxa -- the abundances, the relative abundances of 2:32PM

those -- of those various taxa as occurring -- not only 2:32PM
making some general comparisons with Little Lee Creek,
for example, but looking broadly across all the
stations and looking for effects of water quality
degradation like I talked about before where the 2:32PM
communities might be dominated by a few tolerant
benthic taxa that would indicate that the system was
highly stressed and was not functioning as a -- what
you might call a more normal benthic community.
Q Do benthic communities differ by Eco region? 2:33PM
A They do. There is variability, yes.
Q What research did you do into what a benthic community should look like in the Ozark highlands region?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 2:33PM
A I didn't make any specific comparisons, as I
recall, with what that community should look like. As
I indicated, I don't think even though I made general
comparisons with the reference stations and Little Lee
Creek, I did not believe that I had information at 2:33PM
my -- at my hands that I could find that would serve as
a valid scientific reference station comparison and
that's why I took this broader look at the kinds and
numbers of species that occur in the samples.
Q And what did you compare that to? 2:34PM

A I compared it to what is known about the 2:34PM
tolerances of those species.
Q What is known about the tolerances of the species? I'm not sure I understand.
A What is -- what is documented in the literature as 2:34PM
far as the -- the sensitivity of those species and --
and their -- their documented occurrence relative to --
relative to stress, especially stress in the forms of
nutrient enrichment. For example, the so-called EPT
taxa have been documented in the literature as being 2:34PM
very sensitive to -- to water quality effect, including
nutrient effects and the relative abundance of EPT taxa
is a -- is a valuable indicator of the overall quality
of the water and the functioning of the benthic
community. 2:35PM
Q What is the -- what is the basis for your opinion that EPT in this region is a good indicator of nutrient enrichment?
A Well, I -- there are a number of articles, and I
would have to look at them, that have documented the 2:35PM
value of looking at EPT taxa and have documented that
it is -- that that particular metric is a valuable and
sensitive indicator of stress to a benthic community.
Q Of stress, is that correct?
A Well, of stress, including the stress from excess 2:36PM

nutrient enrichment and eutrophication. 2:36PM
Q Have you -- have you seen or reviewed any research regarding EPT communities that are found particularly in Ozark regions and whether they react in the same way to nutrient enrichment? 2:36PM
A I would have to check some of the articles that
I'm aware of because I do remember a number of articles
I've reviewed that document the sensitivity of EPT and
I would have to go back and check on whether any of
those were specifically about communities in the Ozark 2:36PM
regions or whether they were speaking more generally of
EPT taxa.
Q To your knowledge, did Dr. Stevenson do a year-to-year evaluation of the BMI data?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 2:37PM
A When -- well, he evaluated different years. I
don't believe -- I don't think he evaluated
year-to-year changes, as I recall. I don't remember
that. As I recall he -- but he did analyze the
separate years and had separate analyses of the -- the 2:38PM
individual years.
Q So, for example, to your knowledge, did Dr. Stevenson look at the 2006 BMI data and try to compare to it the 2007 data?
A As I recall, he discussed differences. I don't 2:38PM

remember the specifics but differences in his 2:38PM
analytical results for 2006 versus 2007.
Q What was the difference?
A I would have to go back and refer to his report.
I can't remember the details. 2:38PM
Q Did you see differences between the spring 2007 data and the summer 2006 BMI data?
A Yes, I did.
Q And what differences did you see?
A The spring 2007 data were different than the -- 2:39PM
the summer of 2006 data in that there were higher
abundances of dipteran larvae, dipteran fly larvae and
that resulted in different community characteristics
than between -- as measured between the two years.
There was also a difference in, as I recall, in the 2:39PM
relationship to, I believe, of urban -- urban land use
that was detected statistically significantly so in two
thousand -- in the spring of 2007, but was -- but was
not evident in the summer of 2006.
Q And why do you think that is? 2:40PM
A Why do I think what is?
Q Why do you think that that -- that there's a difference?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I think it had to do with simply the time of 2:40PM

sampling, that benthic communities do vary throughout 2:40PM
the year and the -- the benthic communities in the
spring can be different than the benthic communities
that would exist during the summer. The spring is a
time when many aquatic insect larvae are emerging as 2:41PM
adults, mating and then laying eggs, and so that
samples of -- taken in the spring, there's always the
potential to have a very different representation of
the communities because if a particular taxonomic group
has recently emerged because there are a lot of 2:41PM
emergences going on in the spring, then either the eggs
or the new, the young, larvae of that species may be so
small that they pass through the mesh of a -- of a
sampling device and may not be represented in the
samples. That is why I believe it's -- it's generally 2:42PM
more appropriate to sample during stable conditions in
the summer or late summer than it is in the spring
because of that potential variability.
Q Is that when you're trying to compare the two?
A I believe that the -- the sampling in the summer 2:42PM
not only for comparative purposes but even if you're
taking a one-shot look at -- at the benthic communities
that the summer or late summer or early fall is the
best time to sample.
Q Is it -- what if you want to know what the benthic 2:42PM

community is like in the spring though? 2:42PM
A If you want to know what the annual changes are,
then -- then it is appropriate to sample throughout the
year. Maybe during four periods or even during six
periods. If that is a specific attempt to -- a goal to 2:43PM
identify annual cycles and changes in the benthic
community, then sampling at other seasons may be
appropriate.
Q I guess my question is: What if you just want to
know what they look like in the spring? 2:43PM
A Well, then you would sample in the spring.
Q And if you want to know what they look like in the
summer, when would you sample?
A I would sample in the summer. The problem with --
with having a goal like knowing what they look like in 2:43PM
spring is that depending on the week when you sampled
in the spring, because the communities are so dynamic
at that time, there's a potential to just have a
snapshot and it may not be really telling you what they
look like in the spring. It may be just telling you 2:44PM
what they look like during one week in the spring
because of that, the potentially unstable nature of
those communities during that period.
Q Do I remember correctly that Melanie Edwards did
the statistical analysis contained in your expert 2:44PM

report? 2:44PM
A That's correct.
Q Do you have -- did you have access to any of the
underlying information relating to that statistical
analysis or were you just provided with summaries? 2:44PM
A I just looked at summaries.
Q Did you check the analysis?
A I did not independently check them, no.
Q Do you know whether that underlying information
has been produced to us? 2:44PM
A I don't know for sure, but I know it was not part
of my files.
Q Who is Michael Kierski?
A Dr. Michael Kierski is a -- an ecologist in -- who
lives in Wisconsin. He's a -- a scientist on our 2:45PM
staff, mainly specializing in fresh water biology.
MS. COLLINS: Can we go off the record for a
second?
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now off the record.
The time is 2:45 p.m. 2:45PM
(Whereupon, a discussion was held off
the record.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record.
The time is 2:46 p.m.
MS. BURCH: I guess we've started. Do you 2:46PM

want to start? 2:46PM
MS. COLLINS: Well, yes. I'd like to mark
these as Exhibit 3 actually. And let the record
reflect that I've handed to Ms. Burch some documents
now identified as Exhibit 3, which are the invoices for 2:46PM
Dr. Ginn's work from September 2008 until January 30,
2009. These were intended to be included in the prior
production, but were for some reason missing. And
are -- will be produced and Bates numbered after the
deposition, but I wanted to provide those to the State 2:47PM
to aid with this line of questioning that has currently
been undertaken.
MS. BURCH: And when you say that they were
intended to be in a previous production, is that the
original production? 2:47PM
MS. COLLINS: Yes, actually.
MS. BURCH: Do you have another copy that I
could use or --
MS. COLLINS: You can use this one.
MS. BURCH: Okay. I would mark these as 2:47PM
Exhibit 3 to the deposition. I'm not going to use them
for anything right at this second, but they are marked.
Have the invoices prior to this all been produced?
MS. COLLINS: No. The invoices relating to
the time frame in which Dr. Ginn was a consulting 2:48PM

expert have not been produced. 2:48PM
MS. BURCH: Okay. I'm going to add that to the motion.
MS. COLLINS: I thought you would.
MS. BURCH: Yeah, okay. 2:48PM
Q So can you tell me what Michael Kierski does? You tell me he was an ecologist from Wisconsin, is that correct?
A Yes.
Q And what did he do in this matter? 2:48PM
A He was primarily responsible for the -- the underlying analyses in the section on fish in the same manner that Dr. Palmquist worked on the underlying analyses for the section on benthic macroinvertebrates.
Q Did he actually draft -- provide you an initial 2:49PM draft of the fish section of your report?
A He did.
Q Did you change any of the conclusions?
A I don't recall changing any bottom line conclusions, no. 2:49PM
Q Did you review the underlying analysis or did you review the summaries that he provided you?
A I reviewed the summary information.
Q Has the analysis information that underlies his work on -- on fish been provided in your considered 2:49PM

materials to the State? 2:50PM
A It was not part of my -- my files so I suspect that it was not provided in considered materials.
Q So potentially to state at this time, if I were going to go through the fish section and ask you for 2:50PM information underlying the summary conclusions and how I could look at that information, would I get the answer that that's not in the materials provided?
A I believe that the answers would be the same as I gave you for the benthic macroinvertebrate section. 2:50PM
Q What is Michael Kierski's background?
A He has a Ph.D. in ecology, I believe, and is -- he works with both fish and invertebrate communities in his assessments. He's -- I would say he does primarily focus on work in ecological risk assessments. 2:51PM
Q How long has he been employed by Exponent?
A Let's see, I think he would have joined Exponent somewhat over three years ago, maybe almost three and a half years.
Q And where was he before that? 2:51PM
A He was with a company called Menzie, M-E-N-Z-I-E Cura, C-U-R-A, Associates and Exponent wasn't officially an acquisition but there -- we hired many of the staff from that firm and so he was part of that firm and -- and most, if not all, of the biologists 2:52PM

from that firm joined Exponent at that time. 2:52PM
Q Do you know whether he's ever done any work with fish populations in Ozark streams?
A I don't know.
Q I may have asked you this already, but did you -- 2:52PM did you check his analysis for accuracy?
A I did not do an independent check of his analysis.
Q Do you know how long he was at Menzie Cura before he came to Exponent?
A No, I don't. I do know that he was with another 2:53PM consulting firm in the upper midwest before joining Menzie Cura, but I don't know the exact timing of that transition.
Q What did Betty Dowd do in this case?
A Betty Dowd is a graphics specialist and she and 2:53PM some of her support staff were responsible for producing many of the figures, except for maps, which I indicated that Mr. O'Boyle prepared.
Q And what did Patti Warden do?
A As I think I indicated previously, she was the 2:53PM editor for working on this project.
Q Going back real quick to Michael Kierski, did he do the analysis related to Lake Tenkiller?
A No, he did not.
Q Who did that? 2:54PM

A I did that. 2:54PM
Q Did you have any help with analyzing the data on that?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't recall any help, other than perhaps some 2:54PM assistance in obtaining some documents, but I don't even -- I don't have a specific recollection of that.
Q What did Kristy Kaesler do?
A She is a data management specialist so she would have worked with the actual data in a database and 2:54PM doing retrievals of data for the individual scientists working on the team or for preparing data files to be analyzed by Ms. Edwards.
Q I think we went over this and if we did, just let me know, Linda Ziccardi, what did she do on the case? 2:55PM
A Ms. Ziccardi was working with me during the -- the consulting phase of the project. She did not have any significant involvement, as I recall, after the transition to the expert witness phase.
Q And what was her role during the consulting phase? 2:55PM
A Assembling and reviewing biological information.
Q And Sheryl Law, what did she do?
A Sheryl Law did a little bit of everything. She was working on the collection of information, the -- the organization of -- of information that we obtained 2:56PM

as part of the files from the State and, basically, she 2:56PM
was assisting me and if I had a question about data or
about a particular reference or anything else, I would
rely on Sheryl to help me out.
Q Who's Fredrick Bodishbaugh, Bodishbaugh? 2:56PM
A Dr. Bodishbaugh is a -- is a toxicologist and
ecologist in the Belview, Washington, office of -- of
Exponent.
Q What did he do on this case?
A I don't recall. I don't recall a -- a major 2:57PM
involvement at all on his part, but I would have to --
maybe if I saw the invoices, I don't know, it might
refresh my memory, but I'm not sure.
Q I'm holding the invoices which have been marked as
Deposition Exhibit 3. I didn't realize I was doing 2:57PM
that. I do not need to ask you a question about that,
I'm just curious if you recall what he did. Who is
Craig Amos?
A I'm trying to recall what he might have been doing
and all I can -- he is more of a general scientist and 2:58PM
he must have been working at the request of -- of one
of the other scientists, either -- either Dr. Kierski
or Dr. Palmquist because I don't specifically recall
what he was doing.
Q And who is Kenneth Cerreto? 2:59PM

A He had -- the same answer would be for him, I just 2:59PM
don't -- I don't have direct knowledge of that.
Q And what about Taryn Sparacio?
A Yeah, she's a -- more of a general environmental
scientist and the answer would be the same for her. 2:59PM
Q And what about Ramon E. Pierce?
A He is a -- a GIS specialist that reports or
reported to Mr. O'Boyle.
Q Do you know how much you've been compensated for
your work in this case? 3:00PM
A Oh, when you say -- do you mean Exponent has been
compensated?
Q Sure.
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. I direct you
not to answer the question to the extent that the 3:00PM
answer pertains to work product of other consulting
experts at Exponent. To the extent your answer
reflects your work as a testifying expert, please go
ahead.
A Yes, my understanding is that for my -- my work on 3:00PM
this project as a testifying expert, I think that total
billings were something on the order of $323,000.
Q Okay. Let's go ahead and take a break.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now off the record.
The time is 3:01 p.m. 3:01PM

(Following a short recess, proceedings 3:01PM
continued on the record.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record.
The time is 3:19 p.m.
Q Do you know whether Exponent ever entered into 3:19PM
contracts for consulting services which provide a
discount in the event of a successful outcome in the
litigation?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Not to my knowledge. 3:19PM
Q Would you turn to your report at Page 1-1. In the
second paragraph there's a list of five items, do you
see that?
A Yes, I do.
Q Prior to that, there's a sentence that says, "In 3:20PM
developing these opinions I've asked my counsel to
address the following areas," do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
Q Did counsel identify the areas that they wanted
you to evaluate in this case? 3:20PM
A As far as these general areas, yes, it was
identified in -- based on my discussions with them, and
their conclusion that that's what I should go ahead
with as far as areas to look into.
Q And the first area is to evaluate available 3:21PM

information on biological conditions in the aquatic 3:21PM
environments of the Illinois River Watershed, including
the Illinois River and its tributary streams in
Tenkiller Ferry Lake, is that correct?
A That's correct. 3:21PM
Q When you say "biological conditions" in that
sentence, what do you mean why that?
A Well, what I mean there, based on our discussions,
was just the biological conditions for benthic
macroinvertebrates and fishes, not all biological 3:21PM
conditions.
Q And did that include evaluations of water quality
conditions?
A No, I was not doing a separate analysis of water
quality conditions. 3:22PM
Q Did it include an analysis of periphyton
phytoplankton, any sort of algae species?
A No, it did not.
Q In the second paragraph there, why were you asked
to determine whether methods for conducting natural 3:22PM
resource damage assessments under the DOI rules were
followed by the State of Oklahoma?
A Well, I don't know if I can answer all the reasons
why, but I do recall being asked to, as I reviewed the
information, in the State's expert's reports, to 3:23PM

comment on whether I believed that they were following 3:23PM
the kinds of information that -- that should be
involved in a natural resource damage assessment
according to the DOI rule.
Q Is the State required to follow the methods for 3:23PM
conducting natural resource damage assessments
described in the U.S. Department of Interior rule?
A No. As far as I know, they are not.
Q Did you do an evaluation of the relationship
between the density of poultry houses in the Illinois 3:23PM
River and the structure of fish communities at
downstream sampling sites?
A Yes, I did.
Q Do you do that analysis yourself?
A That analysis was -- the answer is no, I did not. 3:23PM
Q Who did that analysis?
A That was done primarily by Dr. Mike Kierski.
Q And when you say "primarily by," was there
additional work done in terms of GIS analysis?
A That's correct. That's what I was thinking about, 3:24PM
that he would have had GIS support on that.
Q What did you do to verify that -- is it Dr.
Kierski?
A Yes.
Q -- Dr. Kierski's statistical analysis and other 3:24PM

work to compare the density of poultry houses to the 3:24PM
structure of fish communities was reliable?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I did do an independent evaluation of his
analysis. 3:24PM
Q Who did the evaluation of the status of fish
communities downstream of the Cargill contract grow and
breeder operations in the Illinois Watershed?
A The answer would be the same, that was Dr.
Kierski. 3:25PM
Q And what did you do to determine whether his
analysis was reliable?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I did not do an independent evaluation of his
analysis. 3:25PM
Q Did that analysis require statistic -- evaluation
of statistical relationships?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
Q I mean -- let me start over.
Did that analysis require statistical 3:25PM
analysis of various relationships?
A The --
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A That analysis -- that analysis involved -- did not
involve direct statistical comparisons or statistical 3:25PM

tests, as I recall. 3:26PM
Q How was poultry house density calculated?
A That was calculated by -- from a data set that was
supplied to us by -- by the defendants that, to my
knowledge, had been developed by the defendants 3:26PM
themselves and compiled and was transmitted to us as
a -- as a spreadsheet.
Q What did you do to verify the accuracy of the
information in that spreadsheet?
A I did not independently evaluate that spreadsheet 3:26PM
for accuracy.
Q Did Dr. Kierski?
A Not to my knowledge.
Q Did you provide that spreadsheet in your
considered materials? 3:26PM
A I suspect that we did not because I -- I did not
have a copy of that spreadsheet on my computer, at
least I don't recall it being on my computer.
Q Who did the evaluation of the approaches, methods
and conclusions, in the report of Dr. Stevenson? 3:27PM
A That work was actually a collaborative effort
between myself and Dr. Kierski and Dr. Palmquist.
Q When you were doing your evaluation of
Dr. Stevenson's work did you rely on the work done by
Dr. Kierski and Dr. Palmquist? 3:28PM

A In part, I -- we were -- we were collaborating on 3:28PM
that. I was directing them in the kinds of assessments
and the general area of comments that I thought were
appropriate and they were doing some of the initial
drafting of that section. 3:28PM
Q Did you draft any of the section of your report
that contains the criticisms of Dr. Stevenson's
analysis?
A I did parts of it, I can't recall exactly how
much. I would say overall it was -- I would say the 3:28PM
majority of it was probably initially drafted by Drs.
Palmquist and Kierski.
Q In Section 6.2 of your report under Evaluation of
Stevenson, there's a section called Statistical
Approaches. Did you draft any of that section? 3:29PM
A Oh, that. I recall participating in that, but as
I remember, that was initially drafted by Ms. Edwards,
Melanie Edwards, the statistician that I identified.
Q Did you do anything to verify the accuracy of the
analysis on statistical approaches? 3:30PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I didn't do any underlying verification of any of
the numerical information, but I certainly reviewed and
considered and worked on the final drafts of that
section. 3:30PM

Q What else did you do with regard to the 3:30PM
statistical approaches section in Section 6.2 of your
report?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, I also directed Ms. Edwards as far as the 3:30PM
areas that I thought were worth looking into and that
were -- that would warrant comments in that section.
Q Anything else?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Nothing else other than what I just told you in 3:31PM
those various areas.
Q All right. Who wrote the Section 6.3,
Inappropriate Characterization and Selection of
Reference Stations?
A I don't recall. 3:31PM
Q Who wrote the Section 6.4, Relationship of BMI
Communities to Stream Characteristics, Phosphorus and
Poultry House Density?
A This is in Section 6? I believe that was
initially drafted by Dr. Palmquist, but as I indicated, 3:31PM
the three of us were jointly working on those and I
don't recall always the -- who the -- who may have
drafted the particular section.
Q Who drafted the Section 6.5, Relationship of Fish
Communities to Stream Characteristics, Phosphorus and 3:32PM

Poultry House Density? 3:32PM
A I believe that Dr. Kierski did the initial draft
of that section.
Q And who wrote the Section 6.6, Overall Summary of
Stevenson? 3:32PM
A That -- I may have drafted that initially. I may
have done it as a collaborative effort with other
authors. I don't recall.
Q And that's section summarizes all of the work done
by the statistician Edwards, Dr. Palmquist and Dr. 3:33PM
Kierski?
A That's correct.
Q Did you undertake to evaluate the approaches,
methods, and conclusions reached by Dr. Welch in the
report of Cooke and Welch? 3:34PM
A Yes, I did.
Q And did you review all of the approaches, methods,
and conclusions by Dr. Welch?
A I don't know if all of them were reviewed. All of
them that were apparent to me to be his -- his opinions 3:34PM
in that report, since it was a dual-authored report. I
certainly read the whole thing, I don't know if
every -- every part of his report was discussed here --
herein.
Q How were you able to distinguish which part was 3:34PM

written -- of the Cooke Welch report was written by Dr. 3:34PM
Welch and which part was written by Dr. Cooke?
A Well, as I remember, there was a separation of --
of the opinions that were identified for each of them
and there was some indication of the various sections 3:35PM
that they had been responsible for in the report, so I
based my judgment on what was -- what was in the
report.
Q Dr. Welch issued some opinions on AHODs in Lake
Tenkiller. Did you give any opinions or analysis or 3:35PM
conclusions related to that topic?
A No, I did not.
Q He issued some opinions on total phosphorus
concentrations in Lake Tenkiller. Did you issue any --
do any analysis or reach any opinions or conclusions 3:35PM
based on that?
A No, I did not.
Q You make a statement about evaluating all of his
methods, approaches, and conclusions. Which particular
methods and conclusions did you actually review? 3:35PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A The first statement doesn't say "evaluate all of
the," as you indicated. It says "evaluate the
approaches, methods and conclusions."
Q Okay. 3:36PM

A Since my -- my charge in this matter was to focus 3:36PM
on benthic macroinvertebrates and fish, as I reviewed
this report and Dr. Stevenson's report, I conducted my
evaluation of the -- the relevant information to those
two biological assemblages. 3:36PM
Q Are total phosphorus concentrations not relevant
to biological assemblages?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. Asked and
answered.
A As I indicated previously, I'm not saying that, 3:36PM
but my evaluation was focusing on the -- the nature of
the biological communities themselves that exist in --
in the streams and in the lake of the Illinois River
Watershed.
Q Did you offer opinions on whether or not there had 3:37PM
been impacts to those?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A My opinions were based on the -- the available
information and whether or not they indicated that
these were -- were highly stressed or injured 3:37PM
populations, but I did not conduct any evaluation with
the exception of upstream poultry house density and
evaluations of subbasin size and urban land use. I did
not conduct individual assessments of any potential
impacts of any pollutant sources, other than might be 3:38PM

associated with those factors. 3:38PM
Q That's true for Dr. Stevenson and for Drs. Cooke and Welch's report, is that right?
A Well, I was talking more about my -- my more affirmative opinions there. In my evaluations of their 3:38PM reports, I focused on their conclusions concerning the status or effects on biological communities in reviewing those and reviewing the information or the analyses that they used to reach those conclusions.
Q Let's take Dr. Stevenson for example. Do you 3:39PM think that Dr. Stevenson looked at filamentous green algae in assessing impacts to fish or benthic macroinvertebrates?
A Well, he looked at filamentous algae and I think he used -- he used, incorporated, as I recall, the 3:39PM occurrence of filamentous algae in some of his -- some of his regression analyses, although I don't remember the specifics.
Q So do you think that he looked at filamentous green algae in evaluating impacts to benthic 3:39PM macroinvertebrates and fish in the Illinois River Watershed?
A Well, as I indicated, I think he had -- there was a filamentous algae factor that he evaluated in his analysis of the other biological groups. 3:40PM

Q Did you look at that? 3:40PM
A No, I did not.
Q And so what I'm trying to understand is -- is which parts of their analysis relating to impacts to benthic macroinvertebrate and fish did you analyze? 3:40PM
A With regard to Dr. Stevenson?
Q Okay. We can start with him, sure.
A I evaluated his -- his overall -- the regression analyses he did and his -- his conclusions he reached regarding causal factors associated with changes in 3:41PM either benthic macroinvertebrates or fish communities.
Q Did that regression analysis include parameters related to filamentous green algae?
A I believe it did, as I said.
Q But you didn't look at filamentous green algae? 3:41PM
A No, I did not.
Q How did you review his regression analysis? Would you include information on filamentous green algae without looking at filamentous green algae?
A The regression analyses would still lend 3:41PM themselves to evaluation and interpretation relative to other potentially causal factors that were being evaluated without delving into that because I did not look at the filamentous algae, per se.
Q Did you look at anything other than his regression 3:42PM analysis? 3:42PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, I looked at all of the information he used, for example, in looking at his percent changes in -- that he saw in various community metrics, so I was -- I 3:42PM was looking at everything that I thought was relevant to -- to my assessment.
Q Did you look at all of the metrics?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A No, I did not. 3:42PM
Q You didn't review all of the metrics that Dr. Stevenson reviewed in reaching his conclusions, is that accurate?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Not individually, no. 3:43PM
Q Did Dr. Stevenson look at total phosphorous concentrations?
A Yes, he did.
Q Did you review that?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. Asked and 3:43PM answered.
A I reviewed it. I did not comment on it or develop opinions on it.
Q Wasn't the -- weren't all of the metrics that Dr. Stevenson looked at and all of the water quality 3:43PM

information that he reviewed important to his 3:43PM conclusions regarding the impacts of poultry on fish and benthic macroinvertebrates?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't know that they were all important. Some 3:43PM of his analyses showed apparent statistical significance and some did not. And I -- I had difficulty separating out or being able to really evaluate exactly what he had done in those analyses, so it's very hard to determine what was important and what 3:44PM was not.
Q So how did you select which of them to review?
MS. COLLINS: Object to the form.
A Some of them were certain factors that I remember him -- remember that Dr. Stevenson found as significant 3:44PM and I conducted some separate evaluations of my own just to evaluate whether or not those particular factors showed a significant relationship using -- using, basically, the entire data set that I had available to -- to do an independent evaluation of 3:45PM those factors.
Q Do you consider the other factors to be irrelevant to fish and benthic macroinvertebrate populations?
A Not necessarily, no.
Q Can total phosphorus concentrations impact fish 3:45PM

and benthic macroinvertebrates? **3:45PM**
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. Asked and answered.
A As I indicated previously, yes, phosphorus, if it's in sufficient concentrations over a sufficient 3:45PM time, there are a lot of considerations there, can stimulate alga growth and, at sufficient levels, can cause adverse effects.
Q Do you know whether the levels in the Illinois River are sufficient to cause adverse effects to **3:46PM** **benthic macroinvertebrates or fish communities?**
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I have not looked at that as a relationship to concentrations. I have looked at it more as the communities of benthic macroinvertebrates and fish 3:46PM themselves and whether or not those communities show evidence of stress.
Q And that analysis was based on a comparison to -- to reference sites?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 3:46PM
Q Is that correct?
A As I indicated, in part comparison to the State's reference sites; in part in looking at various community metrics for both of these biological assemblages, and reaching overall conclusion, but it 3:46PM

was -- it was multiple pieces of evidence that were 3:46PM used.
Q With reference to Dr. Welch's work in the Cooke and Welch report, which parts of his analysis did you review? **3:47PM**
MS. COLLINS: Are you referring to a specific part of his report, of Dr. Ginn's report?
Q I'm still on Page 1-1 where it says he evaluated the approaches, methods and conclusions reached by Dr. Eugene Welch in the report of Cooke and Welch. **3:47PM**
A In the areas that I focused on were comments by Dr. Welch on the -- the ecology of fishes in Lake Tenkiller and he also commented on the benthic communities of Lake Tenkiller.
Q Now, he looked at dissolved oxygen levels, **3:48PM** **correct?**
A Yes, he did.
Q And he looked at phosphorus concentrations, is that correct?
A He did. 3:48PM
Q Did he look at, I think it's called, hypoxic factor?
A Yes.
Q And he looked at AHODs, is that correct?
A Yes. 3:48PM

Q Did he look at chlorophyll-a concentrations? **3:48PM**
A I believe he did, but for some of those I'm not sure where the separation was or the overlap between Dr. Cooke and Dr. Welch. It appeared to me that Dr. Welch was responsible for the work on fish and 3:49PM benthic macroinvertebrates.
Q Aren't all of those things I just -- we just discussed that Dr. Welch looked at relevant to fish and macroinvertebrate populations in Lake Tenkiller?
A Yes, they can be relevant, but the question is 3:49PM whether or not they appear to be causing injuries to -- to fish or macroinvertebrate populations in the lake. So the fundamental question comes down to, well, what do the fish populations look like and what do the benthic macroinvertebrate communities look like in the 3:49PM lake.
Q Is the violation of water quality standard an injury under the natural resource damage assessment regulations?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 3:49PM
A It's a defined injury as for -- for surface water resources.
Q Do you know anything about how much phosphorus loading there is into Lake Tenkiller?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. Asked and 3:50PM

answered. 3:50PM
A No, I don't know that number.
Q Do you know anything about the source of phosphorus loading to Lake Tenkiller?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. Asked and 3:50PM answered.
A I know something about the sources, the potential sources of phosphorus to Lake Tenkiller.
Q What do you know?
A I've read information about a variety of potential 3:50PM sources, including municipal sewage discharges, including septic tanks or septic fields, including nurseries, including runoff from agricultural land, and runoff from urban areas.
Q And do you know whether there has been a **3:51PM** **contribution of phosphorus -- to phosphorus loading to Lake Tenkiller from the land application of poultry waste?**
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't know. I have not conducted that 3:51PM evaluation.
Q Have you read anything about it?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I have, yes.
Q What have you read? **3:51PM**

A Um, I've read materials alleging that there is a 3:51PM
runoff from fields where poultry litter has been
applied. I believe I read one literature article, and
I don't recall where it was, that attempted to evaluate
if there was runoff from, I think, experimental fields. 3:52PM
Q Do you recall the sources that you reviewed that
you read this information in?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A No, I don't.
Q Do you know whether waste water treatment plants 3:52PM
are contributing phosphorus -- to phosphorus loading in
the Illinois River Watershed?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't recall the details of what I read, but as
I recall, I've read some -- some documentation of 3:52PM
phosphorus in the -- in the effluence of waste water
treatment plants.
Q Do you know whether it's contributing to
phosphorus loading at Lake Tenkiller?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 3:53PM
A No, I don't.
Q Do you know whether septic tanks are contributing
phosphorus loading to Lake Tenkiller?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A No, I don't. 3:53PM

Q Do you know of any other runoff from agriculture 3:53PM
land?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I have not evaluated any of those potential
sources to loading at Lake Tenkiller. 3:53PM
Q Have you evaluated any of those sources with
regard to phosphorus levels in the tributaries to the
Illinois River?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A No, I have not. 3:53PM
Q Can phosphorus, the phosphorus loading to Lake
Tenkiller result in dissolved oxygen below water
quality standards?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A As I indicated, I've not done a comparison of 3:53PM
dissolved oxygen levels in Lake Tenkiller relative
to -- relative to state standard. Nor have I evaluated
the relationship of phosphorus loading to any
violations of DO standards, if they exist.
Q Starting on Section 2-1 of your report, there is a 3:54PM
number list that continues through to Page 2-6. Is
that a summary of all of your opinions in this case?
A It's a summary of my -- what I would call my major
opinions. I wouldn't characterize it as a summary of
all of my opinions because there may be many opinions 3:55PM

expressed throughout the report. 3:55PM
Q Are all of the opinions that you plan to offer at
trial in this case contained in this report?
A At this time, yes.
Q Have you been asked to do any additional work? 3:55PM
A No, I haven't.
Q Section 7 of your report is entitled Fishes and
Macroinvertebrates in Tenkiller Ferry Lake, is that
correct?
A That's correct. 3:55PM
Q And did you author all of Section 7?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I drafted that section. I may have had -- as I
recall, I asked one or more others to review it, but
the original drafting is mine. 3:56PM
Q Who reviewed it?
A I don't recall at this time whether it was Dr.
Kierski or Dr. Palmquist or both.
Q Section 8 of your report, who drafted that?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 3:56PM
A I did.
Q Is Section 8 -- well, forget the question.
Also under your report are a series of
figures and tables. Did you create any of the
figures or tables in this report? 3:57PM

MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 3:57PM
A As I recall, I created the figures and tables
that -- well, I shouldn't say that. The figures and
tables that pertain to Tenkiller Ferry Lake were
prepared directly under my supervision, even though I 3:57PM
didn't draw them or produce them myself. In many
cases, they were figures that I extracted from various
data sources. Most, if not all, of the figures in
other sections of the report were prepared under the
supervision of either Dr. Kierski or Dr. Palmquist. 3:58PM
Q Did you do anything to verify the accuracy of the
tables or figures that were prepared under the
direction of Dr. Palmquist or Dr. Kierski?
A No, I did not do an independent evaluation of
those figures. 3:58PM
Q And has the information underlying all of the
tables and figures been provided in your considered
materials?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't know. I think we've talked about some -- 3:59PM
some original data that may be underlying tables or
figures that I indicated I am unsure of whether or not
it's been produced. It was not information that I had
in my possession and to the extent that there were
intermediate calculations based on the State's data 3:59PM

sets, those may not have been produced. 3:59PM
Q Did you conduct an ecological assessment of the streams in the Illinois River Watershed or Lake Tenkiller?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 3:59PM
A An ecological assessment?
Q Yes.
A In the broadest sense, in looking at the -- the ecological structure of those assemblages and the relationships to certain variables, but I would not 4:00PM call it comprehensive or definitive because not all the data were available that I would want to have for a -- a rigid ecological assessment.
Q Did you consider all of the data that exists for the Illinois River Watershed or some subset of that 4:00PM data?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I'm sure it's some subset. The data collected by the State and produced in the data files was the most comprehensive biological data that I had seen for the 4:01PM system, especially involving synoptic sampling of a wide variety of locations so that those data to me had a high priority regarding my evaluation.
Q I'm not sure I remember, it's getting a bit late in the day, but did I ask you whether you conducted an 4:01PM

ecological assessment of both the streams of the 4:01PM Illinois River Watershed and Lake Tenkiller?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't think you did.
Q Okay. Was your answer directed just to the 4:01PM streams of the Illinois River Watershed?
A Well, I don't think it was. The same answer would be there. There's also some uncertainty in my mind as far as what you mean by "ecological assessment." That's a fairly broad term and is -- not to my 4:02PM knowledge, is not well defined. What would constitute an ecological assessment? It could be interpreted fairly narrowly and it could be interpreted very broadly and it's not a term that -- that I would typically use. 4:02PM
Q Did you -- did you do a comprehensive assessment of ecological conditions in the Illinois River Watershed?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't -- I wouldn't characterize it as 4:02PM comprehensive in that I did not do separate evaluations of phytoplankton, separate evaluations of periphyton.
Q Anything else?
A Well, and I didn't have access to important habitat information for the 2006 and 2007 studies, 4:03PM

which for a comprehensive assessment would be required. 4:03PM
Q If you were doing a comprehensive assessment would you want to look at water quality data?
MS. COLLINS: Object to the form.
A I think for an overall assessment, integrating all 4:03PM factors that may be issues in the case, evaluation of water quality data is one important factor, yes.
Q Did you conduct a natural resource damage assessment?
A No, I did not. 4:03PM
Q Did your analysis follow the DOI regulations?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A No, it did not.
Q Is your analysis adequate to determine injuries to fish and benthic macroinvertebrates in the Illinois 4:04PM River Watershed?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't think -- my analysis, I believe, went as far as it could with the available data, but I think the limitations in the available data, especially 4:04PM associated with some of those important variables that I identified earlier, precludes a definitive assessment of -- of injury due to any particular source.
Q What do you mean "due to any particular source"?
A Due to any particular source of the substance that 4:04PM

may be causing effects on those communities. 4:04PM
Q Can you -- under the NRDA regulations, when you identify an injury, is it necessary to identify a particular source?
A Well, I think it's necessary to identify a cause 4:05PM that is associated with that injury, that it -- that an injury is not just a change in some biological parameter, but it is a -- it's a measurable adverse change that results from the release of a hazardous substance. And that -- those words "released" or 4:05PM "caused by" or resulting from a release involves an assessment of the causal factors associated with any particular change.
Q Right. So in looking at Lake Tenkiller, could one determine injury by identifying, and I know you dispute 4:05PM the phosphorus as a hazardous substance, but let's assume just for the sake of discussion, could one identify phosphorus as the source of an injury --
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
Q -- under the NRDA regs? 4:06PM
A Well, I would have characterized it as the cause of an injury. Are you saying the source -- as a -- I mean, phosphorus is a substance that would either be causally related or not causally related with an injury. 4:06PM

Q Right. So could one analyze the natural resource damage claim like this, that the release of phosphorus has resulted in an injury to Lake Tenkiller? 4:06PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, I guess in theory the question would be which release of phosphorus, from which facility as the term is used in CERCLA may be causally related with any -- you know, any indicator of injury. 4:07PM
Q And do you believe that's necessary for the determination of an injury under the NRDA regs? 4:07PM
A Well, the determination of an injury is a -- that is an initial step, as I indicated before. That's the first process, first step in the real injury assessment process. The determination of an injury can be made by just simply a comparison with a particular arteriole or standard. There's a wide variety of metrics identified in the rule that can be used to determine an injury. 4:07PM
Q And so taking Lake Tenkiller, for example, could one determine whether there's an injury by looking at the amount of phosphorus being released into the reservoir and whether or not that amount of phosphorus was causing DO water quality standards to not be met? 4:08PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I suppose as far as determining an injury to surface waters, which that would -- that category of 4:08PM

comparing with a standard would apply to, I suppose that an injury could be determined but the question is then how one would quantify that injury and how one might relate that -- that injury to any particular source of phosphorus. 4:08PM 4:09PM
Q Right. So what does quantifying an injury mean to you under the natural resource damage regs?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A In the quantification step, the injury is characterized in both time, as far as the duration of the injury, and in space, how far that injury extends. And the determination is made as far as what is the -- what is the reduction in services associated with that particular resource when compared to base line conditions and base line being the conditions that would exist in that assessment area, but for any effects of the particular release being addressed, but including all other potential sources of that substance or similar stresses associated with either related substances or natural or anthropogenic factors that could be causing that kind of effect. Once that difference from base line is determined in the quantification step, then that incremental change can be translated into either monetary damages or restoration steps. 4:09PM 4:10PM 4:10PM 4:11PM

Q So your -- your opinion is that only the incremental step can be turned into a quantification -- I mean, into a damage estimate? 4:11PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
Q Is that correct? 4:11PM
A Well, the goal is to look at the increment, the amount of the quantified injury associated with a particular release.
Q Earlier we talked about joint and several liability, is that correct? 4:11PM
A We did.
Q And is it, does -- in the context of a site with multiple responsible parties, when a natural resource damage assessment is done, is there a separate damage determination for each contributing source? 4:11PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, and as I think I indicated before, when we get into joint and several liability, we're into a -- a legal terminology that I'm not sure I fully understand although I have a vague understanding of it. But I, if -- there are multiple PRPs. They are identified as PRPs. I do know that there's -- I've seen natural resource damages conducted where there is not an apportionment among identified PRPs. 4:12PM
Q Right. So at a site with multiple PRPs, have you ever seen a natural resource damage assessment that did a different damage determination set for each individual source? 4:12PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A There are -- I'm aware of attempts at that on ongoing cases and I'm also aware of, I believe, in the Hylebos case, which I mentioned yesterday, that part of the trustee's assessment included -- part of their damage assessment included an allocation scheme among the various PRPs in Hylebos Waterway. 4:13PM 4:13PM
Q Okay. So doesn't the allocations scheme happen after the damage determination phase?
A In many cases, yes, but I think there it was done as part of the -- part of the trustee's activities. It was part of their published materials concerning the case. 4:14PM
Q Does it have to be done that way?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A No, you asked me if I knew of -- of examples but I am not aware of any requirement that that be done. 4:14PM
Q So in the Hylebos case, did they look at the injuries -- did they do an injury determination and quantification phase for each individual PRP?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A No, they did not. 4:14PM

Q So when they were doing their analysis were they 4:14PM
looking at the contribution of the hazardous substance
from all of the PRPs and -- as an intermingle together
to cause the injury?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 4:14PM
A I just don't -- as I said, I have not worked
directly on that so I'm going based on my recollection
of some of the -- the published trustee documents that
I've seen and I believe that the allocation effort was
done separately and subsequent to the overall injury 4:15PM
assessment.
Q When you're talking about quantifying injuries in
time and space taken at Lake Tenkiller and dissolved
oxygen standard -- well, let me define the term real
quick. When I say "a violation of a standard," do you 4:15PM
understand what I mean?
A Yes, in general terms.
Q Do we agree that that means that the standard is
not being met in the water body?
A Yes. 4:16PM
Q So taking Lake Tenkiller as an example, if
dissolved oxygen standards were not being met, how
would you quantify that injury?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, I think that there's a challenge there to 4:16PM

quantify that -- that kind of an injury, especially in 4:16PM
terms of services provided by that particular
constituent or trying to look at what services might be
diminished relative to base line because of that
particular standard not being met. So the question in 4:16PM
a situation like that is whether or not there is an
attempt to value that injury intrinsically for the
value of that water, let's say, per se, because you're
looking at there being an injury to surface waters,
assuming your example. The other question is, well, 4:17PM
does that -- does that violation of the standard cause
a reduction in services for some other -- some other
group, either a biological group or to humans using
that water body. So I've seen those kinds of
quantifications done both ways, looking at the resource 4:18PM
itself or looking at services provided to either
biological communities or to humans.
Q So it can be done both ways under the DOI
regulations?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 4:18PM
A Well, the -- I'm not sure about the former
approach. The former approach involves some
considerations of services that are -- that I think,
you know, are challenging. So I don't know about both
ways. I said -- I said I've seen it done both ways. 4:18PM

Q Stepping back a step before we get to reduction in 4:18PM
services, how would you quantify the injury in time?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A The classical way to do it is to look at the date
of the first documented release from the facility. 4:19PM
There are some considerations of whether or not that
release in the -- and any resultant injury may have
occurred wholly before the enactment of CERCLA in
December of 1980, and in some cases I've seen trustees
rather than try to cast back, I guess, too far, to just 4:19PM
start injuries -- in a temporal sense, start injuries
with the date of enactment of CERCLA. And then,
basically, account for any injuries on an annual basis
until such time as the -- the services for that
resource are returned to base line conditions. 4:20PM
Q And how do you quantify -- we've got to go on a
break. I'm so interested. Sorry about that.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now off the record.
The time is 4:20 p.m.
(Following a short recess, proceedings 4:20PM
continued on the record.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record.
The time is 4:37 p.m.
Q Can I refer you to Page 5-48 of your report? Is
that where the analysis -- your analysis relationship 4:38PM

to Cargill contract grower/breeder operations is 4:38PM
located?
A That's correct.
Q Did you evaluate IBI scores in relation to Cargill
contract growers? 4:38PM
A Yes, I did.
Q And how did you identify Cargill contract growers?
A That information was supplied by Cargill.
Q And did you review that information yourself?
A No, I did not. I mean, I did not personally 4:39PM
review that information.
Q Who did?
A That was primarily Mike Kierski, but assisted by
Randy O'Boyle with mapping the information.
Q Did Dr. Kierski or Randy O'Boyle do anything to 4:39PM
verify the accuracy of that information?
A Not to my knowledge.
Q I think we might have covered this before, but is
that information regarding the location of contract --
Cargill contract growers been provided to the State in 4:39PM
your considered materials?
A The -- as I recall, the locations are plotted on a
map in my report. I don't think there is anything else
that's been provided.
Q Would you refer to the middle paragraph on Page 4:40PM

5-48? There is a statement there regarding 92 percent 4:40PM
of the stations located closest in a downstream
direction from one or more Cargill contract growers' or
breeders' operations, do you see that?
A Yes, I do. 4:40PM
Q That indicates that 92 percent had an IBI score
that were classified as either fully supporting a cool
water aquatic community or a score indicating that full
support was undetermined. Is that correct?
A That's correct. 4:40PM
Q What percentage of those stations had a score that
full support of the water quality standard was
undetermined?
A I don't recall that separation.
Q How can I determine that based on the information 4:41PM
that you have provided either in your report or
considered materials?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't believe that information is in here.
Q If you were unable using an IBI score to determine 4:41PM
that a location was fully supporting its beneficial use
of a cool water aquatic community, do you know whether
or not there's an impact at that location?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A If it falls in the range where it's undetermined? 4:42PM

Q Yes. 4:42PM
A My sense is that if it falls in that area then
it's important to -- to start to look at other factors,
especially habitat factors to see -- to see if there's
any explanation of what -- what might be happening but 4:42PM
that range is just what it says, it's undetermined as
far as where there is -- those beneficial uses are
being supported.
Q Does the IBI provide direction for what you do
after you identify a site where that full support was 4:42PM
undetermined?
A I don't recall if there's anything stated.
Q What makes you believe that you should primarily
look at habitat issues?
A Well, because that's -- that's one factor that 4:43PM
could be causing, especially in very small streams,
that could be limiting the IBI and resulting in a lower
numeric value just because of the very limited nature
of a habitat in -- in a very small stream with a small
drainage area. 4:43PM
Q Are all of the locations which had a score
indicating that full support was undetermined in small
streams?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, I think, as I indicated in the next 4:44PM

paragraph there, that there were -- I don't know about 4:44PM
all, but there were certainly a number that were in --
in small subbasins and there was only one station that
was below the value of 29 indicating non-support and
that was in a small subbasin. 4:44PM
Q Well, how many of the areas indicating that full
support was undetermined were in small subbasins?
A It doesn't tell the actual number that I can see.
Q Do you have any way to determine that?
A I would have to -- I would to have look at the 4:45PM
actual scores for each one of the stations.
Q And where can those be found?
A I would have to -- they would be found in a data
file associated with these calculations, but I don't
know where that data file might reside. 4:45PM
Q Can I -- and I assume that that data file was not
provided to you or, as a result, to the state, is that
correct?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't have it, no. 4:45PM
Q Could water quality be a reason that full support
might be undetermined?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Yes, it could be. It could be water quality or it
could be habitat. 4:45PM

Q Do you know which is the case here? 4:46PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I suspect it's habitat because of these -- the
very small -- small subbasin sizes and because the --
the relationships between changes in fish communities 4:46PM
and subbasin sites are -- are fairly well established
in the literature that there -- some of these small
subbasins could be very limited habitats because of the
intermittent nature of some of the streams and the
limited habitat available for fishes. 4:46PM
Q Do you know whether there's limited habitat at the
sites where you found that full support was
undetermined?
A Only with regard to the -- the very small nature
of the drainage basin and associated streams. 4:47PM
Q How many of the ones where full support was
undetermined by various quantities?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A As I said, that number is not in here.
Q How many of these streams are intermittent in 4:47PM
nature?
A I can't tell you exactly which ones are
intermittent, but I have in my visits to the Illinois
River Watershed, some of these small basin streams I've
observed, I observed that the inter -- the fairly 4:47PM

frequent occurrence, especially during the late summer 4:47PM
of intermittent streams in the area.
Q Of those that -- the streams that we're talking about here?
A I don't know. 4:48PM
Q Do you know whether all of the streams in the Illinois River Watershed that were sampled are designated cool water aquatic communities?
A I believe that there was one stream and I don't remember its name that was designated as a warm water 4:48PM
stream.
Q How was that determined?
A I don't know. I recall it being specified as such in some information from, I think, the Oklahoma Water Resources Board. 4:49PM
Q Do you recall what the information was?
A I don't, but I just recall seeing something and -- on that particular stream that indicated that -- that it was designated as a warm water stream rather than a cool water stream. 4:49PM
Q Did you check all of the streams for their designation?
A No, I did not. I did not myself check all of the streams for their designation.
Q Did anybody check the streams for their 4:49PM

designation? 4:50PM
A Well, I believe it would have been Dr. Kierski but -- who assembled the initial information on the -- on the designation of these streams because I recall specifically asking him whether they were designated 4:50PM
cool water or warm water.
Q Do you know whether Dr. Kierski has ever worked with Oklahoma water quality standards?
A I don't know.
Q Can you tell me when data transformations are 4:51PM appropriate for statistical analysis and when they're not?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, that is a -- a broad topic and there's no simple answer to it and it involves a lot of 4:51PM
considerations of the -- the nature of the underlying data, the kind tests that might be applied to those data and the -- the candidate transformations. And as we talked before, the decisions about using either a parametric or a nonparametric test. 4:52PM
Q What are power transformations?
A Power transformations are transformations that -- well, that are based on the -- I think you mean raising the number to a certain power. It could be based on a logarithmic or a square or cube-type relationship. 4:52PM

Q Do you know whether Dr. Stevenson used power 4:52PM transformations?
A I think he did but I -- I don't recall all of his different transformations he used.
Q Could you look at 2-2 of your report, specifically 4:53PM Paragraph No. 5?
A Yes.
Q This is a discussion of the IBI scores for all of the Illinois River Watershed streams you assessed, is that correct? 4:53PM
A Yes, it is.
Q And it says 83 to 100 percent, depending on the year in the data set, were either indicating a full support -- fully supported cool water aquatic community or where a conclusive decision regarding attainment of 4:54PM a cool water community requires further investigation, is that correct?
A Yes.
Q How many of the stations were at the level where you could not determine whether the fish and wildlife 4:54PM beneficial use was being met?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't recall that number. I don't know if it's -- if it's back in the -- in that particular section of the report or not. 4:54PM

Q Would you turn to Figure 5-26? 4:54PM
A Okay.
Q Can you tell me, by looking at Figure 5-26, how many of the sampling stations were deemed to fully support the cool water aquatic community beneficial 4:55PM use?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, this would indicate that -- that I think seven are not fully supporting.
Q Okay. Let's talk about the charts in more detail. 4:56PM Does the blue on this figure indicate full support?
A Yes.
Q And what does green indicate?
A Green is undetermined, that intermediate range.
Q And yellow represents not supported? 4:56PM
A That's correct.
Q And how many of the lines are in the blue section?
A Well, seven of them are either right on the boundary or above the line.
Q How many are above the line? 4:56PM
A Well, one.
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A One is actually above the line.
Q And the line, what does the line represent on here? 4:57PM

A The line represents 37, a score of 37. 4:57PM
Q And how many of them are below the line?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
Q Just to clear up, how many on here are designated as not insufficient -- let me try to reword that. 4:57PM
How many of them on here are such that you could not determine whether the cool water aquatic community was being met?
A It looks like five or -- five of them.
Q Would you turn to Figure 5-27? Can you identify 4:58PM on this chart -- let me go back to the 2005 question and figure. Did you have habitat data available for the 2005 data?
A As I remember, there was some very limited habitat available but it was limited. 4:58PM
Q Why do you say "limited"?
A It was relatively few variables, as I remember.
Q Which variables?
A I think they were -- there may have been information on stream width and depth, a few other 4:58PM basic variables. I don't -- I don't recall right now. I would have to go back and look, but it was -- it was not, as I remember, a -- a full set of habitat variables that were collected in 2005.
Q Do you recall what habitat variable you were 4:59PM

missing from the data set that you needed to do your 4:59PM analysis?
A No, I don't.
Q Did you use the available habitat data in your analysis in any way? 4:59PM
A No, I did not.
Q Why not?
A It just -- well, one, I was placing -- it was a relatively small number of sites for the 2005 data based on the subsequent years. And there were 4:59PM limitations, as I recall, on the amount of habitat data that was there and I didn't have habitat data for the other two years so I -- I remember making it there for the other year for the case of fish. And I made the decision not to try to analyze that data any further. 5:00PM
Q And on Figure 5-27 with regard to the 2007 sampling stations, how many sampling stations were such that you determined the cool water aquatic community was fully supportive?
A It appears to me that 15 stations would either 5:00PM fall on the line or exceed the line for fully supported.
Q And how many were determined not to support the cool water aquatic community?
A It appears that four stations fall below the lower 5:01PM

threshold. 5:01PM
Q And how many were you then able to determine whether or not the beneficial use was being met?
A It appears that 14 sites are undetermined.
Q So 14 sites are undetermined and four are 5:01PM determined to not support, is that correct?
A That's correct.
Q And -- and how many did you identify as supporting the beneficial use for cool water aquatic communities that you recall? 5:02PM
A It appears that -- it appears that 15 would either be on the line or above the line for a score of 37.
Q Are you certain that when one of the lines is on -- when one of the sampling stations is on the line that that means that it is fully supporting? 5:02PM
A As I recall, the scoring system, fully supporting is indicated when the score is 37 or above.
Q So would it be accurate to say that, the math I'm not very good at, you had 33 total sites that you assessed in 2007? 5:03PM
A I think it was -- I think there were 35 stations sampled in 2007, as I remember. There were 35 sampling stations.
Q And there are --
A Oh, there is one warm water stream here that is -- 5:03PM

is classified as fully supporting that I don't think I 5:03PM was counting.
Q That's 34?
A So I must have made a mistake in looking across this graph. I recall that there were 35 stations 5:04PM sampled during that year.
Q Well, based on accounts that we made here, does it appear that out of the 34 sites only 16 were identified as fully supporting the cool water aquatic community?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 5:04PM
A That is the count that I get.
Q On Page 6-23 of your report, you state that, in fact, the fish community appears healthy over all when you're looking more broadly at the fish data for the Illinois River and its tributaries available from state 5:05PM and federal sources, is that correct?
A Which page were you referring to again?
Q 6-23.
A And where were you on that page -- oh, I think I see. Were you right at the bottom of the page? 5:05PM
Q Yes.
A Yes.
Q What other fish data for the Illinois River and its tributaries available from state and federal sources are you referencing? 5:06PM

A The other data, I guess, would be associated with 5:06PM
the BUMP reports where there were several stations
evaluated by the Oklahoma Water Resources Board as far
as -- as whether or not they were supporting fish and
wildlife. And then I reviewed another report that was 5:06PM
done by the Environmental Protection Agency.
Q Is the report done by the Environmental Protection Agency the 2004 study of Arkansas streams?
A Yes, that's correct.
Q When you say that the data for the Illinois River 5:07PM and its tributaries indicate that it appears healthy overall, what do you mean by "overall"?
A Well, I think what I mean is taking a broad look
at it and using multiple indicators of -- of the fish
community that although there may be situations in some 5:07PM
of these smaller streams where the, for example, based
on the one index, the IBI, indicates that the -- that
the -- that the beneficial use is not fully supported,
when one looks at the individual components and looks
at metrics such as either their relative presence of 5:08PM
tolerant species and the presence of intolerant species
throughout the system, that the indication is that
there -- that this is a healthy community, that it's
not being stressed by -- stressed greatly by either
water quality or habitat, actually, and there may be 5:08PM

some habitat limitations in some areas. 5:08PM
Q Can you say whether or not there has been any degradation of the fish or macroinvertebrate communities in the Illinois River Watershed as a result of either habitat or nutrient pollution? 5:08PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A There are habitat modifications, there's
indications, for example, in the EPA report, about
effects on benthos in certain areas due to
sedimentation, and effects downstream of urban areas 5:09PM
and sewage discharges because they had some stations
located in those areas, so I think that those effects
were -- were indicated in that particular study in some
of the more -- the more eastern stations.
Q Do you have an opinion about whether there has 5:09PM been any degradation of the fish community or the benthic macroinvertebrate community as a result of nutrient pollution in the Oklahoma portion of the Illinois River Watershed?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 5:10PM
A There may have been some effects and I -- there
are -- especially downstream of sewage treatment
plants, although I don't think that, as I indicated
before, the data are, are somewhat limited because the
kinds of sediment analyses that could be useful in 5:10PM

evaluating those causal factors, such as sediment 5:10PM
organic content, were not available so there may be
some effects in certain areas. I can't say because of
limitations of the available data.
Q Do you believe that those effects from -- 5:10PM potential effects from nutrients are limited to areas below waste water treatment plants?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Not necessarily, but there is -- is some
indication that that could be a causal factor. 5:11PM
Q And that indication, where do you get the indication from?
A Based on some sampling stations that were
downstream of waste water treatment plants.
Q Is that the EPA study that you're referencing? 5:11PM
A No, I think there were some stations in the
State's data set that may have been influenced, but as
I said, without data on either tracer chemicals for
sewage discharges or -- or measurements of organic
content in the sediments, I can't resolve that data. 5:11PM
Q Did you undertake to identify -- to correlate the sample locations with the impacts of waste water treatment plants?
A No.
Q Does the BUMP report assess all of the streams in 5:12PM

the Illinois River Watershed? 5:12PM
A No, it doesn't. There are a number of stations,
and I think it might have been six or seven, perhaps
seven, that are located -- sampling stations that are
located on what I would characterize as the larger 5:12PM
streams -- on some of the larger streams within the
Illinois River Watershed.
Q Do you rely on the BUMP report for your conclusion that the Illinois River and its tributaries appear healthy? 5:13PM
A I think that that is one piece of information I
considered, the fact that the BUMP report for some of
these major streams in the system had concluded that
the beneficial use associated with fish and wildlife
was being supported with one exception, as I recall, 5:13PM
where turbidity was identified as a -- as a limiting
variable for the non-support.
Q Would you expect to be able to extrapolate the results of the assessments on an area like, say, the Illinois River at Watts to all of the tributaries in 5:13PM the Illinois River Watershed?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A No, not extrapolate. I said it's one piece of
information, but I think it's -- it's important to note
that those are -- those are the -- the major and 5:14PM

permanent streams, many of them, that exist within the 5:14PM
system that have significant flow and those are the
ones that apparently were collected by the Oklahoma
Water Resources Board to monitor. And they also
provide an integration of the -- of any nutrient 5:14PM
loading or any other factors that might be upstream
that would be -- be flowing down into those major
streams, so I think it's -- it's important to look at
that information.
Q And my question really isn't whether it's 5:15PM
important to look at the information, my question is
whether or not looking at the BUMP reports for stations
on the main stem tell you anything about the quality of
the fish community in the tributaries and streams.
A And as I said, no, you can't provide a direct 5:15PM
extrapolation from the main stem of the river to small
tributaries upstream. But once again, those stations
downstream are integrating any effects or any water
quality situations that may exist in the small streams.
Q Could the -- a concentration of total phosphorus 5:16PM
cause a more severe impact in a tributary stream than
that same concentration in a main stem site like the
Illinois River at Watts?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't think you can say that as a general thing. 5:16PM

For one, the effect that phosphorus can have in a 5:16PM
stream is dependent on the residence time that it
exists in a particular stretch of water. If any
nutrient stimulation effects of phosphorous are going
to occur, there has to be time for that phosphorus to 5:16PM
be taken up by plants and -- and, for example,
phytoplankton, and moved downstream so that just -- and
if it's a situation where there may be a much higher
gradient and therefore more rapid flow in a tributary
stream versus a very slow flow in a main stem, the 5:17PM
opposite could be true depending on the site specific
characteristics that there could be actually greater
potential for adverse effects in a larger, slower
moving main stem than in a smaller faster moving
tributary. 5:17PM
Q Did you -- did you review the State's list of
impairment waters in the Illinois River Watershed? And
by that I mean the Clean Water Acts 303D list?
A No, I did not. At least I don't recall. I don't
recall it now as I sit here. 5:18PM
Q Do you believe that the -- the Oklahoma Water
Resources Board selected the beneficial use monitoring
program sites in order to characterize fish communities
and impacts in the entire Illinois River Watershed?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 5:18PM

A No, I don't have any indication that that was 5:18PM
their goal.
Q Do you know which segments of the Illinois River
that BUMP sites are intended to represent?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 5:19PM
A Not specifically, no.
Q Did you understand my question? Should I rephrase
it?
A Well, maybe you should.
Q Is there a BUMP site on the Illinois River -- 5:19PM
Illinois River main stem?
A I believe there are two.
Q And which segments of the Illinois main stem are
those stations intended to represent in terms of water
quality standards compliance? 5:19PM
A I don't -- I don't know what their intent, which
segments they're intended to represent. As I recall,
one station is near Watts and one station is near
Tahlequah.
Q Do you know what I mean by a "river segment"? 5:19PM
A Well, in general I know what it means, yes.
Q Do you know what I mean by a "river segment in the
Illinois River main stem"?
A I'm not sure I do.
Q Do you know whether the beneficial use assessment 5:20PM

protocol require or establish segments -- segment 5:20PM
lengths that are appropriate for representation as a --
at a particular sampling location?
A I don't remember that, no.
Q With regard to the Arkansas EPA report from 2004, 5:20PM
did it assess all of the streams in the Arkansas
portion of the Illinois River Watershed?
A No, it did not.
Q How many of the streams were assessed?
A Oh, right now would be a rough estimate. I would 5:21PM
guess it's on the order of five or six maybe, but I
don't recall exactly. I can envision some of the
stations, but there were multiple stations on some of
the streams so it was not a high number.
Q Was it -- does that report provide adequate 5:21PM
information for you to characterize fish communities in
the entire Illinois River Watershed?
A No, it does not.
Q Does it provide adequate information for you to
assess fish communities in the Arkansas portion of the 5:22PM
Illinois River Watershed?
A It provided some -- some indication of point -- of
some potential effects and also points where the EPA
concluded that there were not adverse effects on fish,
but it does not provide a total characterization, but 5:22PM

it -- I thought it was interesting that it did include 5:22PM
two sampling stations that were located just on the
Illinois River itself just before the river crosses
over into Oklahoma.
Q Where are these five streams located in Arkansas 5:22PM
that were assessed?
A Well, I should go to a map to look at them. I
know there were -- there were stations on the Illinois
River, I think there were stations on Osage Creek,
there may have been -- I'm just guessing right now. 5:23PM
There may have been a station on the Muddy Fork and one
other stream.
Q Were impacts noted on Osage Creek?
A I think there were, yeah.
Q Were impacts noted on the Illinois River? 5:23PM
A Well, I recall that at Stations IL 20 and 22, I
don't think there were any adverse effects noted on the
fish communities at those two stations on the Illinois
River.
Q Were they noted in any other stations? 5:23PM
A I don't have all that in mind as far as where
there -- where they noted effects and where in all the
stations that they didn't.
Q I'm going the switch topics to fish and
macroinvertebrates in Lake Tenkiller. What is habitat 5:24PM

squeeze? 5:24PM
A Well, that term, I guess, in a general sense and
it's not one that I typically use, but I think I have
an understanding of what -- what Dr. Welch means by the
use of that term in his report, is the concept that 5:24PM
there may be water quality conditions that are -- that
are stressful to an organism and, therefore, the
available habitat for that organism ends up being
smaller than it might otherwise have been because of
those water quality conditions. 5:25PM
Q And in Lake Tenkiller, are those water quality
conditions a combination of dissolved oxygen levels and
temperature?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, that was the -- that was the opinion of 5:25PM
Dr. Welch, as I recall, from his report.
Q Do you disagree with Dr. Welch?
A Well, I believe that there are dissolved oxygen
conditions in Lake Tenkiller that -- that would result
in a decrease in habitat for fish, for example, 5:25PM
compared to a situation where the lake was not
stratified during the summer and the lake was fully
oxygenated.
Q And you agree that results in less habitat, less
available habitat for fish? 5:26PM

MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 5:26PM
A Because of that summer stratification, it does.
Q And how does temperature come into play in terms
of habitat in Lake Tenkiller?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 5:26PM
A Well, temperature comes into play as far as what
the temperature would be in the epilimnion or the
surface areas during the summer where the fish would be
living at that time.
Q And do you -- do you disagree with any of the, um, 5:26PM
data that Dr. Welch looked at which indicated -- which
was used to develop the figures in his report
demonstrating the habitat squeeze, i.e., the
temperature data and the DO data?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 5:27PM
A Well, I -- thinking back, I disagreed with some of
Dr. Welch's characterizations with some of the fish
species as far as their optimal temperature ranges and
so that would be a disagreement relative to the point
at which a habitat squeeze due to temperature may be 5:27PM
actually occurring.
Q Do you disagree with his representations of the
volume of the lake that are adversely impacted or that
have DO levels below water quality standards? I'm
going to rephrase that, it's late. 5:28PM

Do you disagree with his -- his 5:28PM
representation of the volume of the lake that is --
has DO levels that are not meeting water quality
standards?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 5:28PM
A I did not evaluate that, his determinations in
that area.
Q Do you disagree with representations of where
temperature -- of the temperatures that are reflected
in his figures in his report? 5:29PM
A I did not conduct an evaluation of his -- the
actual temperatures that he used to characterize the
lake. I did not evaluate those.
Q Did Welch evaluate water quality information and
water quality standards in determining injury? 5:29PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't -- I don't remember. That was not an area
that I was -- that I was evaluating in Dr. Welch's
report.
Q Is establishment of a base line condition a 5:30PM
mandatory requirement for conducting a natural resource
damage assessment?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, based on my reading of the -- well, could
you repeat the question again, please? 5:30PM

Q Is establishment of a base line condition a 5:30PM
mandatory requirement for conducting a natural resource
damage assessment?
A Well, I think it's a central part of -- well, let
me say that, first, if the natural resource damage 5:30PM
assessment is not conducted according the DOI rule,
then I suppose that it's possible that a natural
resource damage assessment could be conducted without
the concept of base line, but that being said, I think
that base line is defined in the -- in the DOI rule is 5:31PM
not just a concept that's unique to that particular
rule or -- or even natural resource damage assessments.
But the concept of base line, to me, is consistent with
the concept of what might constitute an appropriate
reference system's description for -- for other 5:31PM
assessments, like an ecological risk assessment. So
it's very consistent with the scientific approach that
would be used to determine any incremental effects of a
particular discharge or release.
Q Would you agree with me that base line is defined 5:32PM
in the DOI regulations as the conditions that would
have existed in the assessment area had the release of
a hazardous substance not occurred, taking into account
both natural and anthropogenic processes?
A That does sound like the definition right out of 5:32PM

the rule. 5:32PM
Q So the condition that would have existed in the
assessment area had the release of hazardous substances
not occurred?
A Yes. But that -- an important concept there is 5:32PM
the release -- is what is the release. It's important
to be able to distinguish between the release of a
hazardous substance from a -- what's termed a facility
by a PRP that may be assessed versus all of the other
sources of that particular hazardous substance that are 5:33PM
not associated with a PRP in the assessment.
Q You believe that -- where is that in the
definition of base line, that concept that you just
expressed?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 5:33PM
A I don't know that it's in the definition, but I
think it's implicit in the description in talking
about -- when you're talking about a release, that is a
release -- that means a release by the party or parties
associated with a facility that's being assessed. And 5:33PM
if for whatever the hazardous substance might be, if
there are other sources of that particular substance,
either natural or anthropogenic, then those become
parts of the base line and the goal is to separate out
that incremental change from base line associated with 5:34PM

the release that is being assessed or the release 5:34PM
that's alleged to have occurred.
Q Do you have any support for your interpretation of
the rule in that manner?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 5:34PM
A Well, I think there's wording in there, both in
the -- in the overall description and in -- even in
some background materials on the rule and just the
way -- the way that the -- if you move on in the
process about the quantification of injuries and 5:34PM
looking at the difference from base line conditions,
the base line must consider all of those natural or
anthropogenic factors that may be influencing the
resource, but for the release and the release is -- is
what is -- what is being assessed. 5:35PM
Q On Page 7-3 of your report -- let me get back to
that.
Do you have any document, example,
guidance, anything that would support your
interpretation of the rule in that way? 5:35PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form and if you want
to provide him with a copy of the statute which is what
he referred to in his last answer, so that he can point
you to it, please do.
A I can't -- I can't recall. I would -- as far as 5:36PM

very specific guidance or documentation of that 5:36PM
opinion, I could tell you in part it is based on my
experience and my experience in dealing with trustees
at sites where there are multiple sources of -- of a
particular substance and it's -- it comes into play, 5:36PM
for example, with, let's say, a substance like copper
where there may be a wide variety of sources of copper
ranging from anthropogenic sources to natural sources
of copper to a system. And the goal of such
assessments in my experience has been if a particular 5:37PM
facility is alleged to have released copper and it
could potentially be causing injuries, then the goal is
to look at the incremental changes that may have
occurred because of the release, but in my experience,
trustees have not considered, let's say, a natural 5:37PM
source of copper or a copper associated with a non-PRP
in a particular case, to be part of the release. The
release, in my experience, has been associated with a
facility or facilities.
Q Okay. We've got to stop to change the tape. 5:38PM
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now off the record.
The time 5:38 p.m.
(Following a short recess, proceedings
continued on the record.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on the 5:41PM

record. The time is 5:41 p.m. 5:41PM
Q Would you agree that there are ways other than -- ways to establish base line, other than properly located control or a reference area?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 5:41PM
A Yes, there are. Base line could be established, for example, by looking at pre-release conditions and comparing prerelease conditions with post-release conditions.
Q You say a valid base line comparison using a reference area is essential to quantifying any injury that is based on field observations. Did you intend to limit that statement to only situations involving field observations? 5:41PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 5:42PM
A Could I hear that statement again, please?
Q On Page 7-2 of your report you stated, "a valid base line comparison using a reference area is essential to quantifying any injury to a natural resource that is based on field observations." 5:42PM
A Well, field observations, yes, to be able to -- if you're collecting data from the field, there needs to be something, some reference to be able to compare that against, to compare the natural variability in the assessment area to some -- some reference and that 5:43PM

could be, like I said, it could be a pre-discharged 5:43PM condition as a reference. It could be a reference water body, it could be an upstream reference location. There's many ways that that -- that reference area evaluation could be conducted. 5:43PM
Q Why do you believe Broken Bow Lake is not an appropriate reference lake for Lake Tenkiller?
A In my assessment, it has very different conditions than Tenkiller would have, but for any -- any effects, if there are any, of releases from poultry litter 5:43PM applied to fields. An appropriate reference lake should have a number of kinds of similarities to Lake Tenkiller, if it's a valid lake. It should be -- it should have similar size, depth, hydraulic residence time, it should have a similar ratio of its -- its size 5:44PM compared to its drainage area. So there are many similarities it should have. It should be essentially operating the same way from an ecological perspective. And then, very importantly, it should have all of the -- the same kinds of influences of nutrient sources 5:44PM that the assessment lake would have, but for any contribution that might be occurring from the application of poultry litter to fields. And so it should have the same sewage components as far as any phosphorus loading and in all the other potential 5:45PM

sources of phosphorus, except for the release being 5:45PM assessed.
Q So, basically, an appropriate reference lake, in your opinion for Lake Tenkiller, would be a reservoir that's pretty much like Lake Tenkiller without poultry? 5:45PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Without whatever contribution there may be from poultry operations.
Q Where would I find this watershed?
A That is -- that gets at the essence, though, of a 5:45PM reference lake. Because when you compare a reference lake to an assessment lake, that is what allows you to determine any incremental change that is occurring because of the alleged release.
Q But where would I find a lake like that? 5:46PM
A I have not done an evaluation of candidate lakes like that. I'm describing -- you asked me what -- what would constitute a valid reference lake.
Q And this is because of your interpretation of base line as an assessment needing to assess an incremental 5:46PM change, is that right?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Yes, the incremental change or incremental loss of services that are caused by the release of a hazardous substance being assessed. 5:46PM

Q If I was wanting to compare Lake Tenkiller to 5:46PM another lake in the State of Oklahoma to assess the -- assess the effects on eutrophication from input of phosphorus, is Broken Bow a suitable reference lake?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 5:47PM
A I still believe there would be significant problems with Broken Bow being a valid reference lake because there still needs to be a demonstration, in my opinion, that all of the other factors, except for phosphorous need to be similar. So the lake would 5:47PM still need to be -- to have all of those similar hydrographic and physiographic similarities, such as volume, depth, hydraulic residence time, surface area relative to the drainage area, the age of the reservoir would be important, so -- and all of those other 5:48PM factors other than phosphorus that might be influencing the water quality in the lake.
Q And did you evaluate those factors with regard to Broken Bow in comparison to Lake Tenkiller?
A I only looked at some information that I -- that I 5:48PM indicated, that indicated to me that it was -- it had distinctive differences in some of the characteristics and I didn't do an exhaustive evaluation of all of them.
Q Which characteristics did you look at that you -- 5:48PM

that make it an unsuitable lake for comparison of just 5:48PM phosphorus loading impacts?
A Well, I was not looking at it in -- in the way you describe, as a -- as a reference lake for phosphorus loading. It's my understanding this case involves the 5:49PM question of phosphorus from poultry litter applications and so I don't -- I don't know the relevance of looking at -- looking at it as a reference lake just for phosphorus in general given all of the sources of phosphorus that may exist in the system. 5:49PM
Q But what particular concerns do you have about using it even in that situation where that was being assessed?
A Well, the main concerns there would be associated with a demonstration that -- that all of the other 5:49PM sources of phosphorus, but for any phosphorus released from poultry litter applications would be similar between the two lakes. In addition to differences in -- in other factors. I think one that comes to mind is just the overall relationship of the -- of the lake 5:50PM size to its watershed. I think those are very different for Lake Tenkiller and Broken Bow Reservoir.
Q So are you offering an opinion in this case that Broken Bow Reservoir is not an appropriate reference lake if what is being evaluated is the impacts of 5:50PM

phosphorus loading to Lake Tenkiller? 5:50PM
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, my opinions are as stated in the report. I think that even with this -- this situation you have described, I think there are significant enough 5:51PM differences between Broken Bow Reservoir and Tenkiller Reservoir that, in my mind, it would be highly questionable whether it would -- could even serve as a -- as a very generalized reference lake like you described. 5:51PM
Q And have you identified all of the differences that lead you to that opinion?
A No, I have not. I did not do an exhaustive review of all of the potential differences between Broken Bow and -- and Lake Tenkiller. 5:51PM
Q Would you turn to Page 7-8 of your report, 7-8?
MS. COLLINS: It's now 5:52.
Q In the first paragraph there, you indicate in the very last sentence that, "Walleye compared may be closer equivalent with Broken Bow fish as indicated by 5:52PM the greater than point one size class." Do you see that sentence?
A Yes.
Q Why don't we read the rest of the sentence, when you say ".1 size class," what is a .1 size class? 5:52PM

A I don't know. I think there may be a typo there. 5:52PM I'm not sure. I would have to go back and check that, check the size classes.
Q And the small-mouthed bass comparison was made between greater than or equal to 40 size class. Is 5:53PM that 40 a size -- that number right there is a size class for small-mouthed bass?
A That's my recollection, that those were the -- were the characterizations used in the -- in the actual data as far as the various size classes and numbers 5:53PM that were caught in those sizes classes.
Q What does 40 represent, 40 --
A As I sit here, I just don't recall in that data set what those size classes referred to.
Q What are the differences in habitat factors 5:53PM between Tenkiller Ferry Lake and Broken Bow Lake?
A They're -- from what I remember reading, Broken Bow Lake is a -- it's a oligotrophic lake. I think it has -- I think it has different shore line configurations. I think it may have more rocky habitat 5:54PM in it. It's structure of the water column is different. I think the dissolved oxygen levels are different in Broken Bow. And I recall a -- the BUMP report characterizing it as having fairly unique characteristics that were different than other lakes in 5:55PM

the region. 5:55PM
Q Do you recall what those characteristics were?
A I don't remember.
Q What is the source of your information regarding the shore line and rocky habitat in Broken Bow? 5:55PM
A I seem to recall reading something on that, but I don't recall that source right now.
Q Do you think Lake Keystone and Lake Texoma are appropriate reference lakes for Lake Tenkiller?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 5:55PM
A No, I don't have that opinion at all.
Q Why are walleye not well adapted to eutrophic conditions?
A Well, walleye are a -- they're generally considered to be a cool water fish. They are -- they 5:56PM are most -- they do best in more northern latitudes, actually, and in -- in deep lakes with cool water that -- that suit them more. And in warmer lakes, they, they -- it's just not their preferred habitat so on everything else being equal, they will usually do 5:56PM better in cooler water.
Q But what is it about eutrophic lakes that they're not well suited to?
A Well, if the lake is eutrophic and also undergoes stratification, then they may not have access to the 5:57PM

deep cool waters that may exist in that lake. 5:57PM
Q Because there's no oxygen there, is that right?
A Or low oxygen.
Q Is the small-mouthed bass fishery in Broken Bow better than the one in Lake Tenkiller? 5:57PM
A Well, it's variable. As I remember, in some years the catch was better in Broken Bow and I think there were some years that the catch in Tenkiller was actually better than Broken Bow.
Q Do you agree that small-mouthed bass and striped 5:57PM bass can experience summer stress when deprived of oxygenated water at a preferred temperature?
A It's possible if -- if you reach certain temperatures, high enough temperatures and low enough oxygen levels that any fish, including striped bass and 5:58PM small-mouthed bass can experience stress during those -- those times of year.
Q Do you agree that reservoirs with critical habitat should be protected from enrichment because increased nutrient levels can eliminate optimal habitat? 5:58PM
A Well, that's a very general statement. I think that fish habitat is -- is an appropriate consideration and needs to be considered by fishery managers and that -- that any lake should be evaluated as far as what its -- what its habitat is, whether or not the 5:59PM

habitat is changing, and which species might be 5:59PM appropriate for management in that lake given its habitat.
MS. COLLINS: Mr. Videographer, can you tell me what time you have? 5:59PM
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It is officially 5:59 p.m.
Q So would you let me ask a few more questions? I'm almost done with my outline.
MS. COLLINS: Okay.
MS. BURCH: I would greatly appreciate it. 5:59PM
MS. COLLINS: Hopefully they will be quick.
MS. BURCH: I think they will be.
MS. COLLINS: Okay.
MS. BURCH: I really do. Thank you.
Q What information do you have to support your 5:59PM opinion that there is not adequate spawning habitat in the Illinois River above Tenkiller Ferry Lake?
A For which species?
Q Striped bass?
A Oh, well, striped bass require a couple things as 6:00PM far as spawning habitat goes. They -- they spawn in rivers and their eggs are relatively unique among freshwater game fishes in that the eggs are planktonic, as well as the larvae, so when striped bass make spawning runs in rivers, there needs to be sufficient 6:00PM

length of the river and depth and flow to be able to 6:00PM keep those eggs suspended in the water column for their entire incubation period. And the same goes for the early life stages of larvae. And that usually requires a significant river to be able to allow for that. And, 6:01PM in my opinion, in just what I've seen of the Illinois River, it does not provide that flow and depth and length that would be appropriate for striped bass.
Q What do you mean, what you've seen in the Illinois River? 6:01PM
A Well, in just observing it and looking at the depth of the river and the relative flow of the river.
Q Did you do any measurement or analysis to support that view?
A No, I didn't. 6:01PM
Q Did you review any research that supports that view?
A Well, I'm familiar with papers that describe the kinds of river habitat that -- that are appropriate for striped bass spawning and I know something about the 6:01PM lakes where striped -- natural striped bass spawning has been -- has been documented.
Q But did you -- did you see any research that actually looked at striped bass spawning habitat in the Illinois River Watershed and agreed with your 6:02PM

conclusions based on your visual evaluations? 6:02PM
A No, I didn't.
Q Okay. On Page 7-15 of your report, you cite Zale for the proposition that striped bass tolerated temperatures up to 28 degrees, is that correct? 6:02PM
A Let's see, I'm not seeing it on this page. Oh, okay. Yes, I see that.
Q Do you agree that Zale also found that striped bass can tolerate exposure to temperatures of 27 to 28 degrees Celsius for about a month, but will die when 6:03PM exposure is 28 degree Celsius is prolonged?
A I don't recall that from Zale. I would to have go to Zale and evaluate that.
Q Okay. I'm going to hand you what I've marked as Exhibit No. 4 and I'm going to -- I don't have this 6:03PM highlighted for you, but I would refer you to the abstract and if you're comfortable relying on the abstract, we'll go quickly. If not, we can look into it?
MS. COLLINS: How many minutes do you think 6:03PM you have left because I've got about five minutes and we're already past the agreed time.
MS. BURCH: I have one more question after this. Depends on how this one goes in terms of time.
A It does say in the abstract what I think you 6:04PM

1 represented, that -- that in this particular lake that 6:04PM
2 they -- the fish died of malnutrition when they're
3 exposed to around 29 degrees C, it says, for a similar
4 period, which is about a month.
5 **Q Let's deal with the particular quote I'm talking 6:04PM**
6 **about, though. If you could turn to Page 75 of that**
7 **document, which is, I think, the last -- second to the**
8 **last page?**
9 A Yes.
10 **Q Would you read into -- do you see the paragraph 6:05PM**
11 **right above the paragraph titled Acknowledgment?**
12 A Yes.
13 **Q Would you read that first sentence of that last**
14 **paragraph into the record?**
15 A The sentence starting "Our findings?" 6:05PM
16 **Q Yes.**
17 A "Our findings suggest that adult striped bass at
18 Keystone Reservoir can survive exposure to water
19 temperatures of 27 to 28 degrees C for about a month,
20 but die if exposed to slightly higher temperatures, 6:05PM
21 around 29 degrees C, for a similar duration or exposure
22 to 28 degrees C is prolonged."
23 **Q Do you agree with that statement?**
24 A Well, that -- that is what it says here.
25 **Q Is Keystone Reservoir located in Oklahoma? 6:06PM**

1 A Yes, it is. 6:06PM
2 **Q And the last question for me of the day is: Would**
3 **you agree that Cooke and Welch found that benthic**
4 **macroinvertebrate populations were essentially absent**
5 **in the sediments of Lake Tenkiller? 6:06PM**
6 MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
7 A I don't know about your characterization of the
8 essentially absent. I know that the abundances that
9 they -- that they measured in the samples that were
10 evaluated had relatively low abundances when compared 6:06PM
11 to Broken Bow Reservoir, but -- and I know that they
12 were relatively low, but I also question the -- the
13 actual sample size, the sediment data. I could find no
14 indication of what the particle size, organic content
15 was. I think I knew the depth of the sample locations. 6:07PM
16 So the abundances were relatively low but I don't know
17 if characterizing them as whatever you did essentially
18 absent is --
19 **Q Essentially absent.**
20 A -- is accurate. But they were low. 6:07PM
21 **Q Do you know what the cause of low**
22 **macroinvertebrates are in eutrophic lakes?**
23 A Well, there are -- I mean, there's potential,
24 there's relationships between benthic
25 macroinvertebrates and depth in reservoirs. I think 6:08PM

that I found it interesting that I believe that in that 6:08PM
same data set there were benthic samples collected from
I think it was called, Stockton Lake in Missouri, which
is a mesoeutrophic reservoir, and I think those
abundances were also low. 6:08PM
Q Do you know whether the -- the sampling in
Stockton Reservoir included rivering sections of the
lake?
A I don't recall where the samples were collected in
Lake Stockton. 6:08PM
Q I guess I'm asking you that. In terms of the
classification of the lake as mesotrophic?
A No, I don't recall.
Q And I didn't really get a direct answer to my last
question, which is my actual really last question. And 6:08PM
that is: Can eutrophic conditions in a lake contribute
to low abundance of macroinvertebrates in sediments?
A Yes, it can.
Q Okay. Thank you very much.
CROSS EXAMINATION 6:09PM
BY MS. COLLINS:
Q Okay. Moving quickly, would you turn to Page 5-1
of your report, Dr. Ginn?
A Yes, I have it.
Q The second paragraph there, take a minute and look 6:09PM

at that and let me know if that refreshes your 6:09PM
recollection as to what the basis was for your
designation of cool water aquatic community
subcategories in the IRW?
A Yes, it does. It indicates that the designations 6:09PM
were in an appendix to the document that's cited there
where -- where those classifications were made.
Q Okay. And could you turn to Table 5-14 in your
report?
A Yes. 6:10PM
Q What is the title of this table?
A It's Summary of Fish IBI Scores for Sample
Locations Located Downstream of Cargill Contract
Growers and Breeder Operations.
Q Does this table reflect the specific IBI scores 6:10PM
downstream of each of the Cargill locations?
A Yes, it does.
Q And can you tell from what is listed in the second
column of this table which scores are -- support full
beneficial or full use. Get the terminology. Which 6:10PM
scores fall in the range of cool water aquatic
community that is fully supported versus indeterminate?
A Yes, that's correct.
Q Is the basis of all of your opinions regarding the
analysis conducted by Dr. Stevenson and Welch and Cooke 6:11PM

contained in your report? 6:11PM
A Yes, it is.
Q Did you review all aspects of Dr. Stevenson's analyses and Dr. Cooke's analyses and Dr. Welch's analyses and opinions that you considered significant 6:11PM to the opinions in your report?
A Yes, I did.
Q Can you state any opinion on the impacts of fish or benthic communities without considering a source of possible contamination? 6:12PM
A Yes. I think I indicated, but to clarify, I think it's possible to evaluate the status of a particular community at a particular point without consideration of the source of any stressors. The key is how does that community appear to be based on various metrics 6:12PM associated with indicator for species, diversity, different indices, numbers of tolerant and intolerant species, et cetera.
Q Okay. Was there any analyses reflected in your report that was not performed at your direction or 6:12PM under your supervision?
A No. I was -- from the start of my work in this matter, although I was working as part of a team, I -- I directed, suggested and supervised the analyses that were being conducted by the -- the team members that 6:13PM

I've identified. 6:13PM
Q And did you define the scope of the analyses and direct the analyses that were conducted by Drs. Kierski and Palmquist and Ms. Edwards?
A Yes, I did. 6:13PM
Q Are there any opinions stated in your report that reflect the opinions of Drs. Palmquist, Kierski or Ms. Edwards as opposed to your own opinion?
A Well, the opinions expressed in my report are my opinions based on the analyses of the data that were 6:13PM conducted.
Q And did anyone write any section of your report that -- let me rephrase that.
Is there any section of your report that was written by someone -- by your team that you did 6:14PM not then review and independently analyze and form conclusions about?
A Well, as I indicated, there -- there are parts of the report which may be results or figures that I did not independently analyze, but that being said, there 6:14PM are no parts of the report that -- the results of which are presented in there, that I would disagree with or do not support as part of my opinions.
Q And those analyses and figures that you just referred to, did you direct your team members to 6:14PM

conduct those analyses? 6:14PM
A I did.
Q And did you direct your team members to compile those figures based on your own specifications?
A Well, I directed them to compile the information 6:15PM and produce various figures according to my general instructions. I did not give them precise specifications for exactly how the figure table should be presented, but I characterized the kinds of figures and tables or analyses that I wanted to see conducted 6:15PM and presented in the report.
Q And are all of the opinions stated in the report that was -- that you disclosed in this case your opinions based on your expertise and experience?
A They are my opinions, yes. 6:15PM
Q You mentioned earlier today or yesterday that at one point as a consulting expert you looked at hormones in regards to the biological communities in the IRW. Do you recall that?
A Yes, I do. 6:16PM
Q Why didn't you develop that into a formal opinion in your report?
A I did not carry forward with that evaluation because I did not see any discussion of any potential effects of hormones in -- biological effects of 6:16PM

hormones in either Stevenson's or Welch's report and so 6:16PM I did not go ahead with any detailed evaluations of hormones.
Q Okay. Can you refer to Exhibit 2 and Ginn 007003 as referenced there? Is there an attachment indicated 6:17PM on this redaction log?
A Yes. It's indicated to be Eco-Cargill.ppt.
Q Okay. I'm going to hand you what is going to be marked as the next exhibit, would be 5 by my count. Could you take a quick look at that and tell me if you 6:17PM recognize this document?
A Yes, I do recognize it.
MS. BURCH: Can I make a record on this?
MS. COLLINS: Sure.
MS. BURCH: Are you asking him about one of 6:17PM the documents that you produced the morning of the deposition that we discussed with the court earlier today?
MS. COLLINS: This was actually produced the day before. Yes, it is that subset and I'm simply 6:17PM referring back to the earlier questions you asked him in which he was allowed to answer.
MS. BURCH: Who was it produced to the day before?
MS. COLLINS: They were made available on the 6:18PM

14th. 6:18PM
MS. BURCH: To who?
MS. COLLINS: To Rick Garren, David Page and yourself, as I understand it.
MS. BURCH: Okay. I don't know how that's -- 6:18PM okay.
MS. COLLINS: This is not a redacted document you were allowed to ask questions about earlier.
Q My question is: Do you recognize this document to be the Eco-Cargill PowerPoint identified on Exhibit 2? 6:18PM
A Yes, I believe it is.
Q And does this contain the information that you indicated you presented to Cargill at that time related to your initial impressions about the biological communities in the IRW? 6:18PM
A Yes, it is.
Q And is that Bates No. Ginn 007093 through Ginn 007130? It's not on Exhibit 2, but the actual document.
A Oh, I see, 007130 is the end Bates number, that's 6:19PM correct.
Q Okay. That's all I have about that. And finally we'll mark this as Exhibit 6. And if I can set Exhibit 2 beside there. If you would take a moment and look through this and let me know if you recognize that to 6:19PM

be the documents identified by Bates ranging in the 6:19PM redaction log in Exhibit 2 as opposed to the attachment of the actual document.
A This appears to follow the sequence of Bates numbers that are indicated in Exhibit 2. 6:20PM
Q Okay. And do you recognize these documents? Have you reviewed them before?
A I have seen these before, yes.
Q And with regard to these documents, based on your review of them earlier and during the break today, is 6:20PM there anything contained in these documents which you relied upon or considered in the formation of your opinions in this case to your knowledge that were not already referenced in your report and produced earlier?
A No. There would not be such a category. There 6:20PM are some documents referenced in here or attached herein that I ended up relying on but those documents, for example, the BUMP reports, were included as part of my considered materials and listed in my expert report.
Q And based on your knowledge of these documents, is 6:21PM there -- is it fair to say that all of the information that has been redacted relates to another consulting expert's work and is not related to your opinions in this case?
A That's correct based on my review, the redacted 6:21PM

information has no relationship to my opinion in this 6:21PM matter.
MS. COLLINS: I don't have any other questions.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION 6:21PM
BY MS. BURCH:
Q I just want to clear something up because I thought we went over this in great detail. When you were asked a question by counsel a moment ago, you said you directed the analysis of the three individuals 6:22PM we've been discussing today who authored sections of your report, is that correct?
A I directed it by I was supervising it and I indicated to them the kinds of analyses that I thought would be appropriate to conduct and the kinds of 6:22PM analyses that I wanted to see for my report.
Q Did you do anything else to direct the analysis? Did you participate in the actual analysis or see copies of the analysis?
A Well, I saw copies at times and I participated in 6:22PM conference calls or meetings with the staff to discuss the results of those analyses.
Q And did you produce copies of drafts of the analysis in your considered materials?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. 6:23PM

A No, I didn't. Any drafts that were developed 6:23PM would have been -- ending up being incorporated into my report as it -- as it became finalized.
Q Are you talking about drafts of the summaries?
A Drafts of the summaries. 6:23PM
Q What about the actual analysis?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A I don't recall reviewing any of the -- of the details, for example what might come right out of the computer as far as the analyses, what I was asking for 6:23PM in suggesting analyses were the kind of presentations that are contained in my report.
Q Summaries of the results of their analysis, is that right?
A In some cases, summaries, yes. 6:24PM
Q Do you have any opinions in your expert report related to impacts of poultry on fish biota in the Illinois River Watershed?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form. Beyond the scope of the redirect. 6:24PM
MS. BURCH: It is not. It's related to you asking a question about whether anything in the consulting expert work, which he has not talked about today, is related to his opinions in this matter, so I'm asking him if he has any opinions in this matter 6:24PM

related to the impacts of poultry on the fish 6:24PM
communities in the Illinois River Watershed.
MS. COLLINS: Object to form.
A Well, as I think I answered before, I've done
evaluations of the relationships of upstream poultry 6:24PM
houses with regard to fish community characteristics in
those locations and those data would be, I think, fit
under your category for that question.
Q And is there anything in the -- in the work that
was done by the consulting experts, which you've not 6:25PM
discussed here with us today, that relates to poultry
impacts in the Illinois River Watershed?
MS. COLLINS: Object to form and again I'm
directing the witness not to answer to the extent that
the answer calls for information related to work 6:25PM
product of a consulting expert.
A And upon direction of counsel, I can't answer that
question.
Q Okay. Thank you very much.
MS. COLLINS: Do you have any questions? 6:25PM
MR. MIRKES: Nothing.
MS. COLLINS: Thank you.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the
deposition. We are now off the record. The time is
6:25 p.m. 6:25PM

(Whereupon, the deposition was concluded at 6:25 p.m.)

SIGNATURE PAGE

I, Thomas C. Ginn, do hereby certify that the foregoing deposition was presented to me by Marlene Percefull as a true and correct transcript of the proceedings in the above-styled and numbered cause, and I now sign the same as true and correct.

Witness my hand this _____day of

_____, 2009.

_____
Thomas C. Ginn

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me

this_____ day of _____, 2009.

_____
Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF OKLAHOMA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF TULSA)

I, Marlene Percefull, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, do hereby certify that the above-named witness was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the case aforesaid, and that I reported in stenograph his deposition; that my stenograph notes were thereafter transcribed and reduced to typewritten form under my supervision, as the same appears herein.

I further certify that the foregoing 217 pages contain a full, true, and correct transcript of the deposition taken at such time and place.

I further certify that I am not attorney for or relative to either of said parties, or otherwise interested in the event of said action.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL this ____ day of April, 2009.

_____
Marlene Percefull, CSR
CSR No. 01818

CORRECTIONS TO THE DEPOSITION OF
THOMAS C. GINN

PAGE AND LINE NUMBER	CORRECTION

A

able 188:18 189:7 190:15,23 216:5 225:9 241:5 249:24 253:7 261:25 262:2 267:21 273:22 282:20 309:25 315:8 346:2 351:18 361:7 364:21 364:23 374:1,5
above-named 391:7
above-styled 390:6
absence 287:12
absent 377:4,8,18,19
absolute 205:7,12
absolutely 184:20 238:3 241:7
abstract 375:17,18,25
abundance 187:17 245:1 281:12 282:5,19 289:12 378:17
abundances 204:4 281:19 287:25,25 291:12 377:8,10 377:16 378:5
abundant 199:10
access 203:4 282:10 283:12 294:3 325:24 371:25
accessed 284:8,16,21
account 251:16 334:13 360:23
accounts 347:7
accumulates 236:3
accuracy 298:6 306:8,11 307:19 323:11 335:16
accurate 178:18 283:12 314:13 346:18 377:20
acknowledged 261:20
Acknowledgment 376:11
acquisition 297:23
action 264:10 391:19
activities 180:25 211:6,16 212:6 214:19 221:21 225:3 229:18,24 331:14
Acts 353:18
actual 187:7 204:19,25 205:15 220:11 239:4 244:16 249:18 261:22 284:13 299:10 338:8,11 359:12 370:9 377:13 378:15 384:18 385:3 386:18 387:6
adapted 371:12
add 296:2
addition 368:18
additional 185:17 234:23 239:17 257:16 260:17 268:17 273:13 275:14,20 281:1 304:19 322:5
address 252:9 268:20 302:17
addressed 329:17
addressing 209:9
adequate 251:6 252:2 326:14 355:15,19 373:16
adult 376:17
adults 292:6
adverse 193:14 198:1 205:3 316:8,10 327:8 353:13 355:24 356:17
adversely 358:23
advice 183:17 209:21 210:14 215:20 216:3 217:17 226:14 227:8 228:22 232:19 242:24 243:16
affect 195:19 204:4
affinity 236:2
affirmative 312:5
affirmatively 277:7
aforesaid 391:10
afraid 264:8 271:15,15
afternoon 273:9
age 367:14
agencies 184:12,13
Agency 348:6,8
ago 179:14 188:7 218:2 297:18 386:9
agree 332:18 357:24 360:20 364:2 372:10,18 375:8 376:23 377:3
agreed 270:9 374:25 375:22
agreeing 273:19
agricultural 319:13
agriculture 321:1
Ah 243:20
ahead 207:19 219:9 239:20 259:18 269:15 270:3 301:19 301:23 302:23 383:2
AHODs 310:9 317:24
aid 295:11
al 175:10 259:25
alga 198:13 316:7
algae 193:18 198:14 199:11 303:17 312:12,14,16,20,24 313:13,15,18,19,24
allegations 191:18 235:25
alleged 362:2 363:11 366:14
alleging 320:1
allocation 221:16 331:9 332:9
allocations 331:11
allow 264:10 276:12 374:5
allowed 383:22 384:8
allows 366:12
Amos 300:18
amount 186:19 253:11 328:20,21 330:7 345:11
amounts 253:13 257:2,20
analyses 179:10,10 211:5 216:18,23 217:1,6 222:1 243:7 244:19 252:3 254:6 276:6,13 283:1 290:20 296:12,14 312:9,17 313:9 313:20 315:6,9 349:25 380:4,4,5,19,24 381:2,3,10 381:24 382:1,10 386:14,16 386:22 387:10,11
analysis 179:8 194:8 210:10 210:18 213:6 215:12 221:23 222:10 229:6 233:15 236:16 238:14 239:7 240:4,25 242:3 243:8,11 244:4,16,17 245:7 247:12 250:8 251:7 251:21,23 259:15 261:4,18 273:3 274:13,15,19 275:6,8 275:16,21 276:1,20 277:12 277:14 278:7 279:10 282:25 283:2,13 285:3,6,18 293:25 294:5,7 296:21,24 298:6,7 298:23 303:14,16 304:14,15

304:16,19,25 305:5,12,15 305:16,20,21,24,24 307:8 307:20 310:10,15 312:25 313:4,12,17 314:1 316:18 317:4 326:11,14,18 332:1 334:25,25 341:11 345:2,5 374:13 379:25 386:10,17,18 386:19,24 387:6,13
analytical 291:2
analyze 236:22 290:19 313:5 328:1 345:15 381:16,20
analyzed 299:13
analyzing 244:7 299:2
Anderson 176:16
and/or 255:3
animal 179:20
annual 293:2,6 334:13
anomic 278:7
answer 181:12,19 188:6 190:24 202:24 209:16,20 210:12,14 212:8 213:20 215:11,15,25 216:3 217:14 217:17 219:9 221:11 226:10 226:14 227:4,8 228:15,23 230:4 242:23,24 243:3,3 254:23 256:12 258:7 261:10 263:19 264:11,14 269:17 272:7,10,19,25 273:2,8,17 273:25 274:20 282:19 284:4 297:8 301:1,5,15,16,17 303:23 304:15 305:9 325:5 325:7 341:15 362:23 378:14 383:22 388:14,15,17
answered 272:14 311:9 314:21 316:3 319:1,6 388:4
answering 209:23,24 238:11 263:22 272:12
answers 242:20 297:9
anthropogenic 329:20 360:24 361:23 362:13 363:8
anticipating 265:10
anybody 340:25
anymore 240:22
apologize 270:8
apostrophe 240:13
apparent 309:20 315:6
apparently 352:3
appear 193:1 194:2,12 220:6 220:7 224:9 318:11 347:8 351:9 380:15
appearances 259:25
appeared 189:14 198:12 200:17 205:23 206:1 318:4
appears 219:22 220:2 237:1,3 286:23,23 345:20,25 346:4 346:11,11 347:13 348:11 385:4 391:13
appendix 379:6
applicability 196:24 245:24
applicable 197:1
application 202:4 203:17 216:21 319:17 365:23
applications 252:8,17 368:6 368:17
applied 246:9 247:10 320:3 341:17 365:11
apply 245:21 253:21 329:1
apportionment 330:24
appreciate 187:22 373:10
approach 333:22,22 360:17
approaches 306:19 307:15,20 308:2 309:13,17 310:19,24 317:9
approaching 285:13
appropriate 212:21 232:18 245:20 252:8,20 292:16 293:3,8 307:4 341:11 355:2 360:14 365:7,11 366:3 368:24 371:9 372:22 373:2 374:8,19 386:15
approval 211:23 212:6
approve 212:12
approving 212:2 214:25 221:16
approximately 253:1,16
approximation 251:25
April 175:18 178:5 218:20 219:6 271:8 391:21
aquatic 192:4,9 204:6 292:5 303:1 336:8,22 340:8 342:14 343:5 344:7 345:18 345:24 346:9 347:9 379:3 379:21
aquifer 180:13 181:7,8,16,17 181:25
area 178:24 180:8 181:10,11 181:15 182:9 192:6,17 199:1,11,22 211:13 215:10 215:16 245:4 248:11 252:8 252:12,15 253:1,2 255:2,4 255:15 256:6 284:17 287:22 302:25 307:3 329:16 337:2 337:20 340:2 351:19 359:7 359:17 360:22 361:3 364:4 364:11,18,25 365:4,16 367:13,14
areas 180:15 182:1,3 194:20 196:8,10 198:6 199:2,7 200:14,15,16 221:22 245:25 247:16,25 249:1,3 250:22 251:6 252:4,10 253:20,23 254:1,2,4,6,9,14,15,20 255:5,6,11,12 258:21 259:8 261:2 284:22 302:17,19,21 302:24 308:6,11 317:11 319:14 338:6 349:1,9,10,12 350:3,6 358:8
argument 264:8
Arizona 208:11
Arkansas 191:6 198:15 199:7 348:8 355:5,6,20 356:5
arrive 283:16
arteriole 328:15
article 320:3
articles 289:19 290:6,7
articulate 262:10
asked 183:12 188:17 190:12 190:15,25 191:4 208:17 213:25 234:19 298:5 302:16 303:19,24 311:8 314:20 316:2 318:25 319:5 322:5 322:14 331:19 366:17 383:21 386:9
asking 183:1 185:1 210:21 213:16 217:15 272:12

280:23 341:5 378:11 383:15 387:10,22,25
aspects 189:22 213:17 221:20 235:14 239:2 380:3
assemblages 311:5,7 316:25 324:9
assembled 208:13 209:1,8 341:3
assembling 214:18 225:4 244:1,13 299:21
assess 264:7 350:25 355:6,20 366:20 367:2,3
assessed 255:9 342:9 346:20 355:9 356:6 361:9,20 362:1 362:15 366:2,25 368:13
assessing 312:12
assessment 234:25 252:15 253:2 254:25 255:1,4,11,15 304:3 314:7 318:18 324:2,6 324:13 325:1,9,12,16 326:1 326:2,5,9,22 327:12 328:13 329:16 330:14 331:1,8,9 332:11 354:25 359:22 360:3 360:6,8,16,22 361:3,11 364:25 365:8,21 366:12,20
assessments 297:14,15 303:21 304:6 307:2 311:24 351:19 360:12,16 363:10
assigned 212:21
assistance 299:6
assisted 244:1 245:5 335:13
assisting 225:2 300:2
associated 188:25 189:21 198:20 202:18 204:17,19 209:7 266:5 276:2 287:2 312:1 313:10 326:21 327:6 327:12 329:13,19 330:7 338:14 339:15 348:1 351:14 361:11,20,25 363:16,18 368:14 380:16
Associates 297:22
Asst 176:3
assume 222:18 223:13 327:17 338:16
assuming 266:3 333:10
assumption 266:4
atmospheric 179:16
attached 186:2 219:2 225:11 238:19 267:11 385:16
attachment 220:5,7 222:5 227:13 233:16 383:5 385:2
attachments 237:13 266:9,10 266:10,20,21 267:2,4,9,10 267:14
attainment 342:15
attempt 246:7 293:5 333:7
attempted 320:4
attempts 331:5
attorney 175:4 176:3,6,9,13 182:19 183:4 219:20 221:3 225:14 391:17
attorneys 182:25 183:9
attorney/client 237:8
author 219:22 246:2 322:11
authored 386:11
authors 232:19 246:6 309:8
available 183:15,19,22 184:2 184:7 188:17,24 189:8,12 191:3 192:8 195:13,24 225:2 235:2 236:4 249:7,11 269:19 276:18 281:7 284:23 287:8 302:25 311:18 315:20 324:12 326:19,20 339:10 344:12,15 345:4 347:15,24 350:2,4 357:8,25 383:25
average 281:19
averages 281:22 282:9
aware 181:1 194:16 202:17 202:21 211:4,7,10,15 213:11 235:3,5 245:18 247:9 269:4 290:7 331:5,6 331:20
awfully 268:21
a.m 178:2,5 207:21,25 239:22 240:1

B

back 207:24 212:13 213:24 228:9,11 232:19 239:17,25 241:6 257:23 258:3 264:16 271:24 287:11 290:9 291:4 294:23 298:22 302:3 334:1 334:10 342:24 344:11,22 358:16 362:16 363:25 370:2 383:21
background 262:13 297:11 362:8
bacteria 273:4
bank 250:19
base 329:14,15,22 333:4 334:15 359:20 360:1,9,10 360:13,20 361:13,24,25 362:11,12 364:3,6,10,18 366:19
based 191:3 193:24 194:4,6 198:5,23 200:15 205:21,24 206:6,20 207:5 213:12 215:20 216:11 219:13 225:8 227:8 232:20 235:24 236:3 236:4,4 239:10 241:25 245:19 247:22 248:7,10 256:5 272:18 273:1,16 287:16 302:22 303:8 310:7 310:16 311:18 316:18 323:25 332:7 336:15 341:23 341:24 345:10 347:7 348:16 350:13 359:24 363:2 364:12 364:20 375:1 380:15 381:10 382:4,14 385:9,20,25
bases 241:24
basic 344:21
basically 261:1 300:1 315:19 334:13 366:3
basin 286:10 339:15,24
basis 229:16 237:7 251:25 261:19 263:21 269:15 272:25 273:8 289:16 334:13 379:2,24
bass 370:4,7 372:4,10,11,15 372:16 373:19,20,24 374:8 374:20,21,24 375:4,9 376:17
Bates 295:9 384:17,20 385:1 385:4
Beamon 225:21

began 178:1
beginning 183:25
behalf 175:16
belief 219:5 250:2
believe 179:21 195:15 199:6 201:6 210:4 218:18 225:19 231:11 235:25 236:18 238:17 241:3,8,18,20 242:11 243:2,14 244:23 248:11,23 251:5,11 252:1 253:23,25 257:10 273:23 274:4 276:14,16 277:16 280:9,11,15,19 281:14 283:16 288:20 290:17 291:16 292:15,20 297:9,12 308:19 309:2 313:14 318:2 320:3 326:18 328:9 331:6 332:9 336:19 337:13 340:9 341:2 350:5 353:21 354:12 357:18 361:12 365:6 367:6 378:1 384:11
believed 205:19 304:1
Belview 220:21 300:7
beneficial 336:21 337:7 342:21 343:5 346:3,9 348:18 351:14 353:22 354:25 379:20
benthic 189:3 190:9 193:23 195:5,20 204:2 216:13 223:13 235:12 243:21,22 244:12 246:7 250:13 256:12 256:16,20,22,25 258:17 282:24 285:22,24 287:16,21 288:7,9,10,12 289:14,23 292:1,2,3,22,25 293:6 296:14 297:10 303:9 311:2 312:12,20 313:5,11 315:3 315:23 316:1,11,15 317:13 318:6,15 326:15 349:17 377:3,24 378:2 380:9
benthos 349:9
Bessinger 226:1,2
best 213:11 253:8 262:10 292:24 371:16
better 371:21 372:5,7,9
Betty 240:16 241:4 298:14,15
beyond 215:17 243:2 275:15 387:19
billing 238:25 262:19 263:6
billings 301:22
binders 186:20 266:18
biological 184:1,3 185:5 187:10,13 188:19 189:1,21 190:9 192:15 193:7 194:5 195:11 197:14,24 205:10,12 209:11 213:17 216:13 217:9 225:5,6 233:14,21 234:4,5 234:12,23 240:3,24 246:19 247:2 255:3 261:3,18 299:21 303:1,6,9,10 311:5,7 311:12 312:7,25 316:24 324:20 327:7 333:13,17 382:18,25 384:14
biologist 224:20
biologists 209:10,25 210:1 297:25
biology 228:6,18 294:16
biomass 204:23
biota 387:17
biotic 245:7,10,19 247:5,13 283:22
bit 258:24 268:3 299:23 324:24
blue 343:11,17
BMI 223:7,11,13 244:4,8,21 245:2 274:8,24 275:5,15 276:20 277:19 280:1,18 285:7 286:6,20 290:14,23 291:7 308:16
BMIs 286:20
Board 184:9 340:15 348:3 352:4 353:22
Bodishbaugh 300:5,5,6
body 207:12 332:19 333:14 365:3
Boston 176:13
bottom 281:17 296:19 347:20
Boulder 224:20 226:24 232:12,13
boundary 343:19
Bow 365:6 367:4,7,19 368:22 368:24 369:6,14,20 370:16 370:18,23 371:5 372:4,7,9 377:11
Brad 226:1
break 207:19 217:25 239:20 257:8 259:18 301:23 334:17 385:10
breakdown 246:2 283:1
breeder 305:8 379:14
breeders 336:4
brief 264:21 265:20 267:18 268:6 269:15,22 270:19
briefing 192:13,13 264:9,12 265:17
briefly 185:20 186:9 218:2
briefs 268:18
broad 325:10 341:14 348:13
broaden 207:7
broader 287:13,24 288:23
broadest 324:8
broadly 256:14,15 288:3 325:14 347:14
Broken 365:6 367:4,7,19 368:22,24 369:6,14,20 370:16,17,23 371:5 372:4,7 372:9 377:11
Brooke 226:19,22,23
Brown 278:13
budget 212:20 239:2
bulleted 205:13
BUMP 220:7,9 348:2 350:25 351:8,12 352:12 354:4,10 370:23 385:18
BUMPs 220:3,11
Burch 176:3 177:7,9 178:7,7 178:17 185:1,5,9,12,15 187:22 188:10,15 203:3,7 203:12 208:22 213:9 229:12 236:25 238:1,4 239:6,13,16 239:20 257:10,13,19 258:2 258:6,10,14 260:1,1,7,11,11 260:13 264:16 265:20,22 267:14,18,24 268:5 269:20 270:1,7,16,21 271:3,20

272:11,16 273:18 274:3 280:25 281:4,9 294:25 295:4,13,17,20 296:2,5 373:10,12,14 375:23 383:13 383:15,23 384:2,5 386:6 387:21
Bye 271:23
B-O-Y-L-E 240:13
B.H 262:22

C

C 175:6,15 176:1 177:5 376:3 376:19,21,22 390:3,14 391:1,1 392:2
calculate 244:22 245:1
calculated 278:21 279:5,14 279:15 281:12 282:10 283:11 306:2,3
calculations 276:3,13,20 280:7 283:7,15,18 323:25 338:14
call 189:20 190:5 194:19 198:22 204:21 205:2 207:8 209:5 257:9 264:8 265:19 288:9 321:23 324:11
called 191:21 222:2,6 223:6 225:11 227:10,13 262:16 297:21 307:14 317:21 378:3
calls 226:10 227:4 228:13 242:20 386:21 388:15
camera 264:23 271:7
candidate 341:18 366:16
capacity 175:4,6
Cargill 176:6 178:9 182:18 183:4,17 186:4 187:13 192:8,13 197:13,18 203:24 225:11 227:10 228:1,18,25 229:1 239:10 242:21 260:4 260:24 261:8 262:15 265:5 305:7 335:1,4,7,8,20 336:3 379:13,16 384:13
Cargill's 182:23
Cargill.ppt 225:16
Cargill/Status 227:24
Carney 183:6 219:19 224:7 227:20 230:18 231:6
carry 382:23
carrying 212:3
case 179:19 180:3,4 182:15 183:2,9 187:8 188:5 198:14 203:1,4,6,11 209:4,19 210:15 215:19,23 216:1,6,7 217:16 218:8,13 221:8,9 222:10,21 223:10,15 224:13 224:23 226:6,13,25 227:7 229:15,21 230:9,21 231:1,2 231:19 233:20,25 236:5,23 237:6,16 238:13 242:15,21 254:11 255:7 259:23 260:23 261:22 262:1,4 263:3,20 265:5 266:12 277:15 286:19 298:14 299:15 300:9 301:10 302:20 321:22 322:3 326:6 331:7,16,21 339:1 345:14 363:17 368:5,23 382:13 385:13,24 391:10
cases 181:1 245:12 255:6 265:12 323:7 331:6,13 334:9 387:15
cast 334:10
catch 372:7,8
categories 246:3 249:4 255:7 284:24
categorize 178:22
categorizing 246:7
category 241:4 328:25 385:15 388:8
caught 370:11
causal 194:10 313:10,22 327:12 350:1,10
causally 327:24,24 328:7
cause 175:17 316:8,10 327:5 327:21 332:4 333:11 352:21 377:21 390:6
caused 327:11 366:24
causing 194:12 198:1 318:11 327:1 328:22 329:21 337:16 363:12
caution 187:18
Celsius 375:10,11
central 204:15 252:5 360:4
CERCLA 328:7 334:8,12
Cerreto 300:25
certain 182:1 191:20 204:23 218:10 237:14 246:3,6 255:8 260:20 263:12 287:12 315:14 324:10 341:24 346:13 349:9 350:3 372:13
certainly 178:21 206:21 229:25 258:21 307:23 309:22 338:2
Certificate 177:10
certified 175:20,20 391:5
certify 390:3 391:7,14,17
cetera 380:18
challenge 262:2 332:25
challenging 333:24
chance 267:20
change 204:20,25 205:7,17 253:17 278:1 296:18 327:7 327:9,13 329:23 361:25 363:20 366:13,21,23
changed 190:7
changes 197:24 204:18 205:2 233:7 246:8 256:21 290:18 293:2,6 313:10 314:4 339:5 363:13
changing 278:3 296:19 373:1
channel 207:10
character 286:3
characteristics 194:5 244:8 250:18 287:1 291:13 308:17 308:25 353:12 367:22,25 370:25 371:2 388:6
characterization 250:2 251:17 256:8 308:13 355:25 377:7
characterizations 358:17 370:9
characterize 183:16 267:12 321:24 325:20 351:5 353:23 355:16 359:12
characterized 327:21 329:10 382:9
characterizing 370:24 377:17

charge 190:23 209:13,25 210:1 238:24 262:19 311:1
chart 344:11
charts 343:10
check 241:6 275:25 276:12 279:3,10 282:8 283:19 285:2 290:6,9 294:7,8 298:6 298:7 340:21,23,25 370:2,3
checked 270:9
checks 275:24,25
chemical 179:11 194:6
chemicals 191:22 350:18
chemist 179:4
chemistry 179:2,3,5 189:10 195:18
chlorophyll-a 318:1
choice 273:9,12
cite 375:3
cited 248:23 379:6
City 175:18 176:4
claim 237:7 328:2
clarify 380:11
class 369:21,25,25 370:5,7
classes 370:3,10,11,14
classical 334:4
classification 206:17,18 378:12
classifications 206:11 379:7
classified 198:25 206:15,22 336:7 347:1
Clean 353:18
clear 232:18 267:7,9 269:9 344:4 386:7
clearer 265:12
clearly 211:12
Cleary 257:15 258:3
CLERK 259:23
client 212:10 233:22 234:9,19
clients 210:24
closely 244:24 284:9
closer 255:23,25 369:20
closest 207:1 336:2
cobble-type 257:1
collaborating 307:1
collaborative 306:21 309:7
collaboratively 187:20
collect 275:21
collected 186:6 224:10,12 230:20,25 247:16 249:9,19 278:24 324:18 344:24 352:3 378:2,9
collecting 221:20 225:2 364:22
collection 203:20 235:19 266:13,17,24 299:24
Collins 176:6 177:8 178:9,9 181:18 183:7 184:22 185:4 185:8,11,13 186:18 187:2,5 188:1,14 192:12 193:20 196:13,18 202:7,12,23 203:5,9,15 208:9,14,19,23 209:2,15 210:11,19 212:4 212:18 213:3,7,15,25 214:4 214:6,12,24 215:5,14,24 216:9,22 217:5,13 218:9 219:8 221:6,10,24 222:22 224:14 226:9 227:3 228:3,8 228:12,21 229:2,7,11,22 230:3,10,14 231:20,25 232:4 233:9 234:14 237:11 238:3,21 239:12,15,19 241:17 242:7,16,19 243:13 245:22 247:21 250:24 251:9 254:22 255:24 256:3,18 257:7,18,25 258:5,9,13 260:4 262:8,12,13,15 263:16,23 264:1,4 265:2 266:1,7,17,23 267:7 268:6,8 268:13,16 269:2,9 270:22 271:1,3,6,18,22 272:6,15,24 273:7,23 275:10,19 277:4 277:10 278:2 280:20 281:3 281:6 282:12,22 284:15 285:20 286:4 287:20 288:15 290:15 291:24 294:17 295:2 295:16,19,24 296:4 299:4 301:14 302:9 305:3,13,18 305:23 307:21 308:4,9 310:21 311:8,17 314:2,9,14 314:20 315:4,13 316:2,12 316:20 317:6 318:20,25 319:5,19,23 320:8,13,20,24 321:3,9,14 322:12,20 323:1 323:19 324:5,17 325:3,19 326:4,12,17 327:19 328:4 328:23 329:8 330:4,16 331:4,18,24 332:5,24 333:20 334:3 336:18,24 337:24 338:19,23 339:2,18 341:13 342:22 343:7,22 344:3 347:10 349:6,20 350:8 351:22 352:24 353:25 354:5 357:14 358:1,5,15 359:5,16,23 361:15 362:5 362:21 364:5,15 366:6,22 367:5 369:2,17 371:10 373:4,9,11,13 375:20 377:6 378:21 383:14,19,25 384:3 384:7 386:3,25 387:7,19 388:3,13,20,22
color 206:1
Colorado 224:21 226:24 232:12,13
coloration 198:18
column 370:21 374:2 379:19
combination 357:12
come 239:17 264:16 276:25 281:22 358:3 387:9
comes 318:13 358:6 363:5 368:19
comfortable 251:7 375:17
comment 304:1 314:22
commented 317:13
comments 232:21 233:11 307:3 308:7 317:11
Commission 390:23
common 181:4
communities 189:1,6 190:18 191:14 192:24 193:1,3,6,7 193:14 194:1 197:25 204:24 216:13,25 217:9 244:21 246:19 247:2 254:17,18 256:23 257:5 280:1,18 285:7 286:7,20 287:11,14 288:6,10 290:3,10 292:1,2,3

292:9,22 293:17,23 297:13 304:11 305:2,7 308:17,25 311:12 312:7 313:11 316:11 316:15,16 317:14 318:15 327:1 333:17 339:5 340:8 346:9 349:4 353:23 355:16 355:20 356:18 380:9 382:18 384:15 388:2
community 204:6,12 244:8 247:18 288:9,13,17 289:15 289:23 291:13 293:1,7 314:5 316:24 336:8,22 342:14,16 343:5 344:8 345:18,24 347:9,13 348:15 348:23 349:16,17 352:14 379:3,22 380:13,15 388:6
company 260:25 297:21
comparative 251:25 254:16 292:21
compare 194:3 250:22 288:25 290:23 292:19 305:1 364:23,24 366:11 367:1
compared 181:24 194:18 204:10 248:13,13 284:9 289:1 329:14 357:21 365:16 369:19 377:10
comparing 249:13 287:5,6,7 287:17 329:1 364:8
comparison 254:12 255:16 288:22 316:18,22 321:15 328:15 364:10,18 367:19 368:1 370:4
comparisons 222:13 251:8 254:8,10,11,19 284:3 287:8 288:2,16,19 305:25
compensated 301:9,12
compilation 225:20
compilations 221:25 244:18
compile 382:3,5
compiled 306:6
compiles 232:15
compiling 274:23
complaint 191:17 192:2 235:24
complex 180:16 255:10
complexity 180:11
compliance 354:15
components 348:19 365:24
composition 193:2,3 204:25
comprehensive 324:11,20 325:16,21 326:1,2
comprised 279:20
computations 275:25
computer 186:13 306:17,18 387:10
concentration 193:11 194:17 352:20,22
concentrations 179:11 193:18,24 194:6,7,9,12,15 194:23,25 195:14,16 253:14 310:14 311:6 314:17 315:25 316:5,14 317:18 318:1
concept 255:12 357:5 360:9 360:11,13,14 361:5,13
concerned 202:13
concerning 186:5 190:20 232:20 312:6 331:15
concerns 368:11,14
concluded 248:8 351:13 355:24 389:1
concludes 264:19 388:23
conclusion 278:15 302:23 316:25 351:8
conclusions 188:19,21 190:12 194:4 272:20 278:1,3 296:18,20 297:6 306:20 309:14,18 310:11,15,19,20 310:24 312:6,9 313:9 314:12 315:2 317:9 375:1 381:17
conclusive 190:19,20 342:15
condition 252:20 359:20 360:1 361:2 365:2
conditions 188:19 194:4 200:23 202:2 248:1 252:13 254:8 255:3,13,14 256:24 292:16 303:1,6,9,11,13,15 325:17 329:15,15 334:15 357:6,10,12,19 360:21 362:11 364:7,8,9 365:8 371:13 378:16
conduct 210:23 234:4 243:8 243:11 254:7 259:12 272:4 311:21,24 324:2 326:8 359:11 382:1 386:15
conducted 179:7 214:11 228:14 229:18 230:5 233:24 235:4 243:6 250:7,9 278:7 285:18 311:3 315:16 319:20 324:25 330:23 360:6,8 365:5 379:25 380:25 381:3 381:11 382:10
conducting 274:14,19 303:20 304:6 359:21 360:2
conducts 232:16
confer 237:9
conference 386:21
conferring 238:2,20 257:14
configurations 370:20
confirm 248:16 249:12 269:21 283:19
confused 214:2
conjunction 284:2
connection 237:21
consent 273:12
consider 216:17 220:9 230:25 235:22 252:3 315:22 324:14 362:12
considerable 257:2
consideration 180:10 251:24 372:22 380:13
considerations 237:24 316:6 333:23 334:6 341:16
considered 184:17 185:18,19 185:25 187:7,25 188:1,4 203:5,10 209:17 219:7,12 219:12 220:11 237:22 263:8 264:4 269:12 276:11,15 282:16 283:5 284:25 296:25 297:3 306:15 307:24 323:17 335:21 336:17 351:12 363:15 371:15 372:23 380:5 385:12,19 386:24
considering 380:9
consistent 360:13,17

constituent 333:3
constitute 325:11 360:14 366:18
consult 188:18
consultant 216:10
consultation 197:13 261:1,2
consulting 183:17 187:12 189:20 190:5,13 192:18 202:15,25 208:1,3 209:6,17 210:13 211:14 215:16,22,25 216:1,7 217:12,16 218:6,8 221:17 224:24 226:11 227:5 228:14 229:15 230:6 237:25 239:4 240:6 258:7 260:23 260:24 262:15,21,24 263:4 263:7,11,24 265:3,14 272:8 273:21 295:25 298:11 299:17,20 301:16 302:6 382:17 385:22 387:23 388:10,16
contact 183:3,5 184:8,10 210:2,6 238:25
contacted 184:13 210:4,5,8
contacts 210:7
contain 229:9 384:12 391:15
contained 185:24 186:17 218:19 229:17 274:8 285:6 293:25 322:3 380:1 385:11 387:12
contains 307:7
contaminant 273:5
contaminants 272:22
contamination 380:10
content 250:15 350:2,20 377:14
contents 218:4
context 256:12 287:24 330:12
continued 178:16 207:23 239:24 272:1 302:2 334:21 363:24
continues 321:21
continuum 205:1,5
contract 305:7 335:1,5,7,19 335:20 336:3 379:13
contracts 302:6
contribute 378:16
contributing 202:5 253:10 320:11,18,22 330:15
contribution 200:19 203:8 319:16 332:2 365:22 366:7
contributions 203:18 253:14 272:21
control 364:4
Cont'd 177:7
convened 260:15
convening 260:16
Cooke 309:15 310:1,2 312:2 317:3,10 318:4 377:3 379:25
Cooke's 380:4
cool 336:7,22 340:8,20 341:6 342:14,16 343:5 344:7 345:18,24 346:9 347:9 371:15,17 372:1 379:3,21
cooler 371:21
coordinate 210:15,21
coordination 210:25 211:8
copied 226:19
copies 186:3 386:19,20,23
copper 363:6,7,9,11,16,16
copy 295:17 306:17 362:22
copyees 231:10
correct 182:13 212:14 215:4 219:23 220:3,8 222:3,7,8 226:17,18,20 227:11,14 244:6 274:25 275:1 278:13 281:20,21,24 282:4,23 283:23 285:4 286:11 289:24 294:2 296:8 303:4,5 304:20 309:12 316:21 317:16,19,24 322:9,10 330:5,10 335:3 336:9,10 338:18 342:10,17 343:16 346:6,7 347:16 348:9 375:5 379:23 384:21 385:25 386:12 390:5,7 391:15
CORRECTION 392:4
CORRECTIONS 392:1
correctly 279:3,11 286:15 293:24
correlate 350:21
correlations 217:8
counsel 178:5 182:23 184:20 209:21 210:14 215:20 216:3 217:17 226:14 227:8 228:22 230:12,15 231:23 236:25 242:24 243:16 259:25 261:20 272:18 273:1,16,18 302:16,19 386:9 388:17
count 347:11 383:9
counting 347:2
County 175:18 391:4,6
couple 186:20 266:3 278:9 373:20
course 183:6 223:9 264:10
court 175:1 213:23 228:10 238:9 239:14 260:6,8,12 262:5,11,14 263:15,21,25 264:3,6,13,17 265:8,24 266:6,16,20 267:2,13,17,23 268:1,10,15,19 269:3,14,17 269:25 270:3,12,17,22 271:2,10,19,21,23 383:17
courtesy 187:18
courts 265:13
covered 273:14 335:18
Craig 176:12 178:11 260:5 300:18
create 268:3 274:18 322:24
created 274:19,22 323:2
creating 260:9
creek 199:6,7,25 200:3 236:14,17,21 248:9,10,15 248:16,18 249:3,10,20,25 251:1,4,5,5,12 288:2,20 356:9,13
criterion 196:17,19,21
critical 372:18
criticisms 307:7
Cross 177:8 378:20
crosses 356:3
CSR 391:22,23
cube-type 341:25
Cura 297:22 298:8,12
curious 300:17

currently 295:11
cycle 264:12
cycles 293:6
C-U-R-A 297:22

D

D 177:1
Dalmquist 243:18
dam 206:2
damage 180:3,4 303:21 304:3 304:6 318:18 326:8 328:2 329:7 330:3,14,14 331:1,2,9 331:12 359:22 360:3,5,8,12
damages 329:24 330:23
dark 271:16
Darren 278:12
data 179:10,11 183:18,19 184:1,3,14,15,18,19 185:13 186:2 188:18 189:8,10,10 189:13,14,21,25 190:3 191:3,24 194:17,25 195:4,8 195:11,13,15,18 198:5,24 202:20 207:13 220:7,9,11 220:13 221:23,25 224:9,12 230:20,25 235:1,7 241:24 243:7,22 244:3,16 245:2 248:15 249:7,9,12,16,18,23 249:25 250:8,25 251:2,15 251:23 252:2 254:7 266:11 274:14,17,23,24,24 275:2,3 276:18,20,22,23 277:3,6,9 277:25 282:3 283:3,14,17 284:7,21,25 287:9 290:14 290:23,24 291:7,7,10,11 299:2,9,10,11,12 300:2 306:3 315:19 323:8,21,25 324:12,14,16,18,19,20,22 326:3,7,19,20 338:13,15,16 341:10,17,18 342:13 344:12 344:13 345:1,4,9,11,12,15 347:14,23 348:1,10 349:24 350:4,17,18,20 358:11,14 358:14 364:22 370:10,13 377:13 378:2 381:10 388:7
database 244:25 274:25 276:19 299:10
date 225:17 269:23 274:2 334:4,12
David 384:3
day 175:18 185:16 218:20 238:7,7 260:15 268:2 324:25 377:2 383:20,23 390:9,19 391:20
days 218:2 268:9 270:23
Deadline 227:24
deal 376:5
dealing 215:8 252:25 363:3
dealt 216:15 217:12
December 334:9
decides 264:14
decision 265:5 342:15 345:15
decisions 341:19
decline 269:16
decrease 357:20
deemed 343:4
deep 371:17 372:1
deeper 181:8
defendants 175:11 178:10 260:4,14,24 261:8 306:4,5
define 284:19,20 332:14 381:2
defined 211:12 216:11,12 318:21 325:11 360:10,20
definition 360:25 361:13,16
definitive 324:11 326:22
degradation 286:9,22 288:5 349:3,16
degraded 287:3
degree 198:1 250:16,18,19 265:10 375:11
degrees 375:5,10 376:3,19,21 376:22
Del 182:24
delving 313:23
demonstrating 358:13
demonstration 367:8 368:15
dense 181:25
density 216:24 223:25 304:10 305:1 306:2 308:18 309:1 311:22
Denver 176:8
Department 304:7
dependent 353:2
depending 267:19 268:22 269:3 293:16 342:12 353:11
depends 254:24 375:24
depose 257:17
deposition 175:15 178:1,4 185:17,21 217:20 218:21 238:5,8 239:17 257:21 260:9,13,15,16 261:10 262:9 264:11,19 267:16 268:2,25,25 269:8,19 272:1 273:14 281:1 295:10,21 300:15 383:17 388:24 389:1 390:4 391:11,16 392:1
deprived 372:11
depth 344:20 365:14 367:13 374:1,7,12 377:15,25
Derek 176:16
describe 178:20 203:25 229:25 230:1 255:14 368:4 374:18
described 186:16 221:15 234:12,17,19 242:10 261:3 304:7 369:5,10
describing 185:9 366:17
description 233:16,18,23 360:15 361:17 362:7
designated 196:4 287:9 340:8 340:10,19 341:5 344:4
designation 196:9 340:22,24 341:1,4 379:3
designations 379:5
detail 343:10 386:8
detailed 383:2
details 235:14 291:5 320:14 387:9
detected 291:17
determination 265:16 328:10 328:11,14 329:12 330:15 331:2,12,22
determinations 211:6 359:6
determine 187:24 195:24 232:16 280:13 303:20

305:11 315:10 326:14 327:15 328:17,19 336:15,20 338:9 342:20 344:7 346:2 360:18 366:13
determined 237:18 278:25 329:2,22 340:12 345:18,23 346:6
determining 279:15 328:24 359:15
develop 223:12 255:12,20 314:22 358:12 382:21
developed 213:12 214:14 235:6 245:14,15,16,19,23 246:5 247:6,8 306:5 387:1
developing 214:9 225:8 302:16
development 216:16 241:24 248:9
device 292:14
die 375:10 376:20
died 376:2
differ 258:19 288:10
difference 196:22 246:14,17 246:18,20 291:3,15,23 329:22 362:11
differences 180:22 196:24 246:23 247:1 258:24 259:6 259:9,11,13 290:25 291:1,6 291:9 367:22 368:18 369:6 369:11,14 370:15
different 180:12 188:2 208:16 238:22 246:24 251:14 256:25 290:16 291:10,13 292:3,8 331:2 342:4 365:8 368:22 370:19 370:22,23,25 380:17
differential 253:8
difficult 181:19 264:7 268:21 274:20
difficulty 315:8
digging 258:23
diminished 333:4
diphtherin 282:19
dipteran 291:12,12
direct 177:7 178:16 202:23 209:15 210:11 213:19 215:14,24 221:10 226:9 227:3 228:12 242:22 254:8 254:10,11,19 255:16 272:6 286:12 301:2,14 305:25 352:15 378:14 381:3,25 382:3 386:17
directed 261:10 263:18 272:9 308:5 325:5 380:24 382:5 386:10,13
directing 217:14 273:7 307:2 388:14
direction 182:19,22 272:13 273:1,16 278:23 323:13 336:3 337:9 380:20 388:17
directly 186:22 272:7 323:5 332:7
disagree 357:17 358:10,22 359:1,8 381:22
disagreed 358:16
disagreement 358:19
disappearance 204:15
discharge 360:19
discharges 201:25 319:11 349:11 350:19
disclosed 184:25 203:1 209:18,19 215:18 221:14 226:12 227:7 263:20 265:4 273:11 382:13
discount 302:7
discover 238:18
discovered 260:22
discovery 239:11
discuss 216:23 386:21
discussed 209:12 240:10,12 240:17 290:25 309:23 318:8 383:17 388:11
discussing 197:20 207:4 218:18 386:11
discussion 234:11,15 270:5 294:21 327:17 342:8 382:24
discussions 212:9 277:1 302:22 303:8
display 287:1
dispute 327:15
disrupting 191:22
dissolved 195:19,23 197:4 204:7 317:15 321:12,16 332:13,22 357:12,18 370:22
distinct 261:2
distinctive 198:18 367:22
distinguish 309:25 361:7
distinguishing 240:6
DISTRICT 175:1,2
disturbed 247:17,20 248:12 248:24 249:14
diverse 286:24
diversity 245:4 251:18 279:13 380:16
document 217:21,24 232:17 232:20 234:2,16,21 235:5 236:13 259:5,11 290:8 362:18 376:7 379:6 383:11 384:7,9,19 385:3
documentation 249:1 320:15 363:1
documented 289:5,7,10,20 289:21 334:5 374:22
documents 187:6,9,14 218:23 232:15 237:12 260:21 263:13 264:23 266:14,25 267:20 269:1 271:8,16 275:14 295:4 299:6 332:8 383:16 385:1,6,9,11,16,17 385:20
DOI 303:21 304:4 326:11 333:18 360:6,10,21
doing 210:22 211:4,6,7,10 212:9,11 213:2 217:1 229:1 234:18 235:22 240:3 254:10 254:19 299:11 300:15,19,24 303:14 306:23 307:4 326:2 332:1
dominated 204:14 288:6
door 265:15
Dowd 240:16 241:4 298:14 298:15
downstream 304:12 305:7 336:2 349:10,22 350:14 352:18 353:7 379:13,16

Dr 178:4 184:23 187:6 213:18 215:17 237:22 238:23 242:17 253:25 257:8 260:14,18,22 262:17,18,24 263:5,5,13,18 264:11,25,25 269:12,16,18 277:20 280:12 280:14 283:11 284:1,10 285:3,10,11,17 290:13,22 294:14 295:6,25 296:13 300:6,22,23 304:17,22,25 305:9 306:12,20,22,22,24 306:25,25 307:7 308:20 309:2,10,10,14,18 310:1,2,9 311:3 312:2,10,11 313:6 314:11,16,25 315:15 317:3 317:7,10,12 318:4,4,5,8 322:17,18 323:10,10,13,13 335:15 341:2,7 342:1 357:4 357:16,17 358:11,17 359:18 378:23 379:25 380:3,4,4
draft 277:21,22 278:4 296:15 296:16 307:6,15 309:2
drafted 307:11,17 308:20,23 308:24 309:6 322:13,19
drafting 244:2,15 275:12 307:5 322:15
drafts 307:24 386:23 387:1,4 387:5
drainage 198:15 199:22 337:20 339:15 365:16 367:14
dramatic 206:2
draw 190:12 323:6
DREW 175:4
Drs 307:11 312:2 381:3,7
Dry 251:5
dual-authored 309:21
due 326:23,24,25 349:9 358:20
duly 175:20 178:13 391:8
duplicative 187:15,16
duration 329:10 376:21
dynamic 215:12 273:20 293:17
dynamics 192:17 209:8,14 210:10,17 211:3,19 214:10 228:7,19 229:6 230:2 238:15 261:4,11 272:4
D-O-W-D 240:16

E

E 176:1,1 177:1,3,3 301:6 391:1,1
earlier 185:22 197:12,21 201:11 218:18 221:15 239:1 269:13 326:22 330:9 382:16 383:17,21 384:8 385:10,14
early 187:12 237:24 292:23 374:4
eastern 349:14
easy 264:22
Eco 225:11,16 288:10
ecological 297:15 324:2,6,9 324:13 325:1,9,12,17 360:16 365:18
ecologist 178:23 294:14 296:7 300:7
ecology 178:24 179:6 297:12 317:12
ecosystem 286:8,17,21
ecotoxicologist 178:22 243:21
Eco-Cargill 384:10
Eco-Cargill.ppt 383:7
EDCs 191:22
editor 232:12,14,15 298:21
editorial 232:17,21 233:5,11
EDMONDSON 175:4
Edwards 240:14 242:15 243:4 244:18,24 293:24 299:13 307:17,18 308:5 309:10 381:4,8
effect 194:13 204:11 253:6 289:11 329:21 353:1
effects 191:19,22 192:4,9,15 193:12,15,16,23 198:2 204:17,19 205:3,14,14,15 252:6 288:4 289:12 312:7 316:8,10 327:1 329:17 349:9,10,12,21 350:3,5,6 352:18 353:4,13 355:23,24 356:17,22 360:18 365:9 367:3 382:25,25
effluence 320:16
effort 202:15 233:17 306:21 309:7 332:9
efforts 209:6
eggs 292:6,11 373:22,23 374:2
Ehrich 182:24
either 182:13 187:14 199:5 212:1 221:13 223:10 277:8 282:6 292:11 300:22,22 313:11 323:10 327:23 329:19,24 333:13,16 336:7 336:16 341:19 342:13 343:18 345:20 346:11 348:20,24 349:5 350:18 361:23 383:1 391:18
elements 210:23
elevated 194:20 201:21 202:1
eliminate 372:20
embarrassed 241:18
embedded 257:3
embeddedness 250:17
emerged 292:10
emergences 292:11
emerging 292:5
employ 247:6
employed 208:5 297:16
employee 226:2,23 231:15
enactment 334:8,12
ended 187:15 385:17
endocrine 191:21
endorse 254:13
ends 357:8
enhanced 204:24,24
enrichment 204:9 286:10,23 289:9,18 290:1,5 372:19
Ensarto 262:23
entered 302:5
enters 207:1
entire 205:17 228:25 252:2 266:12 315:19 353:24 355:17 374:3

entirely 285:10
entities 244:20
entitled 238:18 239:10 285:7 322:7
envelope 255:13
environment 175:6 257:2
environmental 221:22 301:4 348:6,7
environments 192:5 303:2
envision 233:25 234:1 355:12
EPA 191:6,9,10 349:8 350:15 355:5,23
epilimnion 358:7
EPT 287:1 289:9,12,17,21 290:3,8,12
equal 256:25 370:5 371:20
equals 208:20
equivalent 369:20
erosion 250:19
especially 188:2 252:4 289:8 324:21 326:20 333:1 337:4 337:16 340:1 349:22
essence 366:10
essential 364:11,19
essentially 198:17 211:13 256:6 262:13,18,25 266:8 268:9,24 365:17 377:4,8,17 377:19
establish 254:4 355:1 364:3
established 253:25 339:6 364:6
establishment 359:20 360:1
estimate 330:3 355:10
estimated 284:17
estimates 284:8,12
et 175:10 259:25 380:18
Eugene 317:10
eutrophic 198:7,25 200:22 202:2 204:1,22 205:20 206:8,9,13,22 371:12,22,24 377:22 378:16
eutrophication 191:20,25 197:25 198:3,10,11 199:4 200:2,10,14 201:4,9,12,18 201:23 204:3,11 205:11,23 290:1 367:3
evaluate 182:4 183:14 188:17 189:25 190:8,15,23 192:21 193:16 195:4,8,23 202:9 205:10 218:3 249:23 258:16 302:20,25 306:10 309:13 310:22,23 315:9,17 320:4 335:4 359:6,13,14 367:18 375:13 380:12
evaluated 192:6 197:10 259:2 278:23 290:16,17 312:24 313:8,23 317:8 321:4,6,17 348:3 368:25 372:24 377:10
evaluating 179:13 183:21 189:22 190:11,14 192:24,25 193:5 194:10,11 202:19 243:22 244:2,14 253:12 310:18 312:20 350:1 359:18
evaluation 179:4 184:16 195:2 198:5,8 290:14 304:9 305:4,6,14,16 306:19,23 307:13 311:4,11,21 313:21 315:20 319:21 323:14 324:23 326:6 359:11 365:5 366:16 367:23 382:23
evaluations 216:15 259:5 277:17 303:12 311:23 312:5 315:16 325:21,22 375:1 383:2 388:5
event 278:24 302:7 391:19
everybody 269:4
evidence 190:20 193:14 198:9,11,12,22 199:10 200:2,22 205:23 286:9 316:17 317:1
evident 291:19
exact 245:12 298:12
exactly 188:14 277:20 307:9 315:9 339:22 355:12 382:8
examination 177:7,8,9 178:16 260:22 378:20 386:5
examine 238:10 267:22
example 180:12 189:3,6 191:8 194:4 204:8,20 244:20 248:8 252:24 256:22 278:5 288:3 289:9 290:22 312:10 314:4 328:18 332:21 333:10 348:16 349:8 353:6 357:20 362:18 363:6 364:7 385:18 387:9
examples 331:19
exceed 196:16 345:21
exceedances 197:10
exceeded 197:2,5,9
exception 200:21 311:22 351:15
excess 289:25
exercises 261:5
exhaustive 367:23 369:13
exhibit 217:20 219:2 295:3,5 295:21 300:15 375:15 383:4 383:9 384:10,18,23,23 385:2,5
exhibits 225:23,24 266:11
exist 280:8,11,22 287:6,14 292:4 311:12 321:19 329:16 352:1,19 368:10 372:1
existed 190:12,15 360:22 361:2
existing 190:16 191:24
exists 183:24 280:11 324:14 353:3
expect 351:18
expected 190:22
experience 179:5,18 255:9 363:3,3,10,14,18 372:11,16 382:14
experimental 320:5
expert 179:2 184:25 186:17 187:7,25 190:7 191:11 199:14 202:25 203:3 208:1 209:17 210:13 215:17,18 216:5,8,10,11,16 218:6,8,13 218:15 219:14 220:9 221:14 223:9,24 224:13 226:11,12 227:5,7 232:25 233:8 236:17,23 237:3,15,20,23 237:25 240:7 242:21 243:9 244:5 247:24 258:7 260:23 261:22 262:3,16,17,21,25

263:4,7,9,11,14,24 264:2 265:1,3,4,6,14 268:2 272:9 278:13 281:15 293:25 296:1 299:19 301:18,21 382:17 385:19 387:16,23 388:16
expertise 178:25 215:9 382:14
experts 208:3 211:14 215:22 216:1,1,7 217:12,16 221:17 228:14 239:4 260:14 301:17 388:10
expert's 303:25 385:23
Expires 390:23
explain 208:15
explanation 337:5
Exponent 208:6 210:3 220:21 224:21 226:2,23 228:25 229:20 232:13 237:5 238:13 239:5 260:24 261:24 262:16 285:11 297:16,17,22 298:1 298:9 300:8 301:11,17 302:5
exposed 376:3,20
exposure 375:9,11 376:18,21
expressed 322:1 361:14 381:9
extends 207:4 329:11
extent 179:3 210:24 211:8 212:22 213:19 217:1 221:11 222:11 226:10 227:4 228:13 242:19 280:22 301:15,17 323:24 388:14
extracted 323:7
extrapolate 351:18,23
extrapolation 352:16
extreme 204:21
e-mail 219:22 220:2,16 222:2 222:6 223:2,4,6,18,20 224:6 224:6 225:11,23 226:16,16 227:10,15,20,23,24 230:17 230:20,23 231:6,17,22 232:9 233:13 236:11 260:17 267:3,10
e-mails 186:1,16 237:1,4,8,13 263:13 266:8,9,15

F

F 391:1
facilities 363:19
facility 328:6 334:5 361:8,20 363:11,19
fact 237:12 264:14 285:10 347:13 351:12
factor 181:4 253:5,17 312:24 317:22 326:7 337:15 350:10
factors 253:9 254:24 312:1 313:10,22 315:14,18,21,22 326:6 327:12 329:20 337:3 337:4 350:1 352:6 362:13 367:9,16,18 368:19 370:15
facts 184:24 263:2 264:2
factually 187:20 263:2,17
Faegre 182:23 183:1,5,6 219:21 231:16
fair 385:21
fairly 181:4 182:1 198:19 199:10 207:17 219:13 325:10,13 339:6,25 370:24
fairness 268:3
fall 241:3 292:23 345:21,25 379:21
falls 336:25 337:2
familial 278:19
familiar 245:13 285:23 374:18
far 179:9 180:17 184:18 189:19 192:15 193:10 196:20 207:3 212:10 216:24 225:20 232:17 235:1 245:24 246:2,21 248:25 252:6 253:14 287:22 289:6 302:21 302:24 304:8 308:5 325:9 326:19 328:24 329:10,11,12 334:10 337:7 348:3 356:21 358:6,18 362:25 365:24 370:10 372:24 373:21 387:10
Farms 176:12 178:11 260:5
fast 258:15
faster 353:14
fate 179:7,9,13 180:21 182:4 209:7,13,14 210:10,17 211:2,18 214:10 215:12 228:7,19 229:5 230:2 238:14 261:4,7,11 272:3 273:3,20
federal 347:16,24
feel 187:19 243:3
Ferry 303:4 322:8 323:4 370:16 373:17
field 233:17 259:14,15 364:12 364:13,20,21,22
fields 319:12 320:2,5 365:11 365:23
fifth 256:9
figure 264:20 343:1,3,11 344:10,12 345:16 382:8
figures 298:17 322:24,25 323:2,3,7,8,12,15,17,22 358:12 359:10 381:19,24 382:4,6,9
filamentous 199:11 312:11 312:14,16,19,24 313:13,15 313:18,19,24
file 265:20 268:5 270:19 338:14,15,16
files 184:19 186:2,13 280:12 280:14 283:14 294:12 297:2 299:12 300:1 324:19
fill 235:7
final 307:24
finalize 269:23
finalized 387:3
finally 384:22
find 225:9 249:24 250:5,6 252:18 280:5,21 288:21 366:9,15 377:13
finding 189:13
findings 191:9 376:15,17
finds 264:17
fine 239:15
finer 257:2
finish 258:10
firm 182:23 219:21 231:16 262:16 297:24,25 298:1,11

first 178:13 201:1,6 210:6 224:17 238:7 240:19,20 256:9 278:11 302:25 310:22 328:13,13 334:5 360:5 369:18 376:13 391:8
fish 185:5 189:3,17 190:1,17 190:21 191:1,13 192:22 193:17 195:5,9,11,21 196:1 204:2,5 216:25 235:18,20 236:20 250:20 252:25 274:10 296:12,16,25 297:5 297:13 298:3 304:11 305:2 305:6 308:24 311:2 312:12 312:21 313:5,11 315:2,23 315:25 316:11,15 318:5,8 318:12,14 326:15 339:5 342:20 345:14 347:13,14,23 348:4,14 349:3,16 351:14 352:14 353:23 355:16,20,24 356:18,24 357:20,25 358:8 358:17 369:20 371:15 372:15,22 376:2 379:12 380:8 387:17 388:1,6
fisheries 204:20
fishery 372:4,23
fishes 190:10 193:24 204:13 204:20 216:14 303:10 317:12 322:7 339:10 373:23
fit 388:7
fits 266:18
five 302:12 344:9,9 355:11 356:5 375:21
Flint 199:25 200:3
flocks 223:21
flow 180:10,11,14,15,16,17 180:23 181:24 232:18 257:8 352:2 353:9,10 374:1,7,12
flowing 352:7
fly 291:12
focus 184:1 190:4 195:1,3,3 297:15 311:1
focused 190:7 312:6 317:11
focusing 311:11
follow 304:5 326:11 385:4
followed 303:22
following 207:22 239:23 259:21 268:12,14 270:20 302:1,17 304:1 334:20 363:23
follows 178:15
follow-up 269:19
food 204:18,24
Foods 175:10 259:25
foregoing 390:4 391:14
forget 322:22
Fork 356:11
form 181:13,18 186:18 192:12 193:20 196:13,18 202:7,12 208:9,14 209:2 210:19 212:4,18 213:3,7,15 214:12,24 215:5 216:9,22 217:5,13 218:9 219:8 221:6 221:24 222:22 224:14 228:3 228:8,21 229:2,7,11,22 230:3,10,14 231:20,25 232:4 233:9 234:14 242:7 242:16 243:13 245:22 247:21 250:24 251:9 254:22 255:24 256:3,18 272:6 275:10,11,19 277:4,10 278:2 282:12,22 283:8 284:15 285:20 286:4 287:20 288:15 290:15 291:24 299:4 301:14 302:9 305:3,13,18 305:23 307:21 308:4,9 310:21 311:8,17 314:2,9,14 314:20 315:4,13 316:2,12 316:20 318:20,25 319:5,19 319:23 320:8,13,20,24 321:3,9,14 322:12,20 323:1 323:19 324:5,17 325:3,19 326:4,12,17 327:19 328:4 328:23 329:8 330:4,16 331:4,18,24 332:5,24 333:20 334:3 336:18,24 337:24 338:19,23 339:2,18 341:13 342:22 343:7,22 344:3 347:10 349:6,20 350:8 351:22 352:24 353:25 354:5 357:14 358:1,5,15 359:5,16,23 361:15 362:5 362:21 364:5,15 366:6,22 367:5 369:2 371:10 377:6 381:16 386:25 387:7,19 388:3,13 391:12
formal 382:21
formation 385:12
formats 232:15
formed 263:20
former 226:2,23 333:21,22
formerly 219:20
forming 237:23 263:9 264:5 269:13
forms 289:8
forth 203:23
forward 382:23
foul 269:5
found 189:15 190:20 246:15 254:17 290:3 315:15 338:12 338:13 339:12 375:8 377:3 378:1
four 188:7,12 219:7 224:17 270:23 293:4 345:25 346:5
frame 247:18 295:25
Fredrick 300:5
frequent 340:1
fresh 189:7 294:16
freshwater 373:23
Friday 268:11 270:19
full 336:8,12 337:10,22 338:6 338:21 339:12,16 342:13 343:11 344:23 379:19,20 391:15
fully 330:19 336:7,21 342:14 343:4,9 345:19,21 346:15 346:16 347:1,9 348:18 357:22 379:22
functioning 288:8 289:14
fundamental 246:20 318:13
further 238:20 342:16 345:15 391:14,17

G

G 177:3
gained 247:23

game 373:23
gap 189:15
gaps 235:1,7
Garren 384:3
gather 184:3,8
gathered 184:15 185:2,6
gathering 185:14
general 175:4 176:3 180:23 181:13,20 183:17,20 192:14 197:13,23 211:7 212:13 215:7 221:16,22 249:17 250:18 252:12 287:11 288:2 288:18 300:20 301:4 302:21 307:3 332:17 352:25 354:21 357:2 368:9 372:21 382:6
generalized 206:7 369:9
generally 178:22 187:13 188:18 208:20 242:8,17,18 266:11 290:11 292:15 371:14
generated 263:11
genus 278:18
geographic 241:24
Geographically 246:17
geological 181:7
geology 179:23 180:5,7,11,14 180:25 181:10,15,21 246:22
getting 214:2 252:5 268:2 278:6 324:24
Ginn 175:16 177:5 178:4,12 184:23 187:6 213:18 219:17 220:14 222:25 223:16 224:3 224:16 225:21 226:1 227:18 230:17 231:4 232:7 233:13 236:9 237:23 238:23 257:8 260:14,18,22 262:17,24 263:5,6,18 264:11,25,25 269:12,16,18 295:25 378:23 383:4 384:17,17 390:3,14 392:2
Ginn's 215:18 242:17 262:18 263:14 295:6 317:7
GIS 241:23 242:3,9,13 301:7 304:19,21
gist 258:1
give 190:16,25 191:4 228:24 270:14 310:10 382:7
given 269:6 281:9 368:9 373:2
go 186:24 192:16 199:21 207:19 219:9 237:17 239:20 243:2 258:11,14 259:18 268:13 269:15 270:3 278:8 290:9 291:4 294:17 297:5 301:18,23 302:23 334:16 344:11,22 356:7 370:2 375:12,18 383:2
goal 293:5,15 330:6 354:2 361:24 363:9,12
goals 216:12
goes 373:21 374:3 375:24
going 202:23 209:15 210:11 214:22 217:19,19 221:10 238:8 253:5 257:16 260:18 264:6,9,15 268:23 270:4,13 270:15 271:11,16 273:22 276:23 280:25 292:11 295:21 296:2 297:5 298:22 332:7 353:4 356:24 358:25 375:14,15 383:8,8
Goldfield's 262:23
good 206:23 287:16 289:17 346:19
Gosh 241:3
government 201:17
gradiant 198:16
gradient 206:2 353:9
gradual 205:17
graduate 285:21
graph 347:5
graphics 298:15
gravel 256:24
great 386:8
greater 181:6 206:4 353:12 369:21 370:5
greatly 348:24 373:10
green 198:18 312:11,20 313:13,15,18,19 343:13,14
grounds 216:2
groundwater 180:9,24 181:3 181:9,15
group 286:21 292:9 333:13 333:13
groups 312:25
grow 305:7
growers 335:5,7,20 336:3 379:14
grower/breeder 335:1
growing 199:11
growth 198:13 204:23,24 205:2 316:7
guess 181:5 187:22,23 194:18 207:8 248:6 257:22 261:22 264:19 287:15 293:9 294:25 328:5 334:10 348:1 355:11 357:2 378:11
guessing 356:10
guidance 262:22 273:24 362:19 363:1
guide 231:23 232:2
guys 238:6

H

habitat 249:1,7,9,14,23,24 250:2,6,8,13,21 252:14 254:25 256:1,11,13,17 257:4 258:16,25,25 259:3,6 259:10 287:23 325:25 337:4 337:14,19 338:25 339:3,10 339:11 344:12,14,23,25 345:4,11,12 348:25 349:1,5 349:7 356:25 357:8,20,24 357:25 358:4,13,20 370:15 370:20 371:5,19 372:18,20 372:22,25 373:1,3,16,21 374:19,24
habitats 251:18 339:8
half 285:13,13 297:19
hand 217:19 264:17 375:14 383:8 390:9 391:20
handed 268:24 295:4
handled 265:19
hands 246:13 288:21
happen 204:16 331:11
happened 187:13

happening 260:9 337:5
happy 237:16
hard 212:7 315:10
harm 269:5
hate 270:9
hats 265:13
hazardous 327:9,16 332:2 360:23 361:3,8,10,21 366:24
HBI 283:8,11,18
headwater 256:6
healthy 286:8,17 287:16 347:13 348:11,23 351:10
hear 364:16
heard 255:12 259:21
hearing 258:3
held 265:13 270:5 294:21
help 231:9 299:2,5 300:4
helped 208:20 242:14
helps 185:14,15 221:7
high 196:8 198:19 204:4 236:2 324:23 355:14 372:14
higher 278:19 291:11 353:8 376:20
highlands 288:13
highlight 229:24
highlighted 375:16
highlights 230:5
highly 288:8 311:20 369:7
Hilsenhoff 245:7,10,23 246:5
hired 297:23
Hold 217:13
holding 300:14
Honor 262:7,12 267:8,15 269:10,21 271:1,6,20
Hopefully 373:11
hormone 195:16
hormones 189:12 191:23 192:4 382:17,25 383:1,3
house 306:2 308:18 309:1 311:22
houses 216:24 223:21,22 224:1 304:10 305:1 388:6
humans 333:13,17
Hunter 176:3
hydraulic 365:14 367:13
hydrographic 252:12 367:12
hydrologic 255:2
hydrological 180:17
Hylebos 331:7,10,21
hypereutrophic 205:9
hypoxic 317:21

I

IBI 335:4 336:6,20 337:9,17 342:8 348:17 379:12,15
idea 212:24 215:3 264:24
identified 185:18 186:11 187:17 192:1,2 199:3,15 201:9 240:23 278:16,17,19 278:20 279:1 284:6,13,23 295:5 302:22 307:18 310:4 326:22 328:16 330:21,24 347:8 351:16 369:11 381:1 384:10 385:1
identify 178:6 182:7 183:23 200:10 235:8 240:2 243:15 243:17 248:2 281:10 282:5 293:6 302:19 327:3,3,5,18 335:7 337:10 344:10 346:8 350:21
identifying 191:6 212:1 327:15
II 175:15 178:4
IL 356:16
Illinois 182:5,8,12 183:15,24 184:4 188:20 190:2 191:2,7 194:15,21,23 195:5,10,24 196:11,16 197:2,5,9 198:3 199:15 200:5,6,8,11,24 201:13,18 202:5 203:18 207:1 217:3 223:23 224:1 233:24 234:6 235:2 244:21 245:2 248:13 249:5 251:14 251:19 252:9,11,21 254:9 254:18 255:16 256:1,7,17 259:6 272:23 273:5 279:25 281:13 285:8 286:7,18 287:14 303:2,3 304:10 305:8 311:13 312:21 316:9 320:12 321:8 324:3,15 325:2,6,17 326:15 339:23 340:7 342:9 347:15,23 348:10 349:4,19 351:1,7,9 351:20,21 352:23 353:17,24 354:3,10,11,13,23 355:7,17 355:21 356:3,8,15,18 373:17 374:6,9,25 387:18 388:2,12
imagine 280:23
impact 189:17 190:1 195:9 315:25 336:23 352:21
impacted 247:19 286:2 358:23
impacts 190:17,20 191:1,13 191:15 192:21 197:14,15 203:25 204:1 216:21 217:3 311:16,25 312:12,20 313:4 315:2 350:22 353:24 356:13 356:15 368:2,25 380:8 387:17 388:1,12
impaired 196:4,7
impairment 353:17
implicit 361:17
importance 246:19 247:1 251:20,22
important 179:3 180:9,20 194:7,9,13 250:8,17,21 253:7 286:25 287:10 315:1 315:5,10 325:24 326:7,21 337:3 351:24 352:8,11 361:5,6 367:15
importantly 365:19
impressions 384:14
Inappropriate 308:13
include 178:24 229:4 303:12 303:16 313:12,18 356:1
included 186:2 230:5 254:5 266:12 268:17 276:19,21 278:12 295:7 331:8,9 378:7 385:18
including 191:19,19,20,21 254:25 273:4 289:11,25 303:2 319:11,12,12,13 329:18 372:15

incorporated 312:15 387:2
increased 198:21 372:19
increment 330:6
incremental 253:6,17 329:23 330:2 360:18 361:25 363:13 366:13,20,23,23
incubation 374:3
independent 185:13 211:14 275:24 298:7 305:4,14 315:20 323:14
independently 284:8,20 294:8 306:10 381:16,20
indeterminate 379:22
index 245:8,11,19,20,23 279:13 348:17
indexes 246:3
indicate 201:17 202:4 205:19 288:7 343:8,11,13 348:11 369:18
indicated 189:18 190:17 192:16 196:23 197:12 199:12 200:17 201:12 203:19 206:12 214:25 245:4 254:13 256:20 287:7 288:18 298:18,20 308:20 310:23 311:10,19 312:23 316:4,22 321:15 323:22 328:12 330:17 337:25 340:18 346:17 349:13,23 358:11 367:21,21 369:20 380:11 381:18 383:5,7 384:13 385:5 386:14
indicates 245:19 278:11 279:25 336:6 348:17 379:5
indicating 336:8 337:22 338:4,6 342:13
indication 190:16 250:6 310:5 348:22 350:10,11,12 354:1 355:22 377:14
indications 349:8
indicative 286:8,20
indicator 193:4 282:25 286:25 287:13 289:13,17,23 328:8 380:16
indicators 348:14
indices 193:5 245:4 247:5,9 247:13 279:25 280:3 283:22 380:17
individual 210:5,8,16 242:25 280:7 282:10,14,21,24 290:21 299:11 311:24 331:3 331:23 348:19
individually 314:15
individuals 202:19 245:6 284:5 386:10
infer 193:22
influence 262:20
influenced 350:17
influences 217:3 365:20
influencing 362:13 367:16
inform 267:10
information 183:15,21,23 184:9 185:2,6,24 186:5,12 186:15,20 187:3,24 188:17 188:24 189:5,5,12,23 190:9 190:11,14,17,23 191:8,16 192:8 195:23 197:14,18,23 203:4,17,21,24 206:13,21 207:16 213:19 219:11,13 221:12,19,20 225:3,5,7,9 226:10 227:5 228:13,15 229:4,9 235:2 236:4 237:14 237:21 239:11 242:1,3 244:1,13,14 248:7,18,19 250:5 276:5,11,16 281:11 282:6 283:4,13 284:16 288:20 294:4,9 296:23,24 297:6,7 299:21,24,25 303:1 303:25 304:2 306:9 307:23 311:4,19 312:8 313:18 314:3 315:1 319:10 320:7 323:16,23 325:25 335:8,9 335:11,14,16,19 336:15,19 340:14,16 341:3 344:20 351:11,24 352:9,11 355:16 355:19 359:14 367:20 371:4 373:15 382:5 384:12 385:21 386:1 388:15
inhabit 204:5 256:22,23 257:1
initial 185:6 188:16,19 190:25 191:5 197:12 210:2 244:15 269:10 277:21 296:15 307:4 309:2 328:12 341:3 384:14
initially 210:4,9 265:2 307:11 307:17 308:20 309:6
injured 311:20
injuries 318:11 326:14 331:22 332:12 334:11,11,13 362:10 363:12
injury 318:18,21 326:23 327:3,6,7,15,18,22,25 328:3 328:8,10,11,13,14,17,19,24 329:2,3,4,6,9,11,11 330:7 331:22 332:4,10,23 333:1,7 333:9 334:2,7 359:15 364:11,19
input 367:3
inputs 252:13
inquiring 272:7
inquiry 264:18
insect 292:5
inspection 271:7
instance 247:9
instructing 272:24
instructions 382:7
insufficient 344:5
integrating 193:12 326:5 352:18
integration 194:13 352:5
integrative 193:8
intend 364:12
intended 229:24,25 230:1 234:17 237:11 247:25 295:7 295:14 354:4,14,17
intent 354:16
inter 339:25
interaction 181:2,6
interested 334:17 391:19
interesting 180:7 356:1 378:1
Interior 304:7
intermediate 274:22 283:15 323:25 343:14
intermingle 332:3

intermittent 339:9,20,23 340:2
interpretation 244:3 251:15 313:21 362:3,20 366:19
interpretations 208:16 277:25
interpreted 325:12,13
interrupt 257:7
intolerant 204:15 348:21 380:17
intrinsically 333:7
invertebrate 297:13
investigation 233:14,19,21 234:5,5,10,12,24 342:16
invoices 229:17 295:5,23,24 300:12,14
involve 252:10 305:25
involved 179:12,17 212:1,5 214:9,17,19,23 235:12,13 242:2 244:7 264:18 304:3 305:24
involvement 299:18 300:11
involves 210:25 241:24 327:11 333:22 341:15 368:5
involving 179:19 255:10 324:21 364:13
irrelevant 315:22
IRW 187:14 379:4 382:18 384:15
issue 191:15 192:1,3 201:13 202:11 209:22 236:5 238:11 239:16 260:8 262:6 264:9 265:11,18 267:4,25 268:3 268:16,23 310:14
issued 310:9,13
issues 188:25 202:17,18,22 203:7 209:4,8,9,11 215:8 216:17,18 257:16 258:4 272:17 326:6 337:14
issuing 187:25 224:13 231:1
items 186:21,25 302:12
i.e 358:13

J

Jane 240:13 241:11 242:12 242:13
January 295:6
John 176:9 260:3
joined 297:17 298:1
joining 298:11
joint 210:23 211:1 330:9,18
jointly 308:21
Joplin 180:4
judge 203:14
judgment 187:1 246:1 255:5 310:7
jump 271:4
June 219:25 224:6
jurisdiction 188:3
J.B 262:23

K

Kaesler 241:17,20 244:25 299:8
karst 179:23 180:5,7,11,14 180:18,20,25 181:2,4,6,8,10 181:15,21
Katy 240:14 243:18,21 247:12 274:5,7,12
keep 271:5 374:2
Kelly 176:3 178:7 260:1,11
Kenneth 300:25
key 380:14
Keystone 371:8 376:18,25
Kierski 240:15 241:13 274:10 294:13,14 296:6 298:22 300:22 304:17,23 305:10 306:12,22,25 307:12 309:2,11 322:18 323:10,13 335:13,15 341:2,7 381:3,7
Kierski's 297:11 304:25
kind 241:25 329:21 333:1 341:17 387:11
kinds 179:10 183:19 191:19 197:23 204:4 209:9 215:9 235:6,8 246:3 248:1 287:12 288:23 304:2 307:2 333:14 349:25 365:12,20 374:19 382:9 386:14,15
knew 215:7,8 216:8 331:19 377:15
know 180:22 181:12,13,14,20 181:25 184:18,18,21 185:19 185:22 186:10,13 187:3,4 188:1 194:14,18,22 196:3 196:10,15,23,25 197:3,4,8 201:14 206:9,16 207:3 208:20 218:23 225:22,24 227:15 230:11,15,23 231:21 237:10,16 238:8 245:14 246:14 250:4,4 252:19 258:19 265:9,10 266:17,20 268:22 269:18 271:11,11 274:21 275:17 276:8,18,21 277:13 280:4 283:17 285:2 285:17,21 286:1,5 292:25 293:2,10,12 294:9,11,11 298:2,4,8,10,12 299:15 300:12 301:9 302:5 303:23 304:8 309:19,22 315:5 316:9 318:23 319:2,3,7,9,15 319:20 320:10,18,22 321:1 323:20 327:15 328:8 330:22 333:24,24 336:22 338:1,15 339:1,11 340:5,6,13 341:7,9 342:1,23 354:3,16,20,21,22 354:25 356:8 361:16 368:7 370:1 374:20 377:7,8,11,16 377:21 378:6 379:1 384:5 384:25
knowing 293:15
knowledge 246:9 283:6 290:13,22 301:2 302:10 306:5,13 325:11 335:17 385:13,20
known 249:1 289:1,3
knows 258:14
Kristen 183:6 219:19 224:7 227:20 230:18 231:6
Kristi 240:20 241:15,17,20 244:25
Kristy 299:8
K-A-E-S-L-E-R 241:21
K-I-E-R-S-K-I 240:15
K-R-I-S-T-I 240:20

L

labeled 218:5 219:2
laboratory 233:17
lack 236:4
lake 196:3 198:23 200:21 201:5 205:18,19,22 222:3 222:12,13,19 298:23 303:4 310:9,14 311:13 317:12,14 318:9,12,16,24 319:4,8,17 320:19,23 321:5,11,16 322:8 323:4 324:3 325:2 327:14 328:3,18 332:13,21 356:25 357:11,19,21,22 358:4,23 359:2,13 365:6,7,7 365:11,12,13,21 366:3,4,5 366:11,12,12,15,18 367:1,2 367:4,7,10,17,19 368:1,4,8 368:20,22,25 369:1,9,15 370:16,16,18,18 371:8,8,9 371:24 372:1,5,24 373:2,17 376:1 377:5 378:3,8,10,12 378:16
lakes 222:9 366:16 368:18 370:25 371:9,17,18,22 374:21 377:22
land 217:7 284:3,6,10,17,22 284:24 291:16 311:23 319:13,17 321:2
language 277:23
large 186:19
larger 257:1 269:11 351:5,6 353:13
larvae 291:12,12 292:5,12 373:24 374:4
late 201:2 292:17,23 324:24 340:1 358:25
latitudes 371:16
law 176:6,9,13 182:23 219:21 220:17,19,20 221:18 222:18 223:2,18 231:16 236:11 240:11 241:3 299:22,23
laws 175:21
lay 246:12
laying 292:6
lead 369:12
leader 211:25 212:10 215:2
learned 216:6
led 283:7
Lee 248:9,10,15,16,18 249:3 249:10,20,25 251:1,4,12 288:2,19
left 375:21
legal 330:19
lend 313:20
length 374:1,8
lengths 355:2
lethality 205:4
let's 180:12 181:7 188:23 207:19 239:20 244:20 250:19 278:8 297:17 301:23 312:10 327:16 333:8 343:10 363:6,15 375:6 376:5
level 278:7,17,18,19,21 279:2 342:19
levels 194:21 195:19,25 201:22 202:1 316:7,9 317:15 321:7,16 357:12 358:24 359:3 370:22 372:15 372:20
liability 330:10,18
library 184:5
life 374:4
limit 364:13
limitations 326:20 345:11 349:1 350:4
limited 179:18 249:9 251:17 252:4,5 256:12 274:9 287:8 337:18 339:8,10,11 344:14 344:15,16 349:24 350:6
limiting 337:17 351:16
limnologist 178:19,21
limnology 178:21
Lincoln 176:7
Linda 183:5 224:19,20 225:10 240:9 241:7 299:15
line 202:24 205:12 219:17 258:10 295:11 296:19 329:14,15,22 333:4 334:15 343:19,20,23,24,24 344:1,2 345:21,21 346:12,12,14 359:20 360:1,9,10,13,20 361:13,24,25 362:11,12 364:3,6,10,18 366:20 370:19 371:5 392:4
lines 224:17 343:17 346:13
list 199:17 224:9 229:17 278:25 279:1 302:12 321:21 353:16,18
listed 199:24 231:10 379:18 385:19
literature 184:6 187:16 191:24 201:12,16 202:3,10 202:13 203:16 266:11,25 289:5,10 320:3 339:7
litigation 302:8
litter 202:19 216:21 252:7,16 320:2 365:10,23 368:6,17
little 180:16 248:9,10,15,16 248:18 249:3,10,20,25 251:1,4,12 258:24 285:12 288:2,19 299:23
lives 294:15
living 358:9
load 198:21
loading 318:24 319:4,16 320:11,19,23 321:5,11,18 352:6 365:25 368:2,5 369:1
locate 282:20
located 199:19 236:20 247:16 335:2 336:2 349:12 351:4,5 356:2,5 364:4 376:25 379:13
location 197:2,5 280:2,13 335:19 336:21,23 355:3 365:3
locations 200:8 201:8 235:16 254:20 259:2 324:22 335:22 337:21 350:22 377:15 379:13,16 388:7
lock 269:8
log 218:6 219:1,3,16 237:22 238:6 240:11,13 257:14 258:4 260:20 261:19 262:2 263:12 264:22 266:3,6,7

383:6 385:2
logarithm 279:19,22
logarithmic 341:25
logs 261:21
long 179:17 194:17 235:9 270:4 271:11 285:11 297:16 298:8
longer 218:12
look 189:7,16 191:24 192:10 193:13 194:1 196:2 205:8 219:17 220:5,14 222:9,14 222:16,25 223:16,22 224:3 224:16 227:18 230:17 231:4 232:7 233:12,19 234:21 236:9 248:15 253:7 259:16 264:24 266:6 267:21 269:7 281:22,22 286:17 287:11,12 287:13 288:13,17,23 289:20 290:23 292:22 293:10,12,15 293:20,21 297:7 302:24 313:1,15,24,25 314:8,16 317:21 318:1,14,15 326:3 330:6 331:21 333:3 334:4 337:3,14 338:10 342:5 344:22 348:13 352:8,11 356:7 363:13 367:25 375:18 378:25 383:10 384:24
looked 183:18 185:20 186:9 188:6,12 189:9,11 190:3 191:18 192:6 194:18 195:14 195:18 222:18 223:25 235:9 254:16 275:3 294:6 312:11 312:14,19 314:3,25 316:13 316:14 317:15,18,24 318:8 358:11 367:20 374:24 382:17
looking 180:20 188:23 191:13 192:3,16,22 193:2 193:22 195:13 199:5 202:17 205:25 216:12 222:11 234:1 248:8,10 249:15 251:25 265:11 270:12 271:15 272:3 287:24 288:3,4 289:21 308:6 313:19 314:4,6 316:23 324:8 327:14 328:19 332:2 333:9,15,16 343:3 347:4,14 352:12 362:11 364:7 368:3,7,8 374:11
looks 222:5 226:16 282:2 287:16 344:9 348:19,19
loss 366:23
lot 292:10 316:6 341:15
low 198:16 204:7 372:3,14 377:10,12,16,20,21 378:5 378:17
lower 206:4 249:4 337:17 345:25
lunch 257:24 258:4
L-A-W 240:11

M

Ma 240:13 241:11 242:12,13
macroinvertebrate 190:1,18 191:14 235:12 243:22 244:12 256:13,16,23 258:17 287:17 297:10 313:5 315:23 318:9,12,15 349:3,17 377:4
macroinvertebrates 189:4,17 190:10,21 191:2 192:22 193:17,23 195:5,10,12,20 195:20 196:1 204:2,5,13 216:14 223:14 236:20 246:8 250:14 256:20 257:1 285:22 285:25 287:21 296:14 303:10 311:2 312:13,21 313:11 315:3 316:1,11,15 318:6 322:8 326:15 356:25 377:22,25 378:17
magistrate 257:15 258:3 272:9 273:24
main 207:8,12 256:7 285:8 352:13,16,22 353:10,14 354:11,13,23 368:14
major 300:10 321:23 351:13 351:25 352:7
majority 307:11
making 239:1 286:19 287:4 288:2 345:13
malnutrition 376:2
management 181:10 212:22 261:24 299:9 373:2
manager 211:11,15 212:14 221:15 228:6,24 237:5 238:12,16,22,23,24 239:3,8 244:25 260:25 261:5 262:19
managers 372:23
managing 212:16,19 229:13
mandatory 359:21 360:2
manner 250:21 296:13 362:4
map 335:23 356:7
mapping 335:14
maps 241:25 242:3,14 298:17
March 226:17
mark 183:3 217:19 295:2,20 384:23
marked 295:22 300:14 375:14 383:9
Marlene 175:19 390:4 391:5 391:22
match 253:8
matched 255:7
material 186:10 188:10,11 219:5,6 238:6 258:7 260:17 266:5 276:11 281:7
materials 184:17,23 185:17 185:18,19,20,25 188:3 197:22 225:20 257:3,6 260:19,20 261:12,16,17,24 263:2,6 264:17 268:22,24 269:7,11,12 274:19,21 276:16 277:15,16 280:21 282:16 283:5,9 285:1 297:1 297:3,8 306:15 320:1 323:18 331:15 335:21 336:17 362:8 385:19 386:24
math 346:18
mating 292:6
matter 189:24 204:9 208:2 210:3,5,9 217:15 218:19 225:18 227:15,23 228:2 231:24 232:3,23 235:25 237:19 239:18 241:22 253:22 255:4 261:21 262:1 265:21 272:8 296:10 311:1 380:23 386:2 387:24,25

matters 244:11 273:13
maximum 282:9
maximums 281:23
mean 183:22 187:2 201:14 202:21 205:5,7 206:24,25 207:5 208:15,19 219:2 225:6 228:17 238:16 242:7 242:16 247:20 248:24,25 253:20 254:13 257:7 265:8 269:18 301:11 303:7,8 305:19 325:9 326:24 327:23 329:6 330:3 332:16 335:10 341:23 348:12,13 353:18 354:20,22 374:9 377:23
meanings 188:2
means 238:23 332:18 346:15 354:21 357:4 361:19
meant 244:14 253:19
measurable 327:8
measured 179:11 195:16 205:16 291:14 377:9
measurement 374:13
measurements 250:6 259:13 350:19
medians 281:23 282:9
meeting 359:3
meetings 210:24 211:1 213:13 261:15 386:21
meets 232:17
Melanie 240:14 241:5 242:15 243:4,6 244:18,24 293:24 307:18
Melissa 176:6 178:9 183:6 260:3 262:13
member 225:4
members 189:22 192:20 202:16 208:5,8 211:18,24 214:23 215:2 221:16 240:2 380:25 381:25 382:3
memory 300:13
mentioned 201:7 216:19 331:7 382:16
Menzie 297:21 298:8,12
mesh 292:13
mesoeutrophic 378:4
mesotrophic 206:15 378:12
met 328:22 332:19,22 333:5 342:21 344:8 346:3
metals 189:11 191:21 192:9 195:14 236:1,1
methods 303:20 304:5 306:19 309:14,17 310:19,20,24 317:9
metric 289:22
metrics 247:19 314:5,8,11,24 316:24 328:16 348:20 380:15
Michael 240:15 241:13 294:13,14 296:6 297:11 298:22
middle 238:5 335:25
midwest 298:11
Mike 274:10 304:17 335:13
mildly 204:22
MILES 175:6
mind 194:25,25 206:16,18 268:14 325:8 356:21 368:19 369:7
mine 322:15
minimally 247:17,20 248:11 248:24 249:14
minimums 281:23 282:9
Mining 262:23
Minneapolis 231:16
minor 233:10
minute 378:25
minutes 375:20,21
Mirkes 176:12 178:11,11 260:5,5 388:21
missing 295:8 345:1
Missouri 180:1,2,3,4 378:3
misspelling 231:11
misstates 210:19 215:5
mistake 347:4
mm-hmm 243:5 260:12 262:14 263:15 266:16 279:7 286:14
model 179:8,13
modeling 179:15,16 272:4
moderate 205:2
modifications 252:14 349:7
moment 384:24 386:9
Monday 265:22 268:12,14 270:10,20,24
monetary 329:24
monitor 352:4
monitoring 353:22
Montana 179:15
month 229:19 375:10 376:4 376:19
monthly 229:16
morning 218:22,23 260:15,19 267:15 268:25 383:16
mortalities 204:19
motion 296:3
move 362:9
moved 206:2 353:7
movement 181:24
moves 180:19
moving 353:14,14 378:22
muddy 265:8 356:11
multiple 317:1 330:13,21,25 348:14 355:13 363:4
multiplying 279:18
municipal 319:11
mussels 189:7
M-A 240:14
M-E-N-Z-I-E 297:21

N

N 176:1 177:1,3
name 183:4 199:9 231:10,12 231:13 240:18,19,20 241:19 274:5 340:10
names 183:10
narrower 207:10
narrowly 325:13
natural 175:7 180:3,4 279:19 303:20 304:3,6 318:18 326:8 328:1 329:7,20 330:13,22 331:1 359:21 360:2,5,7,12,24 361:23 362:12 363:8,15 364:19,24 374:21
nature 196:6 211:15 235:3

246:21 248:25 250:16 256:21 257:6 259:9 268:22 284:18 293:22 311:11 337:18 339:9,14,21 341:16
near 180:2,4 199:8 354:18,18
nearby 199:13
necessarily 193:9 234:18 252:23 253:13 315:24 350:9
necessary 238:10 327:3,5 328:9
need 252:3 257:8,13 269:25 281:10,11 300:16 367:10,11
needed 215:9 345:1
needing 186:11 366:20
needs 251:15 264:14 364:22 367:8 372:23 373:25
negative 279:21,23
Nelson 232:9,11,12
Neosho 180:2
new 269:7 292:12
nonparametric 341:20
non-karst 181:11
non-PRP 363:16
non-support 338:4 351:17
normal 288:9
northern 175:2 371:16
Notary 390:21
note 351:24
noted 356:13,15,17,20,22
notes 259:14 391:11
notice 205:24
noticed 198:18 199:14
notified 260:16
NRD 230:21 231:1
NRDA 327:2,20 328:10
number 179:14 191:19 199:15,23 223:21,22 237:1 237:8 251:6 254:24 278:23 278:25 279:8,9,23 289:19 290:7 319:2 321:21 338:2,8 339:19 341:24 342:23 345:9 351:2 355:14 365:12 370:6 384:20 392:4
numbered 175:17 295:9 390:6
numbers 261:7 279:13,17 288:24 370:10 380:17 385:5
numeric 337:18
numerical 307:23
nurseries 319:13
nutrient 192:17 193:10,18,24 199:12 201:25 247:5,12 283:22 286:10,23 289:9,12 289:17 290:1,5 349:5,18 352:5 353:4 365:20 372:20
nutrients 192:10,11,15,21,23 193:1,7,12 194:3 197:14 203:25 204:1 252:7 286:2 350:6
N.E 176:4

O

O 240:13
Object 181:18 186:18 192:12 193:20 196:13,18 202:7,12 208:9,14 209:2 210:19 212:4,18 213:3,7,15 214:12 214:24 215:5 216:9,22 217:5,13 218:9 219:8 221:6 221:24 222:22 224:14 228:3 228:8,21 229:2,7,11,22 230:3,10,14 231:20,25 232:4 233:9 234:14 242:7 242:16 243:13 245:22 247:21 250:24 251:9 254:22 255:24 256:3,18 272:6 275:10,19 277:4,10 278:2 282:12,22 284:15 285:20 286:4 287:20 288:15 290:15 291:24 299:4 301:14 302:9 305:3,13,18,23 307:21 308:4,9 310:21 311:8,17 314:2,9,14,20 315:4,13 316:2,12,20 318:20,25 319:5,19,23 320:8,13,20,24 321:3,9,14 322:12,20 323:1 323:19 324:5,17 325:3,19 326:4,12,17 327:19 328:4 328:23 329:8 330:4,16 331:4,18,24 332:5,24 333:20 334:3 336:18,24 337:24 338:19,23 339:2,18 341:13 342:22 343:7,22 344:3 347:10 349:6,20 350:8 351:22 352:24 353:25 354:5 357:14 358:1,5,15 359:5,16,23 361:15 362:5 362:21 364:5,15 366:6,22 367:5 369:2 371:10 377:6 386:25 387:7,19 388:3,13
objection 215:14
obligation 203:1 263:1
observation 198:24 205:22
observations 200:15 205:25 206:7 256:19 259:8,12,14 259:15 364:12,14,20,21
observe 201:4
observed 205:16 258:22 339:25,25
observing 374:11
obtain 184:13
obtained 299:25
obtaining 299:6
obvious 200:14,22
obviously 238:5
occasions 199:23
occur 194:6 250:3 252:7 253:7 287:21 288:24 353:5
occurred 186:14 218:14,20 218:22 334:8 360:23 361:4 362:2 363:14
occurrence 249:2 289:7 312:16 340:1
occurring 201:18 255:14 279:8,9 288:1 358:21 365:22 366:13
occurs 247:4
offer 216:20 217:2 311:15 322:2
offered 203:10
offering 368:23
office 208:11 220:21 224:21 226:3,24 231:16 232:13,13 300:7
offices 263:5

officially 297:23 373:6
oh 286:14 301:11 307:16 346:25 347:19 355:10 373:20 375:6 384:20
OK 176:4,11,14
okay 185:1,12 188:15 203:12 203:15 207:19 208:23 209:1 209:13 214:6 219:1 221:9 236:9 237:11 238:4 239:13 240:9 243:3,4 257:10,13,18 257:24 258:5,9,13 259:18 260:8 262:5,11 263:25 264:3,6 265:8 267:2,13 268:10,15 269:14,14,19 270:3 271:2,18,21 272:15 273:15 274:3 278:10 281:9 295:20 296:2,5 301:23 310:25 313:7 325:5 331:11 343:2,10 363:20 373:9,13 375:3,7,14 378:19,22 379:8 380:19 383:4,8 384:5,6,22 385:6 388:19
Oklahoma 175:2,5,5,7,19,21 176:4 178:8 184:9 196:11 196:16 199:19 259:24 260:2 276:7 303:22 340:14 341:8 348:3 349:18 352:3 353:21 356:4 367:2 376:25 391:3,7
oligotrophic 204:10 205:9 370:18
once 265:13 267:20 269:17 329:21 352:17
ones 241:6 339:16,22 352:3
one-shot 292:22
ongoing 211:16 331:6
online 184:6
open 188:25 265:15
operating 278:12 365:18
operations 305:8 335:1 336:4 366:8 379:14
opinion 188:5 190:25 191:5 193:16 203:6,10 223:9,10 255:17,20 289:16 330:1 349:15 357:15 363:2 366:4 367:9 368:23 369:12 371:11 373:16 374:6 380:8 381:8 382:21 386:1
opinions 184:24 186:17,22,24 187:4,7,11 188:8 203:7 215:18 216:20 217:2 221:13 222:10,20 223:12 226:12 227:6 231:1 237:16,19,23 242:22 263:3,9,17,19 264:2 264:5 265:4,6 269:13 274:8 302:16 309:20 310:4,9,10 310:13,15 311:15,18 312:5 314:23 321:22,24,25,25 322:2 369:3 379:24 380:5,6 381:6,7,9,10,23 382:12,14 382:15 385:13,23 387:16,24 387:25
opportunity 218:3 261:23 264:16 267:19 269:7 273:10
opposed 381:8 385:2
opposite 353:11
optimal 358:18 372:20
Opveon 176:16
order 256:8,9,10 263:10 301:22 353:23 355:11
Oregon 226:3 285:15,23
organic 204:9 250:15 350:2 350:19 377:14
organism 357:7,8
organisms 204:7 205:15 250:12 253:15 257:3 279:17
organization 299:25
original 182:22 183:14 246:2 277:23 278:4 295:15 322:15 323:21
originally 182:16 246:5 270:13
Osage 199:6 356:9,13
ought 269:6
outcome 302:7
outline 373:8
outlining 265:20
overall 198:7 206:8,17 211:11 214:19 286:6 289:13 307:10 309:4 313:8 316:25 326:5 332:10 348:12,12 362:7 368:20
overlap 318:3
oversaw 238:15 239:8
oxygen 195:19,23 197:4 204:8 317:15 321:12,16 332:14,22 357:12,18 370:22 372:2,3,15
oxygenated 357:23 372:12
Ozark 245:21 246:10,15,25 247:10 286:3 287:5,18 288:13 290:4,10 298:3
O'Boyle 240:13 241:9,22,23 284:2 298:18 301:8 335:14 335:15

P

P 176:1,1 177:3
page 177:10 219:16 224:4,17 247:6,13,15 278:8 279:24 282:2 286:6 302:11 317:8 321:21 334:24 335:25 347:12,17,19,20 362:16 364:17 369:16 375:3,6 376:6,8 378:22 384:3 390:1 392:4
pages 266:4,8,13,19 391:15
Palmquist 240:14 243:19 244:19 247:12 274:5,7 277:1,21 283:11 284:1 285:3,10,11,17 296:13 300:23 306:22,25 307:12 308:20 309:10 322:18 323:10,13 381:4,7
Palmquist's 274:12 280:12 280:14
paper 247:7
papers 268:2 374:18
par 206:25
paragraph 278:11,15 281:17 281:17 302:12 303:19 335:25 338:1 342:6 369:18 376:10,11,14 378:25
parameter 327:8
parameters 189:16 195:9 198:9 313:12

parametric 341:20
part 182:9 184:15,16 185:6 190:4,22 191:7 194:7 197:19 199:16 202:14 206:22,24 207:6,11 208:2 208:17 209:6,14 210:17 211:19,24 213:16 215:1,1 221:1 222:9 223:14,23 224:13,22 225:1 226:4 230:1,9,12 231:1 233:20 235:21 236:8,22 243:1 246:1 287:9 294:11 297:2 297:24 300:1,11 307:1 309:23,25 310:2 316:22,23 317:7 331:7,8,14,14,15 360:4 363:2,17 380:23 381:23 385:18
participate 386:18
participated 261:6 386:20
participating 211:5 307:16
participation 211:17
particle 250:14 377:14
particles 236:2
particular 182:7 194:12 212:9 214:21 220:13 225:11 233:13 252:1,25 264:7 276:22 279:9 282:5 286:21 289:22 292:9 300:3 308:23 310:19 315:17 326:23,24,25 327:4,13 328:15 329:4,14 329:17 330:8 333:2,5 340:18 342:24 349:13 353:3 355:3 360:11,19 361:10,22 363:5,10,17 368:11 376:1,5 380:12,13
particularly 290:3
parties 330:13 361:19 391:18
parts 198:10,13,15,18 199:5 206:12,14,18 258:23 263:12 263:16 307:9 313:4 317:4 361:24 381:18,21
party 269:6 361:19
pass 292:13
pathway 266:22
pathways 180:15 181:23
patterns 180:11,17,23 244:7
Patti 233:6,7 240:16 241:4 298:19
Peacheater 236:13,16,21
people 208:19 209:8 239:9 240:23
Percefull 175:19 390:5 391:5 391:22
percent 217:7 278:17,18,20 314:4 336:1,6 342:12
percentage 279:2 336:11
percentages 284:9
performed 380:20
performing 215:4
period 218:10 293:23 374:3 376:4
periods 293:4,5
periphyton 303:16 325:22
permanent 352:1
person 210:9 240:17
personally 192:19 335:10
personnel 211:24 212:21
person's 231:10,12
perspective 180:9 181:20 196:2 205:13 249:17 365:18
pertain 323:4
pertaining 236:16
pertains 301:16
peruse 271:13
Peterson 176:12 178:11 260:5
phase 189:20 190:5 192:18 202:15 224:24 229:15 230:6 240:6,7 299:17,19,20 331:12,23
phone 203:14 264:8 265:19
phonetic 262:24
phosphorous 201:22 273:4 314:16 353:4 367:10
phosphorus 182:8 194:7,9,11 194:14,16,21,22 195:4 196:5,8,12,17,19,25 202:1,5 252:13,16 272:22 283:22 308:17,25 310:13 311:6 315:25 316:4 317:18 318:23 319:4,8,16,16 320:11,11,16 320:19,23 321:7,11,11,18 327:16,18,23 328:2,6,20,21 329:5 352:20 353:1,5 365:25 366:1 367:4,16 368:2,4,6,9,10,16,16 369:1
physical 250:16
physiographic 367:12
phytoplankton 198:14,20 200:20 223:7,11,13 303:17 325:22 353:7
Ph.D 285:15 297:12
piece 216:12 351:11,23
pieces 189:5 317:1
Pierce 301:6
place 283:5 391:16
placing 345:8
Plaintiff 175:8 176:3
plan 233:15 322:2
planktonic 373:23
planning 234:18
plans 231:17,19,21,23 232:2 232:6
plants 320:10,17 349:23 350:7,14,23 353:6
play 358:3,6 363:5
pleading 266:11
please 178:6 208:25 213:21 228:16 232:7 259:25 301:18 359:25 362:24 364:16
plotted 335:22
point 184:12 188:7 193:10,11 205:13,14 207:17 210:2,6 238:1,20,25 248:4 249:2 250:12 252:25 269:17 275:4 355:22 358:19 362:23 369:21 380:13 382:17
points 355:23
pollutant 180:6 253:3,10 273:4 311:25
pollutants 181:14 249:2
pollution 179:19 180:24 181:9 182:12 253:11 349:5 349:18
pooled 198:17

Poplar 179:15
populations 190:2 287:17,18 298:3 311:21 315:23 318:9 318:12,14 377:4
portion 200:7 201:5 205:24 206:3,5 207:10 229:6 261:7 261:12 279:19 349:18 355:7 355:20
portions 198:23 200:21
Portland 226:3
posing 264:13
position 237:20 262:10 265:21
possession 261:14 263:7 275:15 323:24
possibilities 181:21
possible 215:2 253:8 360:7 372:13 380:10,12
possibly 200:19
post-release 364:8
potential 181:6 182:1 189:17 190:1 191:13 192:4,5,9,14 192:14 193:12,23 194:10 196:9 197:15 201:22 202:1 203:24 204:1,21 217:11 232:20 236:1,5 252:6 292:8 292:18 293:18 311:24 319:7 319:10 321:4 329:18 350:6 353:13 355:23 365:25 369:14 377:23 382:24
potentially 238:10 247:19 249:4 267:21 293:22 297:4 313:22 363:12
poultry 202:4,18 203:7,17 216:21,24 217:11 223:21,22 223:25 252:7,16 253:4,7 272:21 304:10 305:1 306:2 308:18 309:1 311:22 315:2 319:17 320:2 365:10,23 366:5,8 368:6,17 387:17 388:1,5,11
power 341:21,22,24 342:1
PowerPoint 225:10,16 384:10
PPT 282:19
precise 198:8 382:7
precisely 196:6
precludes 326:22
predicting 194:5
preferences 257:4
preferential 180:15 181:22
preferred 371:19 372:12
preliminary 213:5,12
preparation 219:14 223:23 225:12 232:5 236:23 242:3 242:14
prepare 225:10 231:23 232:2 233:21 268:4
prepared 186:23 230:9 298:18 323:5,9,12
preparing 216:5,8 299:12
prerelease 364:8
presence 189:6 193:4 287:12 348:20,21
present 176:16 192:25 232:18 246:23,25 250:20
presentation 186:4 197:20 203:22 213:13 225:13,16,17 225:19 283:3
presentations 210:23 225:8 261:15 387:11
presented 275:12 283:2,8 381:22 382:9,11 384:13 390:4
presenting 191:8 225:4,6 262:9
presumed 247:17,20 248:5
presumption 248:7
pretty 212:22 221:18 269:4 366:5
previous 213:24 228:11 295:14
previously 260:23 266:25 298:20 311:10 316:4
pre-discharged 365:1
pre-release 364:7
primarily 178:20 182:23 285:9 296:11 297:14 304:17 304:18 335:13 337:13
primary 195:1,3 204:19
prior 229:18 260:16 262:25 295:7,23 302:15
priority 324:23
pristine 194:20
privilege 237:8 238:19 263:10
privileged 209:18 210:13 213:19 216:2 228:15
probably 187:16 256:8,10 307:11
problem 196:9 238:4 260:10 293:14
problems 201:22 254:15 367:7
procedures 278:12
proceedings 207:22 239:23 302:1 334:20 363:23 390:6
process 186:13 193:8,25 273:19 328:13,14 362:10
processes 360:24
produce 230:8 231:19 234:16 237:18 260:18 263:1 265:6 271:8 323:6 382:6 386:23
produced 175:16 184:16,21 185:3,10,17,21,22,25 186:11 187:8,17 188:3,11 197:20,22 203:23 219:6,9 225:17,20 237:13,21 238:6 261:16,18 262:3 263:18 266:21,23 267:1 269:13 294:10 295:9,23 296:1 323:23 324:1,19 383:16,19 383:23 385:14
producing 298:17
product 202:25 209:18 214:16 217:16 237:7 239:4 272:8 301:16 388:16
production 193:19 198:20 218:19,21 219:3 230:13 241:25 242:2 258:6 260:21 262:2 267:5 269:11 295:8 295:14,15
productivity 205:2
products 263:24
professional 255:4

program 234:20 353:23
project 179:12,14 211:11,12 211:15 212:14,17,21 213:14 213:17 221:15,18 228:6,24 228:25 229:10,11,12 230:7 237:5 238:12,16,22,23,24 239:8 260:25 261:5,24 262:19,20 298:21 299:17 301:21
projects 238:16 261:9
prolonged 375:11 376:22
pronounced 204:12
pronunciation 231:9
properly 275:22 364:3
proportion 279:16,16,20 284:23
propose 281:4,7
proposed 235:18
proposition 375:4
protect 263:10
protected 372:19
Protection 348:6,7
protocol 355:1
provide 183:16 197:18 230:12 232:19 233:18,23 247:18 264:15 266:2 274:18 276:9 295:10 296:15 302:6 306:14 337:9 352:5,15 355:15,19,25 362:22 374:7
provided 184:23 197:13,23 203:24 221:19 230:15 239:7 261:8 275:9,11 276:6,15 277:15,17 280:16 282:15 283:4 284:25 294:5 296:22 296:25 297:3,8 323:17 333:2,16 335:20,24 336:16 338:17 355:22
providing 273:12
PRP 331:23 361:9,11
PRPs 330:21,22,24,25 331:10 332:3
Public 390:21
publication 246:12
published 331:15 332:8
purposes 254:16 264:11 292:21
purview 212:11
P-A-L-M-Q-U-I-S-T 240:15
P-A-T-T-I 233:6
p.m 259:20 271:25 294:20,24 301:25 302:4 334:19,23 363:22 364:1 373:6 388:25 389:2

Q

QAQC 277:9,14
qualification 251:16
qualified 251:10 254:12
quality 179:8,16 189:16,23 189:25 190:3 195:9 196:12 197:1,4,8,11 246:4,8 255:3 287:23 288:4 289:11,13 303:12,15 314:25 318:17 321:13 326:3,7 328:22 336:12 338:21,24 341:8 348:25 352:13,19 354:15 357:6,10,11 358:24 359:3 359:14,15 367:17
quantification 329:9,23 330:2 331:23 362:10
quantifications 333:15
quantified 330:7
quantify 182:11 329:3 332:23 333:1 334:2,16
quantifying 329:6 332:12 364:11,19
quantities 339:17
question 187:23 188:6 208:24 209:16 213:10 214:1,21 216:4 217:18 219:10 226:10 226:15 227:4,9 228:9,11,13 228:15,16,23 233:13 236:25 242:19,25 252:5,9 258:12 261:25 272:19 273:2,17 278:5 287:15 293:9 300:2 300:16 301:15 318:10,13 322:22 328:5 329:2 333:5 333:10 344:11 352:10,11 354:7 359:25 368:6 375:23 377:2,12 378:15,15 384:9 386:9 387:22 388:8,18
questionable 369:8
questioning 258:11 295:11
questions 202:24 215:11,15 215:21,25 217:15 238:12 258:8 261:10 263:19,22 264:13,15 269:16,25 272:10 272:12,14 273:10,22 274:1 278:9 280:24 282:18 373:7 383:21 384:8 386:4 388:20
quick 219:13 298:22 332:15 373:11 383:10
quickly 258:11 271:4,14 375:18 378:22
quite 256:4,19,25
quote 376:5
Quynh 231:12,14

R

R 176:1 391:1
raise 267:18 268:23
raising 341:23
Ramon 301:6
Randy 240:13 241:9,22,23 242:14 335:14,15
range 179:17 205:9,11,17 255:2,6 336:25 337:6 343:14 379:21
ranged 280:2
ranges 358:18
ranging 205:1,1,8 363:8 385:1
rapid 181:24 182:2 353:9
rapidly 181:15
ratio 365:15
reach 188:18 272:20 310:15 312:9 372:13
reached 309:14 313:9 317:9
reaching 314:12 316:25
react 290:4
read 213:23 228:9,10 241:5 248:2,7 286:15 309:22 319:10,22,25 320:1,3,7,14 320:15 369:24 376:10,13
reading 191:17 201:20,20,23

207:16 247:23 248:17 359:24 370:17 371:6
ready 269:21 270:8,15,25 278:6
real 298:22 328:13 332:14
realize 300:15
really 189:2 214:20 254:23 267:20 293:19 315:8 352:10 373:14 378:14,15
reason 236:8 237:17 295:8 338:21
reasonable 269:6
reasons 303:23
recall 179:9,12 183:4,10 186:1,20 189:13 191:4,6,7 191:17 192:3,13 195:13 196:6,7 197:15,21 198:24 199:2,16 200:4,4 201:8,20 201:23 206:13,14,20 207:15 207:17 209:3 212:5 214:7 214:13,15 218:14,16 222:1 222:16,24 225:22 231:12,21 232:1,5 233:10 234:15,15 234:22 235:4,11 236:19 240:21 245:12,15 247:7 248:4,19 249:15,24 251:3 254:5 275:20 277:5,8 278:3 284:7,8,11,16,20 285:23 288:17 290:18,19,25 291:15 296:19 299:5,18 300:10,10 300:17,19,23 303:24 306:1 306:18 307:9,16 308:15,22 309:8 312:15 320:4,6,14,15 322:14,17 323:2 335:22 336:14 337:12 340:13,16,17 341:4 342:3,23 344:21,25 345:11 346:10,16 347:5 351:15 353:19,20 354:17 355:12 356:16 357:16 362:25 370:13,23 371:2,6,7 375:12 378:9,13 382:19 387:8
receive 213:5,8
received 218:22 260:18 267:15 273:24
recess 207:22 239:23 302:1 334:20 363:23
recognize 383:11,12 384:9,25 385:6
recollection 206:16,23 207:17 220:12 231:13 235:24 246:11 299:7 332:7 370:8 379:2
recommendation 215:1 272:18
record 178:3,6 184:22 187:6 207:20,23,24 239:21,24,25 259:19 270:6 271:24 272:2 272:11 273:8 294:17,19,22 294:23 295:3 301:24 302:2 302:3 334:18,21,22 363:21 363:24 364:1 376:14 383:13 388:24
records 263:1
redacted 237:2,15 263:14 266:10,14 384:7 385:22,25
redaction 218:6 219:1,3,16 237:22 238:6 240:11,12 257:14 258:4 260:20 261:19 261:21 262:2 263:12 264:22 266:3,6,7 383:6 385:2
redactions 261:20
Redding 226:19,22,23
redirect 177:9 386:5 387:20
redrafting 277:22
reduced 200:17 391:12
reduction 329:13 333:12 334:1
refer 240:5 291:4 334:24 335:25 375:16 383:4
reference 194:3 202:10 222:3 222:9,12,19 230:23 235:15 235:16 247:16,18,25 248:11 248:21 249:18 250:22 251:4 251:6,11,13,17 252:2,4,8,10 252:20 253:1,20,23 254:1,2 254:4,6,9,14,15,19,20 255:5 255:11,18 287:9 288:19,22 300:3 308:14 316:19,23 317:3 360:15 364:4,11,18 364:23,25 365:2,2,3,4,7,11 366:3,11,11,18 367:4,7 368:4,8,24 369:9 371:9
referenced 191:10 225:21 226:1 234:2 383:5 385:14 385:16
references 201:21
referencing 207:14 347:25 350:15
referred 255:13 267:3 286:25 362:23 370:14 381:25
referring 196:20 229:14 231:22 317:6 347:17 383:21
reflect 237:12 295:4 379:15 381:7
reflected 278:7 359:9 380:19
reflecting 246:3
reflects 237:24 263:12 301:18
refresh 300:13
refreshes 379:1
refusal 258:7
refusing 209:20
regard 191:9 211:22 223:12 233:24 238:11 240:11,12 244:10 253:19 274:12 281:5 308:1 313:6 321:7 339:14 345:16 355:5 367:18 385:9 388:6
regarding 191:25 203:17 217:2 278:6 290:3 313:10 315:2 324:23 335:19 336:1 342:15 371:4 379:24
regards 242:17 382:18
region 246:16 287:5 288:10 288:14 289:17 371:1
regions 290:4,11
regression 312:17 313:8,12 313:17,20,25
regs 327:20 328:10 329:7
regular 228:1 239:9
regulations 318:19 326:11 327:2 333:19 360:21
reject 277:2,7
rejected 277:5
relate 224:9 237:2,4 242:20

329:4
related 186:16,22,23 187:1,2 187:3,19 203:9 213:17 215:25 226:11 227:5,6 237:15,19,19 238:13 244:4 258:8 261:11,12,17,21,24 262:3 263:2,16,19 264:1 267:24 273:19 275:15 277:16,18 282:18 298:23 310:11 313:13 327:24,24 328:7 329:19 384:13 385:23 387:17,21,24 388:1,15
relates 179:6 187:9,12 202:24 210:12 221:12 385:22 388:11
relating 238:12 294:4 295:24 313:4
relation 195:11 242:8 335:4
relationship 184:24 195:4 216:25 283:24 291:16 304:9 308:16,24 315:18 316:13 321:18 334:25 341:25 368:20 386:1
relationships 194:11 217:7 305:17,21 324:10 339:5 377:24 388:5
relative 251:18 282:18 287:25 289:7,8,12 313:21 321:16,17 333:4 348:20 358:19 367:14 374:12 391:18
relatively 180:13,14 181:24 233:10 255:10 256:6,23 344:17 345:9 373:22 377:10 377:12,16
release 218:15 327:9,11 328:2,6 329:17 330:8 334:5 334:7 360:19,22 361:3,6,6,7 361:18,19,19 362:1,1,14,14 363:14,17,18 366:1,14,24
released 327:10 328:20 363:11 368:16
releases 191:20 236:1 252:6 252:15 253:6 365:10
relevance 368:7
relevant 222:20 311:4,6 314:6 318:8,10
reliable 305:2,12
relied 187:6,11,21 188:4 203:6,9 237:22 263:8 385:12
relief 239:13
rely 300:4 306:24 351:8
relying 188:8 219:14 375:17 385:17
remaining 241:6 278:18
remember 179:14 184:10 186:8 199:5,9 201:24 207:16 208:24 214:8 229:17 235:14,17,18,20 236:7 240:18,19,22 241:19 248:17 284:21 290:7,18 291:1,5 293:24 307:17 310:3 312:17 315:14,15 324:24 340:10 344:14,17,23 345:13 346:22 355:4 359:17 370:17 371:3 372:6
render 223:10
repeat 213:21 228:16 359:25
rephrase 354:7 358:25 381:13
report 184:25 186:17 187:7,8 187:11,15,25 188:9 191:6,8 191:10,11 199:14,16,24 215:18 216:5,8,16,20,23 217:2 219:15 220:3,10,11 221:14 223:24 224:13 226:13 227:7,24 232:25 233:8 236:17,23 237:3,16 237:20 242:4,8,17,22 243:9 243:12,23 244:2,5,15 247:6 247:15 248:21 251:10 261:22 262:3 263:3,9,14,17 264:2 265:7 273:11 274:8 274:11 275:12,13 278:13 279:24 281:16 282:15 283:5 285:7 291:4 294:1 296:16 302:11 306:20 307:6,13 308:3 309:15,21,21,23 310:1,6,8 311:3,3 312:3 317:4,7,7,10 321:20 322:1,3 322:7,19,23,25 323:9 334:24 335:23 336:16 342:5 342:25 347:12 348:5,7 349:8 350:25 351:8,12 355:5,15 357:5,16 358:12 359:10,19 362:16 364:17 369:3,16 370:24 375:3 378:23 379:9 380:1,6,20 381:6,9,12,14,19,21 382:11 382:12,22 383:1 385:14,19 386:12,16 387:3,12,16
reported 279:2 281:15 301:8 391:10
reporter 175:20 213:23 228:10 391:6
Reporter's 177:10
reports 187:21 201:17,20,24 202:3 213:1,1 218:15 228:1 228:5,6,17,18,25 229:4,9,16 230:8 238:17 239:9 247:24 261:8 277:18 301:7 303:25 312:6 348:2 352:12 385:18
represent 246:6 248:1 277:24 343:24 354:4,14,17 370:12
representation 292:8 355:2 359:2
representations 358:22 359:8
representative 255:6
represented 292:14 376:1
represents 343:15 344:1
reproduce 187:19
request 183:14,20,25 190:8 258:1 268:17 280:21 300:21
requested 182:9 202:9 214:4 233:22 234:9,16
requesting 214:3
require 280:6 305:16,20 355:1 373:20
required 255:12 273:25 304:5 326:1
requirement 331:20 359:21 360:2
requirements 235:15 257:4
requires 342:16 374:4

research 191:25 201:16 202:4 245:18 288:12 290:2 374:16 374:23
reserve 238:8 239:16 269:15
reservoir 205:18,20 206:4,5,8 206:10,12,15,17,19 207:1,2 207:4,6,8,10,12,12 222:6,14 222:19 328:21 366:4 367:14 368:22,24 369:6,7 376:18 376:25 377:11 378:4,7
reservoirs 372:18 377:25
reside 338:15
residence 353:2 365:14 367:13
resolve 350:20
resource 180:3,4 303:21 304:3,6 318:18 326:8 328:1 329:7,14 330:13,23 331:1 333:15 334:15 359:21 360:2 360:5,8,12 362:14 364:20
resources 175:7 184:9 197:15 261:3 318:22 340:15 348:3 352:4 353:22
respecting 209:21
respond 215:21 242:25 250:17 262:5,8 266:2 268:7
responding 193:1,6,9 194:2 250:12
response 193:6 234:22
responses 205:11 287:23
responsibilities 189:18 211:22 212:23 221:5,7
responsibility 211:13 212:16 212:19
responsible 210:16 211:2 212:2 213:18 221:13 229:12 239:1,3 245:3,9 263:6 296:11 298:16 310:6 318:5 330:13
rest 206:22 273:9 369:24
restoration 329:25
restrict 253:20
restricted 216:15
result 204:6 252:16 321:12 338:17 349:4,17 357:19
resultant 334:7
resulted 291:13 328:3
resulting 286:9,22 327:11 337:17
results 189:9 213:5,12,13 239:7 251:22 275:8,15 277:12,13 279:22 281:15 282:1 283:2,15 291:2 327:9 351:19 357:24 381:19,21 386:22 387:13
retain 265:5
retained 182:15,16,17,18 183:12 208:1 265:2
retention 184:1 185:7 188:16 189:19,20,24 190:6,7 192:18 202:16 210:3 216:10 218:11 224:25 229:15
retrievals 299:11
returned 334:15
reveal 263:23
review 189:11 202:3,11,13 203:16,20 219:13 224:12 232:16 249:12 261:23 264:23 269:1 274:13 275:2 275:3 296:21,22 309:17 310:20 313:17 314:11,19 315:12 317:5 322:14 335:9 335:11 353:16 369:13 374:16 380:3 381:16 385:10 385:25
reviewed 201:11,16 261:14 274:16,24 290:2,8 296:23 303:24 307:23 309:19 311:2 314:12,22 315:1 320:6 322:16 348:5 385:7
reviewing 214:18 221:19 299:21 312:8,8 387:8
reviews 184:6,6
revised 277:24
revisions 232:20
reword 344:5
rewriting 277:22
richness 279:5,6
Rick 232:9,11,12 384:3
riffle 259:3,6,8,10
right 238:9 239:17 246:13 247:4 260:6 263:21 265:24 265:25 267:17,23 268:1,4 269:20 270:1,13,15,16 271:2,17,19 274:5 295:22 308:12 312:3 327:14 328:1 329:6 330:25 343:18 344:21 347:20 355:10 356:10 360:25 366:21 370:6 371:7 372:2 376:11 387:9,14
rigid 324:13
rigorous 189:2 251:13
riparian 249:1 250:19 258:25
risk 297:15 360:16
river 179:15,17 182:5,8,12 183:16,24 184:4 188:20 190:2 191:2,7 194:15,21,23 195:6,10,24 196:11,16,21 197:2,6,9 198:3,21 199:15 200:5,6,8,11,25 201:13,18 202:5 203:18 207:1,11 217:3 223:23 224:1 233:24 234:6 235:2 244:21 245:2 248:14 249:5 251:14,19 252:9,11,22 254:9,18 255:16 256:1,7,17 259:6 272:23 273:6 279:25 281:13 285:8 286:7,18 287:14 303:2,3 304:11 311:13 312:21 316:10 320:12 321:8 324:3,15 325:2,6,17 326:16 339:24 340:7 342:9 347:15 347:23 348:10 349:4,19 351:1,7,9,20,21 352:16,23 353:17,24 354:3,10,11,20 354:22,23 355:7,17,21 356:3,3,9,15,19 373:17 374:1,5,7,10,12,12,19,25 387:18 388:2,12
riverine 198:22 200:7,21 201:5 205:24 206:3,5,25 207:3,9
rivering 378:7
rivers 255:8 373:22,25
rocks 199:11

Rockwood 183:5
rocky 370:20 371:5
role 221:15 262:18 299:20
rough 355:10
rule 257:16 272:16 304:4,7 328:17 360:6,10,12 361:1 362:4,8,20
rules 303:21
ruling 238:9 269:18
run 262:20
runoff 319:13,14 320:2,5 321:1
runs 373:25

S

S 176:1,13 177:3,3
safest 264:10
sake 327:17
sample 256:5 279:9,17,21 283:19,19 292:16,24 293:3 293:11,13,14 350:22 377:13 377:15 379:12
sampled 251:4,12,19 254:6 256:2 280:1 286:7 293:16 340:7 346:22 347:6
samples 288:24 292:7,15 377:9 378:2,9
sampling 222:6,14,20 233:15 233:19 234:20 235:16,23 236:18 247:16 249:20 250:23 251:14 252:25 255:1 255:18 258:17 259:2 281:12 282:21 292:1,14,20 293:7 304:12 324:21 343:4 345:17 345:17 346:14,22 350:13 351:4 355:3 356:2 378:6
sand 180:13 181:7
sand-type 181:16
SAP 233:14,15,21 234:13
Save 280:23
saved 274:1
saw 199:7,10 200:15,20 217:24 218:2 225:19 247:24 265:9,9 287:18 300:12 314:5 386:20
saying 188:13 193:21,21 197:15 208:21 222:23,23 270:23 277:5 287:15 311:10 327:22
says 230:24 286:6 302:15 310:23 317:8 337:6 342:12 376:3,24
scale 205:8 249:5
Scenic 196:21
schedule 271:5
scheme 331:9,11
scientific 288:22 360:17
scientist 220:20,22,23,24 221:22 294:15 300:20 301:5
scientists 299:11 300:22
scope 213:14,16 214:1,7,9,13 214:15,19 381:2 387:20
score 336:6,8,11,20 337:21 344:1 346:12,17
scores 283:11 335:4 338:11 342:8 379:12,15,19,21
scoring 346:16
se 313:24 333:8
seal 271:8 391:20
searches 184:5,5
seasons 293:7
second 187:9 201:3 224:4 256:9 278:15 294:18 295:22 302:12 303:19 376:7 378:25 379:18
secondary 204:17
SECRETARY 175:5
section 207:3 274:10 283:24 285:9 296:12,14,16 297:5 297:10 307:5,6,13,14,15,25 308:2,2,7,12,16,19,23,24 309:3,4,9 321:20 322:7,11 322:13,19,22 342:25 343:17 381:12,14
sections 244:2,12,15 275:13 310:5 323:9 378:7 386:11
sediment 189:8,10 195:14 198:21 235:13,22 236:2,6,7 250:14 349:25 350:1 377:13
sedimentation 349:10
sediments 200:19 204:9 236:3 250:15,16 350:20 377:5 378:17
see 183:10 188:23 189:12 193:14 194:2 198:11 200:2 200:13,13 202:10 203:16 212:20 217:23 218:5 220:16 222:25 223:6,16 224:5,18 227:19 235:10 253:3 258:2 264:9 265:16,17 270:4 271:14 275:18 276:15 277:14 279:10 281:6,19 291:6,9 297:17 302:13,17 336:4 337:4,4 338:8 347:20 369:21 374:23 375:6,7 376:10 382:10,24 384:20 386:16,18
seeing 198:24 207:18 253:15 275:20 340:17 375:6
seek 238:9 239:13 257:16
seeking 213:18 261:23
seen 197:24 198:9,12,21 199:3 201:21 206:11,11,21 217:20 218:1 257:21 290:2 324:20 330:22 331:1 332:9 333:14,25 334:9 374:6,9 385:8
segment 354:20,22 355:1
segments 354:3,13,17 355:1
segregate 216:6
select 255:5,18 315:12
selected 255:1 353:22
selection 211:17 214:23 247:25 261:6 308:13
sense 192:14 194:1 211:7 238:24 324:8 334:11 337:2 357:2
sensitive 250:14 256:21 286:22,24 289:11,23
sensitivity 289:6 290:8
sent 220:16 222:17
sentence 302:15 303:7 369:19 369:22,24 376:13,15
separate 210:22 262:21 263:11 290:20,20 303:14

315:16 325:21,22 330:14 361:24
separated 261:2
separately 332:10
separating 315:8
separation 310:3 318:3 336:14
September 182:16 201:1,7 295:6
septic 319:12,12 320:22
sequence 385:4
series 186:1 322:23
serve 251:12 288:21 369:8
served 260:23
services 190:8 302:6 329:13 333:2,3,12,16,23 334:2,14 366:24
set 187:9 203:23 216:11 235:15 252:2 284:7 287:10 306:3 315:19 331:2 342:13 344:23 345:1 350:17 370:14 378:2 384:23
sets 250:25 254:7 324:1
seven 343:9,18 351:3,4
severe 204:12 352:21
sewage 201:25 319:11 349:11 349:22 350:19 365:24
Shannon 244:20 279:13,25 280:3
Shannon-Wiener 280:7
Sheryl 220:17,19,20 223:2,18 236:11 240:11 241:3 299:22 299:23 300:4
shift 204:11,12,13
shifts 204:6
shore 370:19 371:5
short 207:22 239:23 265:20 302:1 334:20 363:23
Shorthand 175:20 391:5
show 205:17 271:7 316:16
showed 315:6,18
Shults 219:19
sic 243:18
side 266:22 267:5
sign 390:7
Signature 177:10 390:1
significance 315:7
significant 198:13 205:3 235:1 254:14 258:24 259:9 299:18 315:15,18 352:2 367:6 369:5 374:5 380:5
significantly 249:4 291:17
similar 250:20 252:11 253:16 282:18 283:10 329:19 365:14,15 367:10,11 368:17 376:3,21
similarities 365:12,17 367:12
simple 341:15
simplify 273:18
simply 291:25 328:15 383:20
simultaneous 210:7
single 193:10
sit 194:24 232:5 284:11 353:20 370:13
site 189:13 201:8 249:7,8,18 251:17 254:20 282:6,21 330:12,25 337:10 352:22 353:11 354:10
sites 180:1 200:12 247:16,19 249:10,12,18,25 250:7,23 251:1,4,11,13 255:18 258:17,17,20 281:13 284:13 304:12 316:19,23 339:6,12 345:9 346:4,5,19 347:8 353:23 354:4 363:4
sitting 270:1
situation 180:17,18 181:2,7 264:7 283:10,21 333:6 353:8 357:21 368:12 369:4
situations 181:4 255:10 348:15 352:19 364:13
six 256:9 293:4 351:3 355:11
size 250:15 252:12,21,23,24 256:5 283:24 311:23 365:14 365:15 368:21 369:21,25,25 370:3,5,6,6,10,14 377:13,14
sizes 339:4 370:11
slightly 376:20
slow 182:2 270:10 353:10
slower 353:13
small 256:6,24 266:18 292:13 337:16,19,19,22 338:3,5,7 339:4,4,7,14,24 345:9 352:16,19
smaller 348:16 353:14 357:9
small-mouthed 370:4,7 372:4 372:10,16
snapshot 293:19
somebody 268:24
someplace 282:15
somewhat 297:18 349:24
Sonja 225:21
SOP 222:6,15,17,18,20,24
sorry 228:8 334:17
sort 264:20 265:18 267:24 271:5 303:17
sound 360:25
source 179:20 207:13 209:7 209:14 210:10,17 211:2,18 214:10 215:12 228:7,19 229:5 230:2 238:14 261:4 261:11 272:4,21 273:20 284:21,25 319:3 326:23,24 326:25 327:4,18,22 329:5 330:15 331:3 363:16 371:4 371:7 380:9,14
sources 182:8,12 191:14 192:5 199:12 201:15,25 217:11 249:2 253:4,10,15 311:25 319:7,8,11 320:6 321:5,6 323:8 329:18 347:16,25 361:10,22 363:4 363:7,8,8 365:20 366:1 368:9,16
so-called 289:9
space 329:11 332:13
Sparacio 301:3
spatial 244:7
spawn 373:21
spawning 373:16,21,25 374:20,21,24
speak 207:11
speaking 249:15,16 256:13 256:15 290:11
specialist 241:23 242:13

298:15 299:9 301:7
specializing 294:16
species 193:3,4 204:11,14,15 204:25 278:17,20 279:2,8 279:17 282:25 286:24 287:13 288:24 289:2,3,6 292:12 303:17 348:21,21 358:18 373:1,18 380:16,18
specific 186:21,25 189:13 213:8 215:9 249:8 257:4 283:22 288:16 293:5 299:7 317:6 353:11 363:1 379:15
specifically 183:13 185:1 190:9 191:4 197:21 214:8 216:13 231:22 238:13 243:25 250:13 265:17 290:10 300:23 341:5 342:5 354:6
specifications 382:4,8
specifics 186:8 201:24 206:14 222:17,24 291:1 312:18
specified 340:13
specimens 278:18
spend 257:22 273:9
spending 257:19
Sperrazza 231:12,14
spreadsheet 306:7,9,10,14,17
spreadsheets 276:2
spring 251:5 291:6,10,18 292:3,4,7,11,17 293:1,10,11 293:16,17,20,21
Springdale 199:8
springs 181:3,3
square 341:25
squeeze 357:1 358:13,20
ss 391:3
stable 292:16
staff 294:16 297:24 298:16 386:21
stage 190:13
stages 374:4
stand 264:19
standard 196:20 197:1 278:12 318:17 321:17 328:16 329:1 332:14,15,18 333:5,11 336:12
standards 196:12 197:5,8,11 232:17 321:13,19 328:22 332:22 341:8 354:15 358:24 359:4,15
standpoint 254:24
stands 223:13
start 295:1 305:19 313:7 334:11,11 337:3 380:22
started 209:3 265:11 294:25
starting 321:20 376:15
starts 207:6 219:17 283:25
state 175:5,7,16,19,21 178:7 184:12,13,22 187:5 196:20 201:11 206:8,9 222:12 224:10,12 230:13,21,25 235:4 236:19 247:17 254:7 259:24,25 260:1 265:4 267:8,10 276:6 285:15 295:10 297:1,4 300:1 303:22 304:5 321:17 324:19 335:20 338:17 347:12,15,24 367:2 380:8 391:3,6
stated 214:14 215:17 239:1 242:22 337:12 364:17 369:3 381:6 382:12
statement 206:7 213:21,22 213:24 247:22 286:19 287:4 310:18,22 336:1 364:13,16 372:21 376:23
statements 247:24 248:2
states 175:1 188:5 285:19
state's 195:15 218:15 222:14 247:24 266:24 268:16 274:25 276:19 283:15,17 303:25 316:22 323:25 350:17 353:16
station 236:19 276:23,24 288:22 338:3 354:18,18 356:11
stations 251:14 252:11,15 254:15 255:1 288:4,19 308:14 336:2,11 338:11 342:19 343:4 345:17,17,20 345:25 346:14,21,23 347:5 348:2 349:11,14 350:13,16 351:2,4 352:12,17 354:14 355:13,13 356:2,8,9,16,18 356:20,23
statistic 305:16
statistical 222:1 243:7,8,11 244:17 293:25 294:4 304:25 305:17,20,25,25 307:14,20 308:2 315:6 341:11
statistically 291:17
statistician 243:6 307:18 309:10
status 189:1 206:19 213:1 228:1,5,17,24 229:5 230:8 238:17 239:9 261:8 305:6 312:7 380:12
statute 362:22
stem 256:7 285:8 352:13,16 352:22 353:10,14 354:11,13 354:23
stenograph 391:10,11
step 287:11 328:12,13 329:9 329:23 330:2 334:1
Stepping 212:13 334:1
steps 274:22 329:25
Stevenson 253:25 284:10 290:13,23 306:20 307:14 309:5 312:2,10,11 313:6 314:12,16,25 315:15 342:1 379:25
Stevenson's 306:24 307:7 311:3 380:3 383:1
stick 274:2
stimulate 316:7
stimulation 204:23 353:4
Stockton 378:3,7,10
stop 363:20
stratification 358:2 371:25
stratified 357:22
stream 178:24 246:10 247:10 250:18 252:1,2,12 256:5,8 285:22 287:19 308:17,25 337:19 340:9,11,18,19,20 344:20 346:25 352:21 353:2 353:10 356:12

streams 196:3 199:15,17,19 199:21,23 245:16,20,21 246:15,15,21,25 251:18 255:8 256:7,8,9,10 258:22 258:23 286:2,3 287:5,6,9 298:3 303:3 311:13 324:3 325:1,6 337:16,23 339:9,15 339:20,24 340:2,3,6,21,24 340:25 341:4 342:9 348:8 348:16 350:25 351:6,6,13 352:1,8,14,19 355:6,9,14 356:5
Street 176:4,7,10
stress 286:9,22 287:23,23,24 289:8,8,23,24,25,25 316:17 372:11,16
stressed 287:2 288:8 311:20 348:24,24
stresses 329:19
stressful 357:7
stressors 380:14
stretch 353:3
striped 372:10,15 373:19,20 373:24 374:8,20,21,21,24 375:4,8 376:17
structure 304:11 305:2 324:9 370:21
studied 178:21 287:22
studies 191:25 201:17 233:23 234:1 235:4,6,8,12 325:25
study 218:3 348:8 349:13 350:15
stuff 188:6 271:12
styled 175:17
subbasin 252:12,24 253:11 283:24 311:23 338:5 339:4 339:6
subbasins 217:8 224:1 338:3 338:7 339:8
subcategories 379:4
subject 187:20 203:20 215:15 215:16 217:15 218:19 222:2 222:19 227:15,23 237:18 239:18 253:22 261:21,25 262:21 264:18 268:18 272:8 273:13
subjects 187:10 215:17 242:20
submit 264:22
submitted 229:16 234:21,21
SUBSCRIBED 390:17
subsequent 332:10 345:10
subsequently 246:5
subset 183:24 261:17 324:15 324:18 383:20
substance 238:18 326:25 327:10,16,23 329:18 332:2 360:23 361:8,10,21,22 363:5,6 366:25
substances 191:22 193:8,13 329:20 361:3
substantial 257:20
substantive 229:9 233:7
substrate 256:22,24 257:6 258:24,25 259:10
subunits 255:8
successful 302:7
sufficient 190:14 316:5,5,7 316:10 373:25
sufficiently 196:8 204:3
suggest 286:9 376:17
suggested 380:24
suggesting 387:11
suggestion 211:25
suit 371:18
suitable 367:4
Suite 176:7,10,14
suited 371:23
summaries 225:8 294:5,6 296:22 387:4,5,13,15
summarize 191:5
summarized 192:7
summarizes 309:9
summarizing 191:9
summary 275:11 281:25 282:1 283:2,3,8 286:6 296:23 297:6 309:4 321:22 321:23,24 379:12
summation 279:22
summer 201:2 280:1 291:7 291:11,19 292:4,17,17,20 292:23,23 293:13,14 340:1 357:22 358:2,8 372:11
summing 279:18,18
supervise 208:8
supervised 380:24
supervising 386:13
supervision 323:5,10 380:21 391:13
supplemental 269:10
supplemented 246:6 277:23
supplied 306:4 335:8
supply 204:18,25
support 221:19,22 269:12 298:16 304:21 336:9,12 337:10,22 338:7,21 339:12 339:16 342:14 343:5,11 345:23 346:6 362:3,19 373:15 374:13 379:19 381:23
supported 337:8 342:14 343:15 345:22 348:18 351:15 379:22
supporting 187:11 336:7,21 343:9 346:8,15,16 347:1,9 348:4
supportive 345:19
supports 374:16
suppose 264:21 328:24 329:1 360:7
sure 184:12,20 187:18 190:24 196:24 236:7 239:1 247:3 253:18 257:25 258:13 261:19 266:19 269:2,9 275:21 289:4 294:11 300:13 301:13 313:7 318:3 324:18 324:24 330:19 333:21 354:24 370:2 383:14
surface 180:25 181:3,10 196:15 197:1 318:21 328:25 333:9 358:8 367:13
survey 189:2,3
survive 376:18
suspect 297:2 306:16 339:3
suspended 200:19 374:2

switch 356:24
switches 265:13
sworn 178:13 390:17 391:8
synoptic 324:21
system 180:20 192:17 198:15 204:1,6,10 205:16 244:21 245:2 246:10 259:1 286:2,7 287:3,14,16,19 288:7 324:21 346:16 348:22 351:13 352:2 363:9 368:10
systematic 189:2
systems 187:14 192:9,16 194:19,20 202:1 247:10 285:23,24
system's 360:15

T

T 177:3 391:1,1
table 268:20 280:6 281:18,22 282:1,6,7 379:8,11,15,19 382:8
tables 227:16 322:24,25 323:2,4,12,17,21 382:10
Tables.doc 227:13
Tahlequah 354:19
take 182:11 207:19 239:17,20 244:20 251:16 257:15,23 264:24 268:25 270:4 271:12 287:13 301:23 312:10 378:25 383:10 384:24
taken 175:17 251:24 292:7 332:13 353:6 391:16
talk 257:20 343:10
talked 198:9 200:7 205:10 288:5 323:20 330:9 341:19 387:23
talking 190:13 198:14 213:22 218:24 243:4 253:22 256:11 265:18 270:24 271:14 274:4 312:4 332:12 340:3 361:17 361:18 376:5 387:4
tanks 319:12 320:22
tape 363:20
Taryn 301:3
task 245:3,9
taxa 246:23,23,24 278:6,16 278:20,24,25 279:5,6,9,20 279:21 286:25 287:1,21,25 288:1,7 289:10,12,21 290:12
taxonomic 292:9
team 184:11 186:4 189:22 192:20 202:15,16 208:2,5,8 208:13,15,17,19,22 209:1,6 209:8,10 211:2,18,23,25,25 212:2,9,10 214:11,16,22,23 215:1,2 221:1,16 224:22 225:1,4 226:4 230:2 240:3,5 240:9 243:1 261:6 272:3,20 273:3,20,21 299:12 380:23 380:25 381:15,25 382:3
teams 209:5 211:14 230:6 243:1
team's 215:13
tell 200:18 202:14 212:8 277:2 278:8 280:16 296:6,7 338:8 339:22 341:10 343:3 352:13 363:2 373:4 379:18 383:10
telling 293:19,20
temperature 357:13 358:3,6 358:7,14,18,20 359:9 372:12
temperatures 359:9,12 372:14,14 375:5,9 376:19 376:20
temporal 334:11
Tenkiller 196:4 198:23 200:22 201:5 205:18,19,22 222:13 298:23 303:4 310:10 310:14 317:13,14 318:9,24 319:4,8,17 320:19,23 321:5 321:12,16 322:8 323:4 324:4 325:2 327:14 328:3 328:18 332:13,21 356:25 357:11,19 358:4 365:7,9,13 366:4,5 367:1,19 368:22 369:1,6,15 370:16 371:9 372:5,8 373:17 377:5
term 207:9 238:22 325:10,14 328:7 332:14 357:2,5
termed 181:22 229:14 361:8
terminology 196:7 330:19 379:20
terms 180:6 191:13 267:5 268:4 280:16 282:20 304:19 332:17 333:2 354:14 358:3 375:24 378:11
test 189:9 341:20
testified 178:14
testify 178:13 391:8
testifying 203:3 216:11 218:13 237:23 240:7 262:17 265:1,6,14 301:18,21
testimony 210:20 215:6 217:10 239:18
tests 235:13 236:6,7 306:1 341:17
Texoma 371:8
text 277:18 282:7
thank 188:15 259:18 269:20 271:20,22 274:3 373:14 378:19 388:19,22
theory 328:5
thing 268:8 309:22 352:25
things 257:20 269:4 271:4 318:7 373:20
think 181:22 186:21,22 187:1 188:23 189:15 190:19 192:7 192:7 193:22 195:17 198:6 199:8 203:12,13 206:21 209:3 210:7 213:9,25 218:2 218:17 222:16 228:22 231:15 234:2,20 235:5,10 236:5 237:6 238:21 239:6,6 239:10 240:10 241:21 242:24 243:16 245:23,25 247:15,22 249:3,11 251:10 254:3,14 255:23 256:4 258:12 264:8,10 265:19 266:2 268:3,9,10,19,21 269:5 270:13 277:20 281:9 282:13 285:10,12 287:10 288:18 290:17 291:20,21,22 291:25 297:17 298:20

299:14 301:21 312:11,14,19 312:23 317:21 320:5 323:20 325:4,7 326:5,18,19 327:5 330:17 331:13 332:25 333:23 335:18,23 337:25 340:14 341:23 342:3 343:8 344:19 346:21,21 347:1,19 348:13 349:12,23 350:16 351:3,11,24 352:8,25 356:9 356:14,17 357:3 360:4,9 361:17 362:6 368:19,21 369:4,5 370:1,18,19,20,22 371:8 372:7,21 373:12 375:20,25 376:7 377:15,25 378:3,4 380:11,11 388:4,7
thinking 205:18 240:17 304:20 358:16
Thomas 175:15 177:5 178:4 178:12 260:14 390:3,14 392:2
thought 188:24 296:4 307:3 308:6 314:6 356:1 386:8,14
thoughts 232:19
thousand 291:18
three 188:7,12 219:7 251:3 266:18 268:9 270:23 297:18 297:18 308:21 386:10
three-ring 266:18
threshold 346:1
throw 265:15
Thursday 270:19
time 178:5 183:11 187:8 188:24 189:14,24 191:16,18 193:10,11,13 194:17 200:24 211:11 212:20 218:14 222:24 234:25 235:3,9 240:22 248:18 257:10,15,17 257:20,22,23 264:23 265:3 268:4,7,17 269:1,22 270:9 271:25 272:10 273:13 280:23 291:25 292:5,24 293:18 294:20,24 295:25 297:4 298:1 301:25 302:4 316:6 322:4,17 329:10 332:13 334:2,14,19,23 353:2,5 358:9 363:22 364:1 365:15 367:13 373:5 375:22 375:24 384:13 388:24 391:16
times 279:19 372:17 386:20
timing 298:12
title 220:2 222:2 223:6,20 225:23 227:10,23 230:20,24 231:17 236:13 379:11
titled 376:11
today 178:4 194:24 218:1 222:17 257:22 260:22 261:9 262:17 274:1 284:11 382:16 383:18 385:10 386:11 387:24 388:11
TOLBERT 175:6
told 308:10
tolerance 246:4
tolerances 246:7 289:2,3
tolerant 204:7,8,14 288:6 348:21 380:17
tolerate 375:9
tolerated 375:4
top 218:5 224:3
topic 261:16 310:11 341:14
topical 244:11
topics 356:24
total 196:4,17,19,25 245:1 278:16,23 279:1,8,8,16,20 284:17 301:21 310:13 311:6 314:16 315:25 346:19 352:20 355:25
town 199:9
towns 199:8
toxicity 189:8 235:13,22 236:6,7
toxicologist 300:6
toxicology 179:4,6
tracer 350:18
track 184:6
training 179:5
transcribed 391:12
transcript 269:21,24 270:7 270:14,18 390:5 391:15
transformations 341:10,18 341:21,22,22 342:2,4
transition 207:9 218:14 298:13 299:19
translated 329:24
transmissions 186:2
transmitted 306:6
transparency 200:16 206:1,3
transport 179:7,9,13,17 180:6,21 181:23 182:2,2,4 209:7,13 210:10,17 211:2 211:18 214:10 215:12 228:7 228:19 229:5 230:2 238:14 261:4,7,11 272:3 273:3,20
transported 181:16
treatment 320:10,17 349:22 350:7,14,23
trial 322:3
tributaries 321:7 347:15,24 348:11 351:9,20 352:14,17
tributary 303:3 352:21 353:9 353:15
trip 201:6
trophic 206:19
true 215:7 312:2 353:11 390:5,7 391:15
trustee 175:7 332:8
trustees 334:9 363:3,15
trustee's 331:8,14
truth 178:13,14,14 391:9,9,9
try 253:8 258:14 265:22 268:20 271:4,4 290:23 334:10 344:5 345:15
trying 179:9 184:6 187:23 193:22 214:20 257:20 258:11 264:20 292:19 300:19 313:3 333:3
Tucker 176:9 260:3,3 262:6,7
Tuesday 265:23,24 268:6 269:22
Tulsa 175:18,19 176:11,14 391:4,6
turbidity 198:19 351:16
turn 219:16 302:11 343:1 344:10 369:16 376:6 378:22 379:8

turned 184:19 186:12 330:2
two 180:1 196:22 199:22 209:5 218:2 249:10 251:1,1 255:21,25 261:2 266:7,18 284:4 285:12,12,13,13 291:14,17 292:19 311:5 345:13 354:12 356:2,18 368:18
two-page 263:12 264:21
type 192:1 197:18 232:22,25 250:8 258:25 259:1 276:5
types 185:24 191:14 195:18
typewritten 391:12
typical 254:23
typically 197:24 233:16 254:21 255:9 325:15 357:3
typo 370:1
Tyson 175:10 259:24

U

ultimate 263:3
ultimately 221:14 263:20
um 279:15 320:1 358:10
unable 336:20
uncertain 193:25
uncertainty 245:24 325:8
undergoes 371:24
underlies 296:24
underlying 246:22 273:11 274:14,17 276:5 283:4,13 283:14 294:4,9 296:12,13 296:21 297:6 307:22 323:16 323:21 341:16
understand 190:24 203:22 209:4 213:10 214:20 219:1 239:19 257:25 266:13 268:1 276:12 289:4 313:3 330:19 332:16 354:7 384:4
understanding 180:23 193:17 214:21 219:4 272:13 275:23 276:22,25 277:11 278:22 301:20 330:20 357:4 368:5
understood 262:22
undertake 182:7,11 280:21 309:13 350:21
undertaken 295:12
undetermined 336:9,13,25 337:6,11,22 338:7,22 339:13,17 343:14 346:4,5
undeveloped 194:19
unfortunately 281:11
uniform 180:14 181:25
unique 360:11 370:24 373:22
United 175:1 285:19
universe 253:21,21
University 285:16
unredacted 271:9
unrelated 226:12 263:13
unstable 293:22
unsuitable 368:1
unsure 323:22
upper 198:23 205:24 206:5 206:22,24 298:11
upstream 200:8 216:24 311:22 352:6,17 365:3 388:5
urban 217:3,7,11 249:2 284:3 284:6,10,13,18,19,22,22 291:16,16 311:23 319:14 349:10
use 217:7 238:22 248:5 253:20,22 276:23 284:3,10 284:22 286:19 291:16 295:18,19,21 311:23 325:15 336:21 342:21 343:6 345:4 346:3,9 348:18 351:14 353:22 354:25 357:3,5 379:20
useful 349:25
uses 284:6 337:7
usually 371:20 374:4
U.S 304:7

V

vague 207:17 212:4,18 217:5 221:6 228:21 246:11 330:20
valid 237:7 251:13 254:14 288:22 364:10,17 365:13 366:18 367:7
valuable 289:13,22
valuating 247:18
value 289:21 333:7,8 337:18 338:4
values 246:4 280:17 282:11 282:14,21,24 283:8
variability 288:11 292:18 364:24
variable 254:25 255:14 256:1 256:4,16,19 344:25 351:17 372:6
variables 250:13,21 324:10 326:21 344:17,18,21,24
varied 256:6
variety 319:10 324:22 328:16 363:7
various 221:20 224:1 225:3 288:1 305:21 308:11 310:5 314:5 316:23 323:7 331:10 339:17 370:10 380:15 382:6
vary 257:5 292:1
vegetation 250:20
verification 307:22
verify 304:22 306:8 307:19 323:11 335:16
versions 271:9
versus 240:7 254:17 259:24 262:22,23 291:2 353:10 361:9 379:22
viable 286:8,17
Videographer 178:3 207:20 207:24 239:21,25 257:12 259:19 271:24 294:19,23 301:24 302:3 334:18,22 363:21,25 373:4,6 388:23
videotape 259:22
VIDEOTAPED 175:15
view 267:4 374:14,17
viewed 258:20
views 262:10
violating 196:11
violation 318:17 332:15 333:11
violations 321:19
virtue 175:21

visit 199:21,23,25 200:5,8 201:1,3,9 248:15,17
visited 199:22 200:12,13
visiting 200:24 205:22
visits 339:23
visual 205:25 375:1
visually 200:13
voicing 211:23
volume 175:15 178:4 266:5 269:11 358:23 359:2 367:13
vs 175:9
vulnerable 180:24 181:9

W

W 175:4 177:3
wade 271:12
wading 258:23
waiving 273:10
walk 235:10
walking 258:22
walleye 369:19 371:12,14
want 267:11 268:7,12 271:6 271:7 272:14 278:9 281:4 292:25 293:2,9,12 295:1 324:12 326:3 362:21 386:7
wanted 186:25 187:18 295:10 302:19 382:10 386:16
wanting 367:1
wants 262:5
Ward 241:5
Warden 233:6,7 240:16 241:4 298:19
warm 340:10,19 341:6 346:25
warmer 371:18
warrant 196:9 308:7
Washington 220:21 300:7
wasn't 187:21 195:3 265:3 297:22 314:24
waste 179:20 181:10 202:4 203:17 319:18 320:10,16 350:7,14,22
water 179:8,16 180:19,23 181:3,24 184:9 189:7,16,23 189:25 190:3 195:8 196:12 196:15,25 197:1,4,8,10 198:17,19 200:16 205:25 206:1,3 246:4,8 255:2 287:23 288:4 289:11,14 294:16 303:12,14 314:25 318:17,21 320:10,16 321:12 326:3,7 328:22 332:19 333:8,14 336:8,12,22 338:21,24 340:8,10,14,19 340:20 341:6,6,8 342:14,16 343:5 344:7 345:18,24 346:9,25 347:9 348:3,25 350:7,14,22 352:4,18 353:3 353:18,21 354:14 357:6,10 357:11 358:24 359:3,14,15 365:3 367:17 370:21 371:15 371:17,21 372:12 374:2 376:18 379:3,21
waters 265:9 272:22 273:5 328:25 333:9 353:17 372:1
watershed 179:22 180:1,7,25 182:5,8,12 183:16,24 184:4 189:4 190:2 191:2 193:19 194:15,23 195:6,10,24 196:11,16 197:2,6,9 198:4,6 198:7,10 199:16 200:25 201:13,19,23 202:6 203:18 216:21 217:4 223:23 224:2 233:24 234:6,24 235:2 248:9,14 249:5,17 251:15 251:19 252:9,11,18,21,24 254:9,18 255:17 256:2,17 258:21 259:7 272:5,23 273:6 281:13 284:14 285:19 286:18 303:2 305:8 311:14 312:22 320:12 324:3,15 325:2,6,18 326:16 339:24 340:7 342:9 349:4,19 351:1 351:7,21 353:17,24 355:7 355:17,21 366:9 368:21 374:25 387:18 388:2,12
Waterway 331:10
Watts 351:20 352:23 354:18
way 180:19 187:10 203:5,9 212:1,5,7 217:12 219:7 220:6 228:12 237:15 253:16 253:18,19,23 254:1 262:13 262:20 263:9 267:12 290:4 331:17 334:4 338:9 345:5 362:9,9,20 365:18 368:3
ways 333:15,18,25,25 364:2,3 365:4
Wednesday 270:8,18
week 268:11 293:16,21
Welch 309:14,15,18 310:1,2 310:9 317:4,10,10,12 318:4 318:5,8 357:4,16,17 358:11 359:14 377:3 379:25
Welch's 312:3 317:3 358:17 359:18 380:4 383:1
went 278:24 299:14 326:18 386:8
weren't 185:22 314:24
West 176:10
we'll 257:23 271:3 375:18 384:23
we're 229:14 238:5,17 239:10 243:4 253:22 256:11 264:15 265:17 270:23 271:14 330:18 340:3 375:22
we've 187:16 198:9 240:10 240:12,16 294:25 323:20 334:16 363:20 386:11
wholly 334:8
wide 324:22 328:16 363:7
widen 207:7
width 344:20
wildlife 342:20 348:5 351:14
willing 215:11,21 237:9
Wisconsin 245:15,16,20 246:15,25 294:15 296:7
withheld 237:12
witness 175:16 178:10 190:8 213:20 215:15 217:14 221:11 238:10 257:17 262:9 262:17 265:13 272:7,9,24 273:7,25 299:19 388:14 390:9 391:8,20
word 248:5
wording 362:6

words 193:9 269:16 286:21 327:10
work 179:22 182:10,19,25 187:12 202:25 208:2,11 209:14,17,17 210:12,15,22 211:1 212:2,8,10 213:14,16 214:1,7,10,15,22 215:3,13 215:16,22,25 216:7,12 217:16 221:1,12 223:15 224:22 226:6,11,25 227:5,6 228:6,7,14,18,20 229:5,20 229:23,25 230:1,5,9 231:17 231:19,21,23,24 232:2,3,6 232:22,25 233:5,5,20 237:2 237:5,6,7 238:12,13,18 239:3,4,9,10 240:24 241:24 242:9,21 243:15 244:25 258:8 261:9,12,13 262:1 263:11,24 272:4,8 273:20 273:22 274:4,7,9,9,13 285:11,14,21 295:6 296:25 297:15 298:2 301:10,16,18 301:20 304:19 305:1 306:21 306:24,24 309:9 317:3 318:5 322:5 380:22 385:23 387:23 388:9,15
worked 179:19 180:1 181:5 183:8,9 225:12 240:3 243:21,24 244:12 245:10 262:18 263:5 273:21 277:21 285:9 286:1 296:13 299:10 307:24 332:6 341:7
working 184:11 192:19 209:10,11 211:13 212:24 219:20 225:3 230:6 244:24 274:10 284:1 285:15,22,24 298:21 299:12,16,24 300:21 308:21 380:23
works 220:20 242:13 297:13
world 287:6,19
worth 308:6
wouldn't 267:11 274:16 321:24 325:20
write 277:19 381:12
written 232:15 310:1,1,2 381:15
wrong 266:4
wrote 308:12,16 309:4
W-A-R-D-E-N 233:6 240:17

X

X 177:1

Y

yeah 203:12 212:5 246:17 254:12 265:25 281:25 296:5 301:4 356:14
year 200:24 218:20 281:13 292:2 293:4 342:13 345:14 347:6 372:17
years 179:14 188:7,12 219:7 249:23 285:12,13 290:16,20 290:21 291:14 297:18,19 345:10,13 372:6,8
year-to-year 290:14,18
yellow 343:15
yesterday 205:10 260:15 267:16 331:7 382:16
young 292:12

Z

Zale 375:3,8,12,13
Ziccardi 224:19,20 225:10 240:9 241:7 299:15,16
Z-I-C-C-A-R-D-I 240:10

$

$323,000 301:22

0

007003 224:16 383:4
007005 225:21
007007 226:1
007015 227:18
007017 230:17
007022 231:4
007027 232:7 233:13
007029 236:9
007030 224:3
007038 219:17
007053 220:14
007093 384:17
007130 384:18,20
01818 391:23
05-CV-329-CKS-TJC 259:24

1

1 369:25,25
1-1 302:11 317:8
1.74 280:2,17
1:32PM 259:25
1:33PM 260:1,5,10,15
1:34PM 260:20,25 261:1,5
1:35PM 261:10,15,20
1:36PM 261:25 262:1,5,10
1:37PM 262:15,20,25 263:1
1:38PM 263:5,10,15,20
1:39PM 263:25 264:1,5,10
1:40PM 264:15,20
1:41PM 264:25 265:1,5,10
1:42PM 265:15,20,25 266:1
1:43PM 266:5,10,15,20
1:44PM 266:25 267:1,5,10 267:15
1:45PM 267:20,25 268:1,5 268:10
1:46PM 268:15,20,25 269:1 269:5,10
1:47PM 269:15,20
1:48PM 269:25 270:1,5,10 270:15
1:49PM 270:20,25 271:1,5 271:10
1:50PM 271:15,20
1:59 271:25
1:59PM 271:25 272:1
10:00AM 203:25 204:1,5
10:01AM 204:10,15
10:02AM 204:20,25
10:03AM 205:1,5,10
10:04AM 205:15,20
10:05AM 205:25 206:1,5,10
10:06AM 206:15,20
10:07AM 206:25 207:1,5,10
10:08 207:21

10:08AM 207:15,20
10:36 207:25
10:36AM 207:25 208:1
10:37AM 208:5,10,15
10:38AM 208:20,25 209:1,5
10:39AM 209:10,15
10:40AM 209:20,25 210:1,5
10:41AM 210:10,15,20
10:42AM 210:25 211:1,5,10
10:43AM 211:15,20
10:44AM 211:25 212:1
10:45AM 212:5,10,15
10:46AM 212:20,25 213:1,5
10:47AM 213:10,15
10:48AM 213:20,25
10:49AM 214:1,5,10
10:50AM 214:15,20
10:51AM 214:25 215:1,5,10
10:52AM 215:15,20
10:53AM 215:25 216:1,5,10
10:54AM 216:15,20
10:55AM 216:25 217:1,5,10
10:56AM 217:15,20
10:57AM 217:25 218:1,5
10:58AM 218:10,15
10:59AM 218:20,25 219:1,5
100 176:10 342:12
11:00AM 219:10,15,20
11:01AM 219:25 220:1,5,10
11:02AM 220:15,20,25 221:1 221:5
11:03AM 221:10,15
11:04AM 221:20,25 222:1
11:05AM 222:5,10,15
11:06AM 222:20,25 223:1,5 223:10
11:07AM 223:15,20,25
11:08AM 224:1,5,10
11:09AM 224:15,20,25 225:1
11:10AM 225:5,10,15
11:11AM 225:20,25
11:12AM 226:1,5,10
11:13AM 226:15,20,25 227:1 227:5
11:14AM 227:10,15,20
11:15AM 227:25 228:1,5,10
11:16AM 228:15
11:17AM 228:20,25 229:1,5
11:18AM 229:10,15,20
11:19AM 229:25 230:1,5,10 230:15
11:20AM 230:20
11:21AM 230:25 231:1,5,10 231:15
11:22AM 231:20,25 232:1,5
11:23AM 232:10,15
11:24AM 232:20,25 233:1,5 233:10
11:25AM 233:15
11:26AM 233:20,25 234:1,5
11:27AM 234:10,15,20
11:28AM 234:25 235:1
11:29AM 235:5,10
11:30AM 235:15,20,25 236:1
11:31AM 236:5,10,15
11:32AM 236:20,25 237:1
11:33AM 237:5,10,15
11:34AM 237:20,25 238:1,5
11:35AM 238:10,15,20
11:36AM 238:25 239:1,5,10 239:15,20
11:37 239:22
11:51 240:1
11:51AM 239:25 240:1,5
11:52AM 240:10,15
11:54AM 240:20,25 241:1
11:55AM 241:5
11:56AM 241:10,15,20
11:57AM 241:25 242:1,5,10 242:15
11:58AM 242:20,25 243:1,5
11:59AM 243:10,15,20
12:00PM 243:25 244:1,5
12:01PM 244:10,15
12:02PM 244:20,25
12:03PM 245:1,5,10
12:04PM 245:15,20,25 246:1
12:05PM 246:5,10
12:06PM 246:15,20
12:07PM 246:25 247:1,5,10
12:08PM 247:15,20
12:09PM 247:25 248:1,5
12:10PM 248:10,15,20,25
12:11PM 249:1,5
12:12PM 249:10,15,20
12:13PM 249:25 250:1,5,10
12:14PM 250:15,20
12:15PM 250:25 251:1,5,10
12:16PM 251:15,20
12:17PM 251:25 252:1,5
12:18PM 252:10,15
12:19PM 252:20,25 253:1,5
12:20PM 253:10,15,20
12:21PM 253:25 254:1,5,10
12:22PM 254:15,20,25
12:23PM 255:1,5,10
12:24PM 255:15,20,25 256:1
12:25PM 256:5,10,15
12:26PM 256:20,25 257:1,5
12:27 257:12
12:27PM 257:10,15,20
12:28PM 257:25 258:1,5,10 258:15
12:29PM 258:20,25 259:1,5
12:30 257:8 259:20
12:30PM 259:10,15,20
14 346:4,5
14th 185:16,25 188:4 218:20 219:9 384:1
15 345:20 346:11
15th 218:22 219:6
16 178:5 347:8
16th 175:17
1700 176:7
174 280:3
178 177:7
1980 334:9

2

2 217:20 219:3,16 383:4 384:10,18,24 385:2,5
2nd 226:17
2,600 266:13
2-1 321:20
2-2 342:5

2-6 321:21
2.97 280:2,17
2:00PM 272:5,10,15,20,25
2:01PM 273:1,5,10,15
2:02PM 273:20,25 274:1,5
2:03PM 274:10,15
2:04PM 274:20,25 275:1,5
2:05PM 275:10,15
2:06PM 275:20,25 276:1,5 276:10
2:07PM 276:15,20
2:08PM 276:25 277:1,5,10
2:09PM 277:15,20
2:10PM 277:25 278:1,5
2:11PM 278:10,15
2:12PM 278:20,25 279:1
2:13PM 279:5,10
2:14PM 279:15
2:15PM 279:20,25 280:1
2:16PM 280:5,10,15
2:17PM 280:20,25 281:1,5 281:10
2:18PM 281:15
2:19PM 281:20,25
2:20PM 282:1,5,10,15
2:21PM 282:20,25 283:1
2:22PM 283:5,10
2:23PM 283:15,20,25 284:1
2:24PM 284:5,10
2:25PM 284:15,20,25 285:1
2:26PM 285:5,10
2:27PM 285:15,20
2:28PM 285:25 286:1,5
2:29PM 286:10,15,20
2:30PM 286:25 287:1,5
2:31PM 287:10,15,20
2:32PM 287:25 288:1,5
2:33PM 288:10,15,20
2:34PM 288:25 289:1,5,10
2:35PM 289:15,20
2:36PM 289:25 290:1,5,10
2:37PM 290:15
2:38PM 290:20,25 291:1,5
2:39PM 291:10,15
2:40PM 291:20,25 292:1
2:41PM 292:5,10
2:42PM 292:15,20,25 293:1
2:43PM 293:5,10,15
2:44PM 293:20,25 294:1,5 294:10
2:45 294:20
2:45PM 294:15,20
2:46 294:24
2:46PM 294:25 295:1,5
2:47PM 295:10,15,20
2:48PM 295:25 296:1,5,10
2:49PM 296:15,20,25
2:50PM 297:1,5,10
2:51PM 297:15,20
2:52PM 297:25 298:1,5
2:53PM 298:10,15,20
2:54PM 298:25 299:1,5,10
2:55PM 299:15,20
2:56PM 299:25 300:1,5
2:57PM 300:10,15
2:58PM 300:20
2:59PM 300:25 301:1,5
20 255:23,25 356:16
2004 348:8 355:5
2005 182:16 201:2,7 209:3 220:2,7 234:25 249:9 251:2 251:3 278:16 280:1,18 281:23 344:11,13,24 345:9
2006 201:3 223:18 224:7 226:17 227:20 230:18 231:7 232:9 234:25 236:11 249:20 249:25 250:3,7,25 281:23 290:23 291:2,7,11,19 325:25
2007 219:25 223:2 249:21 250:1,3,7,25 282:2 290:24 291:2,6,10,18 325:25 345:16 346:20,22
2008 218:17 262:25 295:6
2009 175:18 178:5 295:7 390:11,19 391:21
21st 176:4 265:25 266:1 268:6 270:14
217 391:14
22 356:16
22nd 270:15
24th 268:11
27 375:9 376:19
27th 270:12,19,24 271:2
28 375:5,10,11 376:19,22
29 338:4 376:3,21

3

3 295:3,5,21 300:15
3:00PM 301:10,15,20
3:01 301:25
3:01PM 301:25 302:1
3:19 302:4
3:19PM 302:5,10
3:20PM 302:15,20
3:21PM 302:25 303:1,5,10
3:22PM 303:15,20
3:23PM 303:25 304:1,5,10 304:15
3:24PM 304:20,25 305:1,5
3:25PM 305:10,15,20,25
3:26PM 306:1,5,10,15
3:27PM 306:20
3:28PM 306:25 307:1,5,10
3:29PM 307:15
3:30PM 307:20,25 308:1,5
3:31PM 308:10,15,20
3:32PM 308:25 309:1,5
3:33PM 309:10
3:34PM 309:15,20,25 310:1
3:35PM 310:5,10,15,20
3:36PM 310:25 311:1,5,10
3:37PM 311:15,20
3:38PM 311:25 312:1,5
3:39PM 312:10,15,20
3:40PM 312:25 313:1,5
3:41PM 313:10,15,20
3:42PM 313:25 314:1,5,10
3:43PM 314:15,20,25 315:1 315:5
3:44PM 315:10,15
3:45PM 315:20,25 316:1,5
3:46PM 316:10,15,20,25 317:1
3:47PM 317:5,10

3:48PM 317:15,20,25 318:1
3:49PM 318:5,10,15,20
3:50PM 318:25 319:1,5,10
3:51PM 319:15,20,25 320:1
3:52PM 320:5,10,15
3:53PM 320:20,25 321:1,5 321:10,15
3:54PM 321:20
3:55PM 321:25 322:1,5,10
3:56PM 322:15,20
3:57PM 322:25 323:1,5
3:58PM 323:10,15
3:59PM 323:20,25 324:1,5
30 295:6
30th 270:25 271:3,8
303D 353:18
313 176:4
320 176:13
3200 176:7
33 346:19
34 347:3,8
35 346:21,22 347:5
37 344:1,1 346:12,17
378 177:8
386 177:9
389 177:10
390 177:10

4

4 375:15
4.2 278:16,20
4:00PM 324:10,15
4:01PM 324:20,25 325:1,5
4:02PM 325:10,15,20
4:03PM 325:25 326:1,5,10
4:04PM 326:15,20,25 327:1
4:05PM 327:5,10,15
4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ 175:9
4:06PM 327:20,25 328:1
4:07PM 328:5,10,15
4:08PM 328:20,25 329:1
4:09PM 329:5,10
4:10PM 329:15,20
4:11PM 329:25 330:1,5,10 330:15
4:12PM 330:20,25 331:1
4:13PM 331:5,10
4:14PM 331:15,20,25 332:1 332:5
4:15PM 332:10,15
4:16PM 332:20,25 333:1,5
4:17PM 333:10
4:18PM 333:15,20,25 334:1
4:19PM 334:5,10
4:20 334:19
4:20PM 334:15,20
4:37 334:23
4:38PM 334:25 335:1,5
4:39PM 335:10,15,20
4:40PM 335:25 336:1,5,10
4:41PM 336:15,20
4:42PM 336:25 337:1,5,10
4:43PM 337:15,20
4:44PM 337:25 338:1,5
4:45PM 338:10,15,20,25
4:46PM 339:1,5,10
4:47PM 339:15,20,25 340:1
4:48PM 340:5,10
4:49PM 340:15,20,25
4:50PM 341:1,5
4:51PM 341:10,15
4:52PM 341:20,25 342:1
4:53PM 342:5,10
4:54PM 342:15,20,25 343:1
4:55PM 343:5
4:56PM 343:10,15,20
4:57PM 343:25 344:1,5
4:58PM 344:10,15,20
4:59PM 344:25 345:1,5,10
40 370:5,6,12,12
400 176:10

5

5 286:12 342:6 383:9
5th 176:10
5-1 378:22
5-11 278:8
5-14 279:24 281:18,19 282:6 379:8
5-16 282:25 283:8
5-17 247:6,13 283:9
5-18 247:15
5-20 285:6
5-22 286:6,13
5-26 343:1,3
5-27 344:10 345:16
5-4 281:18,22
5-48 334:24 336:1
5.18 283:25
5.4 282:6
5:00PM 345:15,20
5:01PM 345:25 346:1,5
5:02PM 346:10,15
5:03PM 346:20,25 347:1
5:04PM 347:5,10
5:05PM 347:15,20
5:06PM 347:25 348:1,5
5:07PM 348:10,15
5:08PM 348:20,25 349:1,5
5:09PM 349:10,15
5:10PM 349:20,25 350:1,5
5:11PM 350:10,15,20
5:12PM 350:25 351:1,5
5:13PM 351:10,15,20
5:14PM 351:25 352:1,5
5:15PM 352:10,15
5:16PM 352:20,25 353:1,5
5:17PM 353:10,15
5:18PM 353:20,25 354:1
5:19PM 354:5,10,15,20
5:20PM 354:25 355:1,5
5:21PM 355:10,15
5:22PM 355:20,25 356:1,5
5:23PM 356:10,15,20
5:24PM 356:25 357:1,5
5:25PM 357:10,15,20
5:26PM 357:25 358:1,5,10
5:27PM 358:15,20
5:28PM 358:25 359:1,5
5:29PM 359:10,15
5:30PM 359:20,25 360:1,5
5:31PM 360:10,15
5:32PM 360:20,25 361:1,5
5:33PM 361:10,15,20

5:34PM 361:25 362:1,5,10
5:35PM 362:15,20
5:36PM 362:25 363:1,5
5:37PM 363:10,15
5:38 363:22
5:38PM 363:20
5:41 364:1
5:41PM 363:25 364:1,5,10
5:42PM 364:15,20
5:43PM 364:25 365:1,5,10
5:44PM 365:15,20
5:45PM 365:25 366:1,5,10
5:46PM 366:15,20,25 367:1
5:47PM 367:5,10
5:48PM 367:15,20,25 368:1
5:49PM 368:5,10,15
5:50PM 368:20,25 369:1
5:51PM 369:5,10,15
5:52 369:17
5:52PM 369:20,25 370:1
5:53PM 370:5,10,15
5:54PM 370:20
5:55PM 370:25 371:1,5,10
5:56PM 371:15,20
5:57PM 371:25 372:1,5,10
5:58PM 372:15,20
5:59 373:6
5:59PM 372:25 373:1,5,10 373:15

6

6 308:19 384:23
6-23 347:12,18
6.2 307:13 308:2
6.3 308:12
6.4 308:16
6.5 308:24
6.6 309:4
6:00PM 373:20,25 374:1
6:01PM 374:5,10,15,20
6:02PM 374:25 375:1,5
6:03PM 375:10,15,20
6:04PM 375:25 376:1,5
6:05PM 376:10,15,20
6:06PM 376:25 377:1,5,10
6:07PM 377:15,20
6:08PM 377:25 378:1,5,10 378:15
6:09PM 378:20,25 379:1,5
6:10PM 379:10,15,20
6:11PM 379:25 380:1,5
6:12PM 380:10,15,20
6:13PM 380:25 381:1,5,10
6:14PM 381:15,20,25 382:1
6:15PM 382:5,10,15
6:16PM 382:20,25 383:1
6:17PM 383:5,10,15,20
6:18PM 383:25 384:1,5,10 384:15
6:19PM 384:20,25 385:1
6:20PM 385:5,10,15
6:21PM 385:20,25 386:1,5
6:22PM 386:10,15,20
6:23PM 386:25 387:1,5,10
6:24PM 387:15,20,25 388:1 388:5
6:25 388:25 389:2
6:25PM 388:10,15,20,25

7

7 322:7,11
7-15 375:3
7-2 364:17
7-3 362:16
7-8 369:16,16
7:30 218:23 260:19
70.5 278:17
700 176:14
7033 223:16
7037 222:25
73105 176:4
74103 176:11,14
75 376:6

8

8 322:19,22
80203 176:8
83 342:12

9

9:09 178:2,5
9:09AM 178:5,10,15,20,25 179:1
9:10AM 179:5,10
9:11AM 179:15,20
9:12AM 179:25 180:1,5
9:13AM 180:10,15
9:14AM 180:20,25 181:1
9:15AM 181:5,10,15
9:16AM 181:20,25 182:1
9:17AM 182:5,10,15
9:18AM 182:20,25 183:1,5
9:19AM 183:10,15
9:20AM 183:20,25 184:1,5
9:21AM 184:10,15
9:22AM 184:20,25 185:1,5 185:10,15
9:23AM 185:20,25 186:1
9:24AM 186:5,10,15
9:25AM 186:20,25 187:1,5
9:26AM 187:10,15
9:27AM 187:20,25 188:1,5
9:28AM 188:10,15,20
9:29AM 188:25 189:1,5
9:30AM 189:10,15
9:31AM 189:20,25 190:1
9:32AM 190:5,10
9:33AM 190:15,20
9:34AM 190:25 191:1,5
9:35AM 191:10,15,20
9:36AM 191:25 192:1,5
9:37AM 192:10,15
9:38AM 192:20,25 193:1
9:39AM 193:5,10,15
9:40AM 193:20,25 194:1
9:41AM 194:5,10
9:42AM 194:15,20,25 195:1
9:43AM 195:5,10,15
9:44AM 195:20,25 196:1,5
9:45AM 196:10,15
9:46AM 196:20,25 197:1,5
9:47AM 197:10,15,20
9:48AM 197:25 198:1,5
9:49AM 198:10,15
9:50AM 198:20,25 199:1,5

9:51AM 199:10,15
9:52AM 199:20,25 200:1,5
9:53AM 200:10,15,20
9:54AM 200:25 201:1,5
9:55AM 201:10,15,20
9:56AM 201:25 202:1,5
9:57AM 202:10,15,20
9:58AM 202:25 203:1,5,10
203:15
9:59AM 203:20
92 336:1,6